

# UNCLASSIFIED

## FLYING CATEGORY TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY DESCRIPTION

The primary purpose of tactical bases is to provide trained combat ready aircrews, aircraft, and support personnel for deployment in support of theater war plans and contingency operations. The important attributes of a tactical base are:

- Proximity to adequate training airspace:
  - Supersonic airspace with Air Combat Maneuvering Instrumentation capability, surface to 50,000 feet
  - Low altitude Military Operating Areas
  - Low altitude training routes
  - Scoreable air-to-ground ranges with tactical target arrays
  - Joint training areas capable of supporting fighter tactical maneuvering
- Good flying weather
- Adequate divert and alternate airfields
- Minimum traffic congestion and delays
- Infrastructure to support mobility operations

The following bases were considered in this subcategory:

Bergstrom AFB, Texas  
Cannon AFB, New Mexico  
Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona  
Eielson AFB, Alaska  
England AFB, Louisiana  
Holloman AFB, New Mexico  
Homestead AFB, Florida  
Langley AFB, Virginia

Luke AFB, Arizona  
MacDill AFB, Florida  
Moody AFB, Georgia  
Mountain Home AFB, Idaho  
Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina  
Seymour Johnson AFB, North Carolina  
Shaw AFB, South Carolina  
Tyndall AFB, Florida

# UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

This page is classified SECRET and is located in the classified appendix.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

## BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE

**Recommendation:** Bergstrom Air Force Base, Texas, is recommended for closure. All active RF-4s will be retired. The 67th Tactical Reconnaissance Wing will inactivate. The corrosion control facility will remain if it continues to be economical to operate there. The Air Force Reserve units will remain in a cantonment area if the base is converted to a civil airport. If no decision on a civil airport is reached by June of 1993, the units will be redistributed as directed by the Secretary of the Air Force. If units stay but the airport is not an economically viable entity by the end of 1996, these units would also be redistributed. The Twelfth Air Force Headquarters; 12th TAC Intelligence Squadron; and the 602nd Tactical Air Control Center Squadron will relocate to Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona. The 712th Air Support Operations Center Squadron will relocate to Fort Hood, Texas. All other personnel will depart. The 41st Electronic Combat Squadron (ECS) (EC-130H aircraft) will remain in place at Davis-Monthan AFB rather than move to Bergstrom AFB as recommended by the 1988 Base Closure Commission.

**Justification:** The Air Force has five more tactical bases than needed to support the number of fighter aircraft in the DoD Force Structure Plan. All tactical bases were considered for closure equally in a process that conformed to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 and the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) guidance. Each base was evaluated against the eight DoD selection criteria and a large number of subelements specific to Air Force bases and missions. Data were collected and the criteria and subelements of the criteria applied by the Base Closure Executive Group (BCEG), a group of five general officers and five senior civilians appointed by the Secretary of the Air Force. The decision to close Bergstrom Air Force Base was made by the Secretary of the Air Force with advice of the Air Force Chief of Staff and in consultation with the BCEG.

As with the other categories, it was difficult to select closure candidates. All tactical bases are in generally good condition with strong community support. Distinctions can be drawn, however, when the data are evaluated against all eight of the DoD selection criteria and Air Force subelements. Bergstrom Air Force Base ranked low in this process compared to the other fifteen bases in the tactical subcategory and is recommended for closure. While Bergstrom Air Force Base's ranking rests on the combined results of applying the eight DoD selection criteria, rather than one or two specific deficiencies, a few points stand out. The overall long term military value of Bergstrom Air Force Base suffered because of local/regional encroachment and a lack of suitable ranges/airspace. Additionally, the cost to close Bergstrom Air Force Base is low and the savings are high.

The closure of Bergstrom Air Force Base will have an impact on the local economy. It is projected to result in a population loss of approximately 17,000 persons, direct and indirect employment loss of just over 10,600 jobs, and regional income loss of 175 million dollars. These losses are in contrast to a regional population of nearly 600,000, available jobs of just over 388,000, and regional annual income approaching 9 billion dollars.

By the end of FY 97, the net savings of implementing this recommendation is about \$121M. Annual savings after implementation are expected to be \$36.3M. All values are in constant dollars.

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## ENGLAND AIR FORCE BASE

**Recommendation:** England Air Force Base, Louisiana, is recommended for closure. The 23rd Tactical Fighter Wing will inactivate. Assigned aircraft will be retired or redistributed among remaining active and reserve component units. One active A/OA-10 squadron will be realigned to Eglin Air Force Base, Florida and one to McChord Air Force Base, Washington. All other personnel will depart.

**Justification:** The Air Force has five more tactical bases than needed to support the number of fighter aircraft in the DoD Force Structure Plan. All tactical bases were considered for closure equally in a process that conformed to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 and the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) guidance. Each base was evaluated against the eight DoD selection criteria and a large number of subelements specific to Air Force bases and missions. Data were collected and the criteria and subelements of the criteria applied by the Base Closure Executive Group (BCEG), a group of five general officers and five senior civilians appointed by the Secretary of the Air Force. The decision to close England Air Force Base was made by the Secretary of the Air Force with advice of the Air Force Chief of Staff and in consultation with the BCEG.

As with the other categories, it was difficult to select closure candidates. All tactical bases are in generally good condition with strong community support. Distinctions can be drawn, however, when the data are evaluated against all eight of the DoD selection criteria and Air Force subelements. England Air Force Base ranked low in this process compared to the other fifteen bases in the tactical subcategory and is recommended for closure. While England Air Force Base's ranking rests on the combined results of applying the eight DoD selection criteria, rather than one or two specific deficiencies, a few points stand out. The long term military value of England Air Force Base is limited by weather and available airspace for training. England Air Force Base has the least suitable weather of all bases ranked within this category. Although its location relative to Fort Polk is an asset, adequate Air Force support can be provided from Barksdale Air Force Base, Louisiana. Additionally, the cost to close England Air Force Base is low and the savings are very high.

The closure of England Air Force Base will have an impact on the local economy. It is projected to result in a population loss of approximately 10,000 persons, direct and indirect employment loss of just over 5,700 jobs, and regional income loss of nearly 97 million dollars. These losses are in contrast to a regional population of 139,600, available jobs just over 60,000, and regional annual income of 1.5 billion dollars.

By the end of FY 97, the net savings of implementing this recommendation is about \$176M. Annual savings after implementation are expected to be \$47.2M. All values are in constant dollars.

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MOODY AIR FORCE BASE

**Recommendation:** Moody Air Force Base, Georgia, is recommended for closure. The 347th Tactical Fighter Wing will inactivate. Assigned aircraft will be redistributed to modernize other active and reserve component units. All other personnel will depart.

**Justification:** The Air Force has five more tactical bases than needed to support the number of fighter aircraft in the DoD Force Structure Plan. All tactical bases were considered for closure equally in a process that conformed to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 and the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) guidance. Each base was evaluated against the eight DoD selection criteria and a large number of subelements specific to Air Force bases and missions. Data were collected and the criteria and subelements of the criteria applied by the Base Closure Executive Group (BCEG), a group of five general officers and five senior civilians appointed by the Secretary of the Air Force. The decision to close Moody Air Force Base was made by the Secretary of the Air Force with advice of the Air Force Chief of Staff and in consultation with the BCEG.

As with the other categories, it was difficult to select closure candidates. All tactical bases are in generally good condition with strong community support. Distinctions can be drawn, however, when the data are evaluated against all eight of the DoD selection criteria and Air Force subelements. Moody Air Force Base ranked low in this process compared to the other fifteen bases in the tactical subcategory and is recommended for closure. While Moody Air Force Base's ranking rests on the combined results of applying the eight DoD selection criteria, rather than one or two specific deficiencies, a few points stand out. The long term military value of Moody Air Force Base, when compared to the other bases in its category, suffered because of weather, and its location in a region where special use airspace is being stressed increasingly by a growth in air traffic. Additionally, it is the least costly base to close of all bases in this subcategory.

The closure of Moody Air Force Base will have an impact on the local economy. It is projected to result in a population loss of approximately 9,300 persons, direct and indirect employment loss of just over 4,800 jobs, and regional income loss of nearly 98 million dollars. These losses are in contrast to a regional population of 106,000, available jobs of just over 54,000, and regional annual income of just over 1.2 billion dollars.

By the end of FY 97, the net savings of implementing this recommendation is about \$143M. Annual savings after implementation are expected to be \$45.1M. All values are in constant dollars.

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MYRTLE BEACH AIR FORCE BASE

**Recommendation:** Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, South Carolina, is recommended for closure. The 354th Tactical Fighter Wing will inactivate. Assigned aircraft will be retired or redistributed among remaining active and reserve component units. One active A/OA-10 squadron will be realigned to Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina and one to Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina. All other personnel will depart.

**Justification:** The Air Force has five more tactical bases than needed to support the number of fighter aircraft in the DoD Force Structure Plan. All tactical bases were considered for closure equally in a process that conformed to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 and the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) guidance. Each base was evaluated against the eight DoD selection criteria and a large number of subelements specific to Air Force bases and missions. Data were collected and the criteria and subelements of the criteria applied by the Base Closure Executive Group (BCEG), a group of five general officers and five senior civilians appointed by the Secretary of the Air Force. The decision to close Myrtle Beach Air Force Base was made by the Secretary of the Air Force with advice of the Air Force Chief of Staff and in consultation with the BCEG.

As with the other categories, it was difficult to select closure candidates. All tactical bases are in generally good condition with strong community support. Distinctions can be drawn, however, when the data are evaluated against all eight of the DoD selection criteria and Air Force subelements. Myrtle Beach Air Force Base ranked low in this process compared to the other fifteen bases in the tactical subcategory and is recommended for closure. While Myrtle Beach Air Force Base's ranking rests on the combined results of applying the eight DoD selection criteria, rather than one or two specific deficiencies, a few points stand out. Incompatible development within the clear zone and accident potential zone, as well as local and regional airspace encroachment, and weather all negatively impact the long term military value of Myrtle Beach Air Force Base. Additionally, the cost to close Myrtle Beach Air Force Base is low and the savings are high.

The closure of Myrtle Beach Air Force Base will have an impact on the local economy. It is projected to result in a population loss of approximately 20,000 persons, direct and indirect employment loss of nearly 10,000 jobs, and regional income loss of just over 97 million dollars. These losses are in contrast to a regional population of just over 183,000, available jobs approaching 100,000, and regional annual income of just over 2.1 billion dollars.

By the end of FY 97, the net savings of implementing this recommendation is about \$76M. This saving could be increased by approximately \$15M in land value. Annual savings after implementation are expected to be \$30.2M. All values are in constant dollars.

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## MacDILL AIR FORCE BASE

**Recommendation:** MacDill Air Force Base, Florida, is recommended for realignment and partial closure. Realign the 56th Tactical Training Wing's F-16s from MacDill Air Force Base, to Luke Air Force Base, Arizona. The Joint Communications Support Element will move to Charleston Air Force Base, South Carolina. The airfield at MacDill Air Force Base will close, those facilities that support flying operations will be disposed of and the remainder of MacDill Air Force Base will become an administrative base.

**Justification:** The Air Force has five more tactical bases than needed to support the number of fighter aircraft in the DoD Force Structure Plan. All tactical bases were considered for closure equally in a process that conformed to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 and the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) guidance. Each base was evaluated against all eight of the DoD selection criteria and a large number of subelements specific to Air Force bases and missions. Data were collected and the eight criteria and subelements of the criteria applied by the Base Closure Executive Group (BCEG), a group of five general officers and five senior civilians appointed by the Secretary of the Air Force. The decision to realign/partially close MacDill Air Force Base was made by the Secretary of the Air Force with advice of the Air Force Chief of Staff and in consultation with the BCEG.

As with the other categories, it was difficult to select closure candidates. All tactical bases are in generally good condition with strong community support. Distinctions can be drawn, however, when the data are evaluated against the criteria. MacDill Air Force Base ranked low in this process compared to the other fifteen bases in the tactical subcategory and is recommended for realignment and partial closure. While MacDill Air Force Base's ranking rests on the combined results of applying the eight DoD selection criteria, rather than one or two specific deficiencies, a few points stand out. With the planned F-16 aircraft reductions, there is no longer a requirement to maintain two F-16 training locations (MacDill and Luke Air Force Bases) and Luke Air Force Base will have excess capacity due to redistribution of F-15 and F-16 aircraft. The long term military value of MacDill Air Force Base is low due to significant impacts of current/potential local and regional land use and airspace encroachment. This realignment is low cost and the savings are substantial. Although not part of the cost analysis, MacDill Air Force Base has one of the highest potentials to return substantial proceeds from property disposal to the Base Closure Account. By consolidating F-16 training at one base, the Air Force will save a minimum of \$20 million annually.

The closure of MacDill Air Force Base will have an impact on the local economy. It is projected to result in a population loss of approximately 6,000 persons, direct and indirect employment loss of 4,500 jobs, and regional income loss of 96 million dollars. These losses are in contrast to a regional population of just over 1.6 million, available jobs of just over 915,000, and regional annual income of nearly 26 billion dollars.

By the end of FY 97, the net savings of implementing this recommendation is about \$66M. This saving could be increased by approximately \$50M in land value. Annual savings after implementation are expected to be \$26.2M. All values are in constant dollars.

# UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

## FLYING CATEGORY

### TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY SPECIFIC ACTIONS/IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

#### BERGSTROM AFB, TEXAS

<u>UNIT</u>	<u>DISPOSITION</u>
67th Tactical Reconnaissance Wing	Inactivates
RF-4s	Retire
Air Force Reserve F-16s	Remain if civil airport
Twelfth Air Force Headquarters	to Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona
602nd Tactical Air Control Center Squadron	to Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona
12th Tactical Intelligence Squadron	to Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona
712th Air Support Operations Center Squadron	to Fort Hood, Texas
41st Electronic Combat Squadron	remain at Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona

#### ENGLAND AFB, LOUISIANA

<u>UNIT</u>	<u>DISPOSITION</u>
23rd Tactical Fighter Wing	Inactivates
A-10 Squadron	Redistributed/Retired
A-10 Squadron	to Eglin AFB, Florida
A-10 Squadron	to McChord AFB, Washington

#### MOODY AFB, GEORGIA

<u>UNIT</u>	<u>DISPOSITION</u>
347th Tactical Fighter Wing	Inactivates
F-16s	Redistributed

#### MYRTLE BEACH AFB, SOUTH CAROLINA

<u>UNIT</u>	<u>DISPOSITION</u>
354th Tactical Fighter Wing	Inactivates
A-10 Squadron	Redistributed/Retired
A-10 Squadron	to Pope AFB, North Carolina
A-10 Squadron	to Shaw AFB, South Carolina

UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED

MacDILL AFB, FLORIDA

UNIT

DISPOSITION

56th Tactical Training Wing .....	Inactivates
F-16s .....	to Luke AFB, Arizona
Joint Communications Support Element .....	to Charleston AFB, South Carolina

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY  
CRITERIA**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD TOTAL FORCE**

**CLOSURE RATING (G,Y,R)**

**1. Is existing force structure for primary mission of the base remaining in the inventory?**

**GREEN** - Force structure is a key part of the force structure plan  
- no programmed reductions  
**YELLOW** - Force structure is an integral part of the force structure plan -  
but has programmed reductions  
**RED** - Force structure is being phased out in the force structure plan

**2. Operational effectiveness**

**A. Geographic location supports mission**

**Alternate base:** GREEN ≤ 20 min ; YELLOW > 20 ≤ 30 min ; RED > 30 min

**Divert base(if single rwy):** GREEN ≤ 15 min; RED > 15 min

**Weather impact on mission at base:** GREEN - above minimums 90%, above 3000/5 75%, ≤ 5% WX attrition  
YELLOW - above minimums 80%, above 3000/5 50%, ≤ 7% WX attrition  
RED - anything else

**Does weather require training to be conducted off station?** Green - no  
Red - yes

**Air Traffic Delay:** GREEN avg ATC delay ≤ 5 min; Red > 5 min

**Special Use Airspace:** GREEN ≤ 20 min ; YELLOW > 20 ≤ 30 min ; RED > 30 min

**FIGHTERS: MOA/bombing Ranges:** GREEN ≤ 20 min ; YELLOW > 20 ≤ 30 min ; RED > 30 min

**RECCE: Low Alt Routes:** GREEN ≤ 20 min.; YELLOW > 20 ≤ 30 min ; RED > 30 min

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**ATTACK/OBS: Ground troop avail:** GREEN ≤ 100NM ; YELLOW > 100 ≤ 200NM ; RED > 200NM  
**Gunnery/bombing range:** GREEN ≤ 20 min ; YELLOW > 20 ≤ 30 min ; RED > 30 min

**B. Adequate Training areas (Ranges, TRs, MOAs)  
the unit uses**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1) Supersonic ACBT MOAs & Warning/Restricted areas?                   | GREEN - ≤ 150NM; YELLOW - ≤ 200NM; RED - > 200NM   |
| 2) Low alt MOAs for SAT & low alt intercept training?                 | GREEN - ≤ 100NM; YELLOW - ≤ 150NM; RED - > 150NM   |
| 3) Number of scorable range complexes (including TAC tgt/conv/strafe) | GREEN - 1 w/i 100NM; 4 w/i 250NM<br>YELLOW - 0 w/i 100NM or 3-4 w/i 250NM<br>RED - 2 or less w/i 250NM |
| 4) EC range within 200NM  | GREEN - yes ; RED - no   |
| 5) Army Forts w/ impact areas capable of tactical aircraft employment | GREEN - ≤ 100NM; YELLOW - ≤ 150NM; RED - > 150NM   |
| 6) ACMI   | GREEN - ≤ 150NM; YELLOW - ≤ 200NM; RED - > 200NM   |
| 7) Full scale live drop availability                                  | GREEN - ≤ 250NM; YELLOW - ≤ 300NM; RED - > 300NM   |
| 8) Number of VR/IR routes?  | GREEN - 10-15 w/i 100NM; YELLOW - < 10 w/i 150NM; RED - < 3 w/i 200NM                                  |

**C. Operational effectiveness of special use airspace and training areas**  
GREEN - Meets all training requirements  
YELLOW - Minor degradation to accomplishing training requirements  
RED - Major degradations to accomplishing training requirements

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**D. Potential for Airspace/Training Area growth**

**GREEN** - Airspace available for future expansion; supports advanced basing concept  
**YELLOW** - Status Quo  
**RED** - Reductions possible

**3. If there is force structure to support other categories at the base, will they remain in the inventory?**

**GREEN** - Force structure is a key part of the force structure plan  
no programmed reductions  
**YELLOW** - Force structure is an integral part of the force structure plan - but has  
programmed reductions  
**RED** - Force structure is being phased out in the force structure plan  
or NO other force structure

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL  
READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**PRIMARY FORCE (crit.1)**

BERGSTROM	R
CANNON	Y
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y
EIELSON	Y
ENGLAND	Y
HOLLOMAN	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	Y
MacDILL	Y
MOODY	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y
MYRTLE BEACH	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB	G
SHAW AFB	Y
TYNDALL AFB	G

**GREEN** - Force structure is key part of the force structure plan - no programmed reductions  
**YELLOW** - Force structure is an integral part of the force structure plan - but has programmed reductions  
**RED** - Force structure is being phased out in the plan

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (crit.2)**

	<b>ALTERNATE BASE</b>	<b>DIVERT BASE</b>
BERGSTROM	G	G
CANNON	G	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G
EIELSON	G	G
ENGLAND	G	G
HOLLOMAN	Y	G
HOMESTEAD	G	G
LANGLEY	G	G
LUKE	G	G
MacDILL	Y	G
MOODY	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G
SHAW	G	G
TYNDALL	G	G

**GREEN** ≤ 20 Minutes  
**YELLOW** > 20 ≤ 30 Minutes  
**RED** > 30 Minutes

**GREEN** ≤ 15 Minutes  
**YELLOW** N/A  
**RED** > 15 Minutes

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (crit.2A)  
WEATHER IMPACTS**

	ON MISSION	REQUIRE TDY
BERGSTROM	G	G
CANNON	G	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G
EIELSON	G	G
ENGLAND	R	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G
HOMESTEAD	G	G
LANGLEY	G	G
LUKE	G	G
MacDILL	G	G
MOODY	Y	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	Y	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	Y	G
SHAW	Y	G
TYNDALL	G	G

**GREEN** - Above minimums 90%, above 3000/5 75%, ≤ 5% WX attrition  
**YELLOW** - Above minimums 80%, above 3000/5 50%, ≤ 7% WX attrition  
**RED** - Anything else

**GREEN** - No  
**YELLOW** -N/A  
**RED** - Yes

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (crit.2A)**

	<b>AIR TRAFFIC DELAYS</b>
BERGSTROM	G
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	G
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	G
MacDILL	G
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN ≤ 5 Minutes**  
**YELLOW - N/A**  
**RED - > 5 Minutes**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (crit.2A)  
SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE**

	<b>AIR TO AIR MOA</b>	<b>BOMBING RANGES</b>	<b>LOW ALT ROUTES</b>	<b>GROUND FORCE TRAINING AREA</b>
BERGSTROM	G	G	G	G
CANNON	G	G	G	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	G	G
EIELSON	G	G	G	G
ENGLAND	G	G	G	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G	G	Y
HOMESTEAD	G	G	G	R
LANGLEY	G	G	G	Y
LUKE	G	G	G	G
MacDILL	G	G	G	R
MOODY	G	G	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G	G	R
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G	G
SHAW	G	G	G	G
TYNDALL	G	G	G	G

**(MOA/RANGES/ROUTES)**

**GREEN ≤ 20 Minutes**

**YELLOW > 20 ≤ 30 Minutes**

**RED > 30 Minutes**

**GREEN ≤ 100nm**

**YELLOW > 100nm ≤ 200nm**

**RED > 200nm**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (crit.2B)**  
**AVAILABLE TRAINING AREAS**

	1) ACBT/MOA SUPERSONIC	2) LOW ALT SAT/INTCT	3) SCORABLE RANGE COMPLEX	4) EC RANGE
BERGSTROM	G	G	R	R
CANNON	G	G	G	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	G	G
EIELSON	G	G	Y	G
ENGLAND	G	G	G	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G	G	R
HOMESTEAD	G	Y	Y	G
LANGLEY	G	G	G	G
LUKE	G	G	G	G
MacDILL	G	R	G	G
MOODY	G	G	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y	G	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G	G
SHAW	G	G	G	G
TYNDALL	G	G	G	G

**GREEN** ≤ 150nm    **GREEN** ≤ 100nm    **GREEN** 1 w/i 100nm; 4 w/i 250nm  
**YELLOW** ≤ 200nm    **YELLOW** ≤ 150nm    **YELLOW** 0 w/i 100nm or 3-4 w/i 250nm  
**RED** > 200nm    **RED** > 150nm    **RED** 2 or less w/i 250nm

**GREEN** - ≤ 200nm  
**YELLOW** - N/A  
**RED** - > 200nm

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (crit.2B)**  
**AVAILABLE TRAINING AREAS**

	<b>5) ARMY FORTS w/ impact area</b>	<b>6)ACMI</b>	<b>7) FULL SCALE LIVE DROP</b>	<b>8)VR/IR ROUTES</b>
BERGSTROM	G	G	G	G
CANNON	R	G	G	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	R	G	G	G
EIELSON	G	G	G	G
ENGLAND	G	Y	G	G
HOLLOMAN	R	G	G	Y
HOMESTEAD	R	G	R	Y
LANGLEY	Y	G	G	G
LUKE	R	G	G	G
MacDILL	R	G	G	G
MOODY	G	G	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	R	Y	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G	G
SHAW	G	G	G	G
TYNDALL	G	G	G	G

**GREEN** ≤ 100nm    **GREEN** ≤ 150nm    **GREEN** ≤ 250nm    **GREEN** 10-15 w/i 100nm  
**YELLOW** ≤ 150nm    **YELLOW** ≤ 200nm    **YELLOW** ≤ 300nm    **YELLOW** < 10 w/i 150nm  
**RED** > 150nm    **RED** > 200nm    **RED** > 300nm    **RED** < 3 w/i 200nm

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (crit.2C)**  
**SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE AND AVAILABLE TRAINING AREAS**  
**(ABILITY TO FULFILL ALL TYPES OF TACTICAL TRAINING REQUIREMENTS)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	G
MacDILL	Y
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Meets all training requirements  
**YELLOW** - Minor degradations to training  
**RED** - Major degradations to training accomplishment

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (crit.2D)  
POTENTIAL FOR AIRSPACE/TRAINING AREA GROWTH**

BERGSTROM	R
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	R
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	Y
HOLLOMAN	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	Y
LUKE	Y
MacDILL	Y
MOODY	R
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	Y
SHAW	Y
TYNDALL	Y

**GREEN** - Airspace available for future expansion; supports advanced basing concepts  
**YELLOW** - Status Quo  
**RED** - Reductions possible

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**I. THE CURRENT AND FUTURE MISSION REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL READINESS OF DOD's TOTAL FORCE**

**OTHER FORCE STRUCTURE (crit.3)**

BERGSTROM	G
CANNON	R
<u>DAVIS-MONTHAN</u>	G
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	R
<u>HOLLOMAN</u>	G
HOMESTEAD	G
LANGLEY	G
<u>LUKE</u>	G
MacDILL	R
MOODY	R
<u>MOUNTAIN HOME</u>	R
MYRTLE BEACH	R
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
<u>SHAW</u>	R
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Force structure is key part of the force structure plan - no programmed reductions

**YELLOW** - Force structure is an integral part of the force structure plan - but has programmed reductions

**RED** - Force structure is being phased out in the plan or **NO** other force structure

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

CRITERIA I

	Force Structure	Alternate Divert	Wx Impact Require TDY	ATC Delays	Air to Air MOA Bombing Ranges Low Alt Routes Gnd Tng Area	ACBT/MOA Low Alt Scorable Range EC Range	Army Forts ACMI Live Drop VR/IR Routes	Effectiveness Growth	Other FS
BERGSTROM	R	GG	GG	G	GGGG	GGRR	GGGG	YR	G
CANNON	Y	GG	GG	G	GGGR	GGGR	RGGG	GG	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y	GG	GG	G	GGGG	GGGG	RGGG	GR	G
EIELSON	Y	GG	GG	G	GGGG	GGYG	GGGG	GG	G
ENGLAND	Y	GG	RG	G	GGGG	GGGG	GYGG	GY	R
HOLLOMAN	Y	YG	GG	G	GGGY	GGGR	RGGY	GY	G
HOMESTEAD	Y	GG	GG	G	GGGR	GYYG	RGRY	YY	G
LANGLEY	G	GG	GG	G	GGGY	GGGG	YGGG	GY	G
LUKE	Y	GG	GG	G	GGGG	GGGG	RGGG	GY	G
MacDILL	Y	YG	GG	G	GGGR	GRGG	RGGG	YY	R
MOODY	Y	GG	YG	G	GGGG	GGGG	GGGG	GR	R
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y	GG	GG	G	GGGR	YGGG	RYGG	YG	R
MYRTLE BEACH	Y	GG	YG	G	GGGG	GGGG	GGGG	GY	R
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	GG	YG	G	GGGG	GGGG	GGGG	GY	G
SHAW	Y	GG	YG	G	GGGG	GGGG	GGGG	GY	R
TYNDALL	G	GG	GG	G	GGGG	GGGG	GGGG	GY	G

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**II. AVAILABILITY AND CONDITION OF LAND, FACILITIES AND ASSOCIATED AIRSPACE AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATIONS**

**1. Are there unique facilities at the installation which must be replicated if the base is closed? (High cost specialized facilities)**

GREEN - Yes, unique facilities exists  
RED - No unique facilities exists

**2A. Existing Associated Airspace encroachment (special use airspace)**

**MOAs and Restricted Airspace**

GREEN - Civil and commercial aviation development generally compatible with existing military operating areas and restricted airspace  
YELLOW - Civil and commercial aviation development impacts access to some (limited) MOAs. Near-term development of MOAs or restricted airspace may be limited  
RED - Civil and commercial aviation dominates the development of and access to MOAs. Near-term development of MOAs or restricted airspace incompatible

**Bombing Ranges**

GREEN - Regional development generally compatible with Air-to-Ground range activity  
YELLOW - Regional development incompatible in some (limited) areas, creating some restrictions on Air-to-Ground range activities  
RED - Regional development severely incompatible in many areas, causing major modifications to Air-to-Ground range access

**Low Level Routes**

GREEN - Regional development generally compatible with low level route access  
YELLOW - Regional development incompatible in some (limited) areas, creating restrictions on low level route structure  
RED - Regional development severely incompatible in many areas, causing major modifications to low level routes

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**2B. Future Associated Airspace Encroachment (special use airspace)**

**MOAs and Restricted Airspace**

**GREEN** - Future civil and commercial aviation development generally expected to remain compatible with existing military operating areas and restricted airspace  
**YELLOW** - Future civil and commercial aviation development may impact access to some (limited) MOAs. Future development of MOAs or restricted airspace may be limited  
**RED** - Future civil and commercial aviation may predominate the area and access to MOAs may become severely limited. Future development of MOAs or restricted airspace may be limited

**Bombing Ranges**

**GREEN** - Future regional development generally expected to be compatible with Air-to-Ground ranges  
**YELLOW** - Future regional development may become incompatible in some (limited) areas, creating some restrictions on access to Air-to-Ground ranges  
**RED** - Future regional development may become severely incompatible in many areas, causing major modifications to Air-to-Ground range access

**Low Level Routes**

**GREEN** - Future regional development generally expected to be compatible with low level route access  
**YELLOW** - Future regional development may become incompatible in some (limited) areas, creating restrictions on low level route structure  
**RED** - Future regional development may become severely incompatible in many areas, causing major modifications to low level routes

**3. Facilities capacity:**

**Base**

**GREEN**  $\leq$  to the mean  
**YELLOW**  $\geq$  to -1 standard deviation and  $<$  the mean  
**RED**  $<$  -1 standard deviation

**Housing**

**GREEN**  $\geq$  to the mean  
**YELLOW**  $\geq$  to -1 standard deviation and  $<$  the mean  
**RED**  $<$  -1 standard deviation

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**4. Facilities condition:**

**Base**

**A. Condition:** GREEN  $\geq$  to the mean  
YELLOW  $\geq$  to -1 standard deviation and  $<$  the mean  
RED  $<$  -1 standard deviation

**B. Cost:** GREEN  $\leq$  to the mean  
YELLOW  $>$  the mean and  $\leq$  to +1 standard deviation  
RED  $>$  +1 standard deviation

**Housing**

**A. Condition:** GREEN  $\geq$  to the mean  
YELLOW  $\geq$  to -1 standard deviation and  $<$  the mean  
RED  $<$  -1 standard deviation

**B. Cost:** GREEN  $\leq$  to the mean  
YELLOW  $>$  the mean and  $\leq$  to +1 standard deviation  
RED  $>$  +1 standard deviation

**5A. Existing local/regional community encroachment**

**Accident potential zones**

GREEN - Off-base development generally compatible with accident potential zones and quantity-distance criteria  
YELLOW - Off-base development incompatible in some (limited) areas construction/operations  
RED - Off-base development incompatible with accident potential zones, or quantity-distance safety criteria

**Noise zones**

GREEN - Off-base development generally compatible with Air Installation Compatible Use Zone noise recommendations  
YELLOW - Off-base development incompatible in some (limited) areas  
RED - Off-base development incompatible in many areas, or many people exposed to high noise levels

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

Environs airspace	GREEN - Airspace encroachment is low and little or no operational adjustments made YELLOW - Airspace encroachment is moderate and may require limited operational adjustments RED - Airspace encroachment is high and requires substantial operational adjustment
5B. Future local/regional community encroachment Accident potential zones	GREEN - Future off-base development generally expected to remain compatible with accident potential zones and quantity-distance criteria YELLOW - Future off-base development may become incompatible in some (limited) areas RED - Future off-base development may become incompatible with accident potential zones, or quantity-distance safety criteria
Noise zones	GREEN - Future off-base development generally expected to be compatible with Air Installation Compatible Use Zone noise recommendations YELLOW - Future off-base development may become incompatible in some (limited) areas RED - Future off-base development may become incompatible in many areas, or many people exposed to high noise levels
Environs airspace	GREEN - Potential for encroachment is low and little or no operational adjustment anticipated YELLOW - Potential for encroachment is moderate and may require limited operational adjustment RED - Potential for encroachment is high and may require substantial operational adjustments
6. Are the runway(s) adequate to support the primary mission?	GREEN - Dual runway or single runway with emergency landing airfield $\leq$ 10 min YELLOW - Single runway with emergency landing airfield $\leq$ 15 min RED - Anything else

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**II. THE AVAILABILITY AND CONDITION OF LAND, FACILITIES AND ASSOCIATED AIRSPACE AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATIONS**

	<b>UNIQUE FACILITIES THAT MUST BE REPLICATED (crit.1)</b>	<b>RUNWAY STATUS (crit.6)</b>
BERGSTROM	G	G
CANNON	R	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G
EIELSON	G	G
ENGLAND	R	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G
HOMESTEAD	G	G
LANGLEY	G	G
LUKE	G	G
MacDILL	G	G
MOODY	R	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G
SHAW	G	G
TYNDALL	G	G

**GREEN - YES, unique facilities exist**

**YELLOW - N/A**

**RED - No unique facilities exists**

**GREEN - Dual runway or single  
with emerg ldg afd ≤ 10 min**

**YELLOW - Single runway with  
emerg ldg afd ≤ 15 min**

**RED - Anything else**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

## FLYING CATEGORY

### TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA

#### II. THE AVAILABILITY AND CONDITION OF LAND, FACILITIES AND ASSOCIATED AIRSPACE AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATIONS

##### 2. Existing Associated Airspace Encroachment (SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE)

##### 2.A Existing Local/Regional Community Encroachment

	MOAs/RA	BOMB RG	LOW LEVEL
BERGSTROM	Y	Y	Y
CANNON	G	Y	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	G
EIELSON	G	G	G
ENGLAND	G	G	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y	Y	Y
LANGLEY	Y	G	Y
LUKE	G	G	G
MACDILL	Y	Y	Y
MOODY	Y	Y	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	Y	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G
SHAW	G	Y	Y
TYNDALL	G	G	Y

**GREEN** - Civil and commercial aviation development generally compatible with existing Military Operating Areas and Restricted Airspace

**YELLOW** - Civil and commercial aviation development impacts access to some (limited) MOAs. Near term development of MOAs or Restricted Airspace may be limited.

**RED** - Civil and commercial aviation dominates the development of and access to MOAs. Near-term development of MOAs or Restricted Airspace incompatible

**GREEN** - Regional development generally compatible with access to Auxiliary Airfield activity

**YELLOW** - Regional development incompatible in some (limited) areas, creating restrictions on Auxiliary Airfield activities

**RED** - Regional development severely incompatible in many areas, causing major modifications to Auxiliary Airfield access, or severely limits access to MOAs

**GREEN** - Regional development generally compatible with low-level route access

**YELLOW** - Regional development incompatible in some (limited) areas, creating restrictions on low level route structure

**RED** - Regional development severely incompatible in many areas, causing major modifications to low level routes

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

- II. THE AVAILABILITY AND CONDITION OF LAND, FACILITIES AND ASSOCIATED AIRSPACE FOR BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATIONS**
2. Associated Airspace Encroachment (Special Use Airspace)
- 2.B Future Local/Regional Community Encroachment

	MOAs/RA	BOMB RG	LOW LEVEL
BERGSTROM	R	Y	Y
CANNON	G	Y	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y	G	G
EIELSON	G	G	G
ENGLAND	Y	G	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y	Y	Y
LANGLEY	Y	G	Y
LUKE	Y	G	G
MACDILL	Y	Y	Y
MOODY	Y	Y	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	Y	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	Y	G	Y
SHAW	G	Y	Y
TYNDALL	G	G	Y

**GREEN** - Future civil and commercial aviation development generally expected to remain compatible with existing Military Operating Areas and Restricted Airspace

**YELLOW** - Future civil and commercial aviation development may impact access to some (limited) MOAs. Future development of Restricted Airspace may be limited.

**RED** - Future civil and commercial aviation may predominate the area and access to MOAs may become severely limited. Future development of Restricted Airspace incompatible

**GREEN** - Future regional development generally expected to be compatible with access to Air-to-Ground ranges

**YELLOW** - Future regional development may become incompatible in some (limited) areas, creating restrictions on access to Air-to-Ground ranges

**RED** - Future regional development may become severely incompatible in many areas, causing major modifications to Air-to-Ground range access

**GREEN** - Future regional development generally expected to be compatible with low-level route access

**YELLOW** - Future regional development may become incompatible in some (limited) areas, creating restrictions on low level route structure

**RED** - Future regional development may become severely incompatible in many areas, causing major modifications to low level routes

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**II. THE AVAILABILITY AND CONDITION OF LAND, FACILITIES AND ASSOCIATED AIRSPACE AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATIONS**

- 3. Facilities capacity
- 4. Facilities condition and cost

	FACILITIES CAPACITY		FACILITIES CONDITION		FACILITIES COST	
	BASE/HOUSING		BASE/HOUSING		BASE/HOUSING	
BERGSTROM	Y	R	Y	G	Y	G
CANNON	R	G	Y	G	G	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	G	G	Y	G
EIELSON	G	Y	R	G	Y	G
ENGLAND	R	Y	G	G	G	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G	G	G	G	Y
HOMESTEAD	G	G	G	R	G	Y
LANGLEY	G	G	Y	G	Y	Y
LUKE	G	G	G	G	G	G
MacDILL	G	R	Y	G	Y	G
MOODY	R	Y	G	Y	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y	G	R	R	Y	R
MYRTLE BEACH	R	G	G	G	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G	R	G	R
SHAW	Y	G	G	R	G	R
TYNDALL	G	G	R	Y	R	Y

Capacity/condition

**GREEN** ≥ to the mean  
**YELLOW** ≥ to -1 standard deviation and < the mean  
**RED** < -1 standard deviation

Cost

**GREEN** ≤ to the mean  
**YELLOW** > the mean and ≤ to standard deviation  
**RED** > +1 standard deviation

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

## FLYING CATEGORY

### TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA

**II. THE AVAILABILITY AND CONDITION OF LAND, FACILITIES, AND ASSOCIATED AIRSPACE AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATIONS**  
**5.A Existing Local/Regional Community Encroachment**

	APZ	NOISE	ENVIRONS AIRSPACE
BERGSTROM	Y	Y	R
CANNON	Y	Y	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y	Y-	Y
EIELSON	G	G	G
ENGLAND	Y+	Y+	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G	G
HOMESTEAD	Y	Y	G
LANGLEY	Y	Y	G
LUKE	Y	Y	Y
MACDILL	R	Y	Y
MOODY	G	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	R	Y	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	Y	G
SHAW	Y	Y	G
TYNDALL	G	G	G

**GREEN** - Off-base development generally compatible with accident potential zones and quantity-distance criteria  
**YELLOW** - Off-base development incompatible in some (limited) areas construction/operations.  
**RED** - Off-base development incompatible with accident potential zones, or quantity-distance safety criteria

**GREEN** - Off-base development generally compatible with Air Installation Compatible Use Zone noise recommendations  
**YELLOW** - Off-base development incompatible in some (limited) areas  
**RED** - Off-base development incompatible in many areas, or many people exposed to high noise levels

**GREEN** - Airspace encroachment is LOW and little or no operational adjustments made  
**YELLOW** - Airspace encroachment is moderate and may require limited operational adjustments  
**RED** - Airspace encroachment is high and requires substantial operational adjustment

# UNCLASSIFIED

## FLYING CATEGORY

### TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA

#### II. THE AVAILABILITY AND CONDITION OF LAND, FACILITIES, AND ASSOCIATED AIRSPACE AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND RECEIVING LOCATIONS

##### 5.B Future Local/Regional Community Encroachment

	APZ	NOISE	ENVIRONS AIRSPACE
BERGSTROM	Y	Y	R
CANNON	Y	Y	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y	Y	Y
EIELSON	G	G	G
ENGLAND	Y+	Y+	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G	G
HOMESTEAD	Y	Y	G
LANGLEY	Y	Y	G
LUKE	Y	Y	Y
MACDILL	R	Y	Y
MOODY	G	G	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	R	Y	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	Y	Y
SHAW	Y	Y	Y
TYNDALL	G	G	G

**GREEN** - Future off-base development generally expected to remain compatible with accident potential zones and quantity-distance criteria

**YELLOW** - Future off-base development may become incompatible in some (limited) areas construction/operations.

**RED** - Future off-base development may become incompatible with accident potential zones, or quantity-distance safety criteria

**GREEN** - Future off-base development generally expected to be compatible with Air Installation Compatible Use Zone noise recommendations

**YELLOW** - Future off-base development may become incompatible in some (limited) areas

**RED** - Future off-base development may become incompatible in many areas, or many people exposed to high noise levels

**GREEN** - Potential for encroachment is LOW and little or no operational adjustments anticipated

**YELLOW** - Potential for encroachment is moderate and may require limited operational adjustments

**RED** - Potential for encroachment is high and may require substantial operational adjustments

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

CRITERIA	II	EAE		FAE		Cap	Cond	Cost	ECOM			FCOM		
		Unique Facilities Runway Status	MOAs & Rest Bombing Rng LL Rte	MOAs & Rest Bombing Rng LL Rte	Base Facilities Family Housing	Base Facilities Family Housing	Base Facilities Family Housing	APZs Noise Environ	APZs Noise Environ					
BERGSTROM		GG	YYY	RY	YR	YG	YG	YYR	YYR					
CANNON		RG	GYG	GYG	RG	YG	GG	YYG	YYG					
<u>DAVIS-MONTHAN</u>		GG	GGG	YGG	GG	GG	YG	YYY	YYY					
EIELSON		GG	GGG	GGG	GY	RG	YG	GGG	GGG					
ENGLAND		RG	GGG	YGG	RY	GG	GG	Y+Y+G	Y+Y+G					
<u>HOLLOMAN</u>		GG	GGY	GGY	GG	GG	GY	GGG	GGG					
HOMESTEAD		GG	YYY	YYY	GG	GR	GY	YYG	YYG					
LANGLEY		GG	YGY	YGY	GG	YG	YY	YYG	YYG					
<u>LUKE</u>		GG	GGG	YGG	GG	GG	GG	YYY	YYY					
MacDILL		GG	YYY	YYY	GR	YG	YG	RY	RY					
MOODY		RG	YYY	YYY	RY	GY	GG	GGG	GGY					
<u>MOUNTAIN HOME</u>		GG	GGG	GGG	YG	RR	YR	GGG	GGG					
MYRTLE BEACH		GG	GY	GY	RG	GG	GG	RY	RY					
SEYMOUR JOHNSON		GG	GGG	YGY	GG	GR	GR	GYG	GY					
<u>SHAW</u>		GG	GY	GY	YG	GR	GR	YYG	YYY					
TYNDALL		GG	GGY	GGY	GG	RY	RY	GGG	GGG					

**UNCLASSIFIED**



**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

F. What is the capacity of the parking apron?

GREEN - > 30% Excess capacity  
YELLOW - 10 - 30% Excess capacity  
RED - Anything else

G. What are the facilities support capacities?  
(includes: Comm, utility, water, and sewage)

GREEN - Can support > 10% increase  
YELLOW - Can support up to 10% increase  
RED - Cannot support increase without costs

**2. FUTURE FORCE REQUIREMENTS**

**Is the base located and have basic necessary characteristics to support another category's mission:  
(Assumes current mission is no longer present)**

- 1) MOBILITY**
- 2) STRATEGIC**
- 3) FLY TNG**

GREEN - Meets requirements of MACRO Look, with minor MILCON  
YELLOW - Meets some requirements of MACRO Look, with major MILCON  
RED - Does not meet MACRO Look

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**III. THE ABILITY TO ACCOMMODATE CONTINGENCY, MOBILIZATION, AND FUTURE TOTAL FORCE REQUIREMENTS AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATION**

**CONTINGENCY AND MOBILIZATION (crit.1)**

	<b>C-141 MOG (crit.A)</b>	<b>FUEL HYDRANTS (crit.B)</b>	<b>MUNITIONS (crit.C)</b>	<b>MUNITIONS HOT PAD (crit.D)</b>
BERGSTROM	G	G	G	G
CANNON	Y	R	Y	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	G	G
EIELSON	Y	G	G	G
ENGLAND	G	G	Y	G
HOLLOMAN	G	G	G	G
HOMESTEAD	G	G	G	G
LANGLEY	G	G	Y	G
LUKE	Y	R	G	G
MacDILL	G	G	G	G
MOODY	G	R	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	R	Y	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G	G
SHAW	Y	G	Y	G
TYNDALL	G	R	G	G

**GREEN - 5 or more**  
**YELLOW - 3 to 4**  
**RED < 3**

**GREEN - YES**  
**YELLOW - N/A**  
**RED - NO**

**GREEN > 30% excess capacity**  
**YELLOW 10 to 30% excess**  
**RED < 10% excess capacity**

**GREEN - Yes**  
**YELLOW - N/A**  
**RED - No**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**III. THE ABILITY TO ACCOMMODATE CONTINGENCY, MOBILIZATION, AND FUTURE TOTAL FORCE REQUIREMENTS AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATION**

**CONTINGENCY AND MOBILIZATION (crit.1)**

**SUMMARY OF MUNITIONS  
(NEW by CAT)**

	<b>CAT 1.1 (HE)</b>	<b>CAT 1.2 (Propel/CBU)</b>	<b>CAT 1.3 (Flares,etc)</b>	<b>CAT 1.4 (Small arms)</b>
BERGSTROM	717,700	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap
CANNON	551,965	Phys Cap	2,870,000	Phys Cap
DAVIS-MONTHAN	6,507,760	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap
EIELSON	6,500,000	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap
ENGLAND	301,309	Phys Cap	4,135,000	Phys Cap
HOLLOMAN	4,299,600	Phys Cap	9,805,000	Phys Cap
HOMESTEAD	2,942,731	Phys Cap	9,004,450	Phys Cap
LANGLEY	50,171	Phys Cap	640,000+	Phys Cap
LUKE	1,254,219	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap
MacDILL	2,124,495	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap
MOODY	323,962	Phys Cap	395,000+	Phys Cap
MOUNTAIN HOME	2,473,938	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap
MYRTLE BEACH	267,435	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	2,000,000+	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap
SHAW	357,527	Phys Cap	945,000+	Phys Cap
TYNDALL	857,882	Phys Cap	Phys Cap	Phys Cap

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**III. THE ABILITY TO ACCOMMODATE CONTINGENCY, MOBILIZATION, AND FUTURE TOTAL FORCE REQUIREMENTS AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATION**

**GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION (Base located within 150nm of) (crit.1E)**

	<b>ARMY/MARINE INSTALLATION (crit.a)</b>	<b>RAIL (crit.b)</b>	<b>PORT (crit.c)</b>
BERSTROM	G	G	R
CANNON	R	G	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	R
EIELSON	G	G	R
ENGLAND	G	G	R
HOLLOMAN	G	G	R
HOMESTEAD	R	G	G
LANGLEY	G	G	G
LUKE	R	G	R
MacDILL	R	G	G
MOODY	G	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	R	G	R
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G
SHAW	G	G	G
TYNDALL	G	G	G

GREEN - YES  
YELLOW - N/A  
RED - NO

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**III. THE ABILITY TO ACCOMMODATE CONTINGENCY, MOBILIZATION, AND FUTURE TOTAL FORCE REQUIREMENTS AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATION**

	<b>CAPACITY APRON (crit.1F)</b>	<b>FACILITIES SUPPORT (crit.1G)</b>
BERGTROM	G	Y
CANNON	G	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	Y
EIELSON	G	R
ENGLAND	G	G
HOLLOMAN	G	R
HOMESTEAD	G	G
LANGLEY	G	G
LUKE	G	R
MacDILL	G	R
MOODY	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	R
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	R	G
SHAW	G	R
TYNDALL	G	G

**GREEN** - > 30% Excess  
**YELLOW** - 10 - 30% Excess  
**RED** - Anything else

**GREEN** - Support > 10% increase  
**YELLOW** - Support up to 10% increase  
**RED** - Cannot support increase/costs

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**III. THE ABILITY TO ACCOMMODATE CONTINGENCY, MOBILIZATION, AND FUTURE TOTAL FORCE REQUIREMENTS AT BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING LOCATION**

**FUTURE FORCE REQUIREMENTS**

**SUPPORT ANOTHER CATEGORY (crit.B)**

	MOBILITY	STRATEGIC	FLY TNG
BERGSTROM	Y	R	R
CANNON	R	Y	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y	R	R
EIELSON	Y	Y	R
ENGLAND	Y	R	R
HOLLOMAN	R	Y	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y	Y	R
LANGLEY	Y	R	R
LUKE	Y	R	Y
MacDILL	Y	R	R
MOODY	Y	R	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y	Y	R
MYRTLE BEACH	Y	R	R
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	R
SHAW	Y	R	R
TYNDALL	Y	R	R

**GREEN** - Meets requirements of MACRO Look, with minor MILCON  
**YELLOW** - Meets some requirements of MACRO Look, with major MILCON  
**RED** - Does not meet MACRO Look

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

CRITERIA III

	C-141 MOG	Fuel Hydrants	Munitions	Hot Pad	Army/Marine Inst	Rail	Port	Apron	Fac Support	Mobility	Strategic	Fly Tng
BERGSTROM	G	G	G	G	G	G	R	G	Y	Y	R	R
CANNON	Y	R	Y	G	R	G	R	G	R	R	Y	R
<u>DAVIS-MONTHAN</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>R</u>
EIELSON	Y	G	G	G	G	G	R	G	R	Y	Y	R
ENGLAND	G	G	Y	G	G	G	R	G	G	Y	R	R
<u>HOLLOMAN</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>
HOMESTEAD	G	G	G	G	R	G	G	G	G	Y	Y	R
LANGLEY	G	G	Y	G	G	G	G	G	G	Y	R	R
<u>LUKE</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>Y</u>
MacDILL	G	G	G	G	R	G	G	G	R	Y	R	R
MOODY	G	R	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	Y	R	Y
<u>MOUNTAIN HOME</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>R</u>
MYRTLE BEACH	G	R	Y	G	G	G	G	G	G	Y	R	R
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	R	G	G	G	R
<u>SHAW</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>R</u>
TYNDALL	G	R	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	Y	R	R

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**IV. THE COST AND MANPOWER IMPLICATIONS**

1. **ONE TIME CLOSURE COSTS:** Programming impact; excludes one-time environmental impact which is included in criteria #8.
2. **20 YEAR NET PRESENT VALUE OF SAVINGS:** Shows savings (positive number) derived by discounting costs and savings over a 20 year period
3. **NET STEADY STATE SAVINGS:** The annual recurring savings which result from avoiding the operating and personnel costs of the closed base as offset by the annual recurring costs such as CHAMPUS and housing as a result of closing the base
4. **MANPOWER REDUCTIONS:** Support manpower spaces eliminated as a result of closing the base

**V. THE EXTENT AND TIMING OF POTENTIAL COSTS AND SAVINGS, INCLUDING THE NUMBER OF YEARS, BEGINNING WITH THE DATE OF COMPLETION OF THE CLOSURE OR REALIGNMENT, FOR THE SAVINGS TO EXCEED THE COSTS.**

1. **INVESTMENT PAYBACK:** Years elapsed from closure year to payback. Payback computed from Net Present Value analysis using OMB Circular A-94

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**IV COST AND MANPOWER  
IMPLICATIONS**

**V RETURN ON  
INVESTMENT**

	ONE TIME CLOSURE COSTS		20 YEAR	STEADY STATE	MANPOWER	YEARS TO
	(TY\$M)	(CY\$M)	NPV (\$M)	NET SAVINGS	REDUCTIONS	PAYBACK
BERGSTROM	55.1	49.6	187	31.0	-978	1
CANNON	59.0	53.0	297	42.0	-1222	1
DAVIS-MONTHAN	45.1	40.3	228	34.9	-899	1
EIELSON	139.6	123.5	492	79.6	-1469	1
ENGLAND	43.3	38.8	348	50.9	-1167	1
HOLLOMAN	280.3	247.7	211	57.0	-1676	2
HOMESTEAD	98.4	87.8	259	45.2	-1328	1
LANGLEY	203.4	181.8	190	46.8	-1395	5
LUKE	89.3	80.2	447	73.4	-1560	2
MacDILL	220.2	196.9	252	57.1	-1681	4
MOODY	28.8	26.3	260	37.8	-1026	1
MOUNTAIN HOME	53.2	47.5	335	50.3	-1345	1
MYRTLE BEACH	41.3	37.0	227	35.2	-1240	1
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	109.1	97.1	252	45.2	-1375	3
SHAW	78.0	70.0	358	56.2	-1413	1
TYNDALL	280.6	248.0	141	48.1	-1622	7

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA / LAND VALUE ANALYSIS**

	NPL	RURAL	SMALL CITY	URBAN	OVERALL	
BERGSTROM	R			R	R***	<u>Hard to sell or negligible return (G)</u>
CANNON	R	G			G-	Eielson, Mt Home
DAVIS-MONTHAN	R			R	R	<u>Low return (G-)</u>
EIELSON	G	G			G	Cannon, England, Holloman,
ENGLAND	R	G			G-	Moody, Myrtle Beach*,
HOLLOMAN	R	G			G-	Seymour Johnson, Tyndall**
HOMESTEAD	G			R	Y	<u>Hard to sell; possibly good return</u>
LANGLEY	R			R	R	<u>someday (Y)</u>
LUKE	G			R	Y	Homestead, Luke
MacDILL	R			R	R	<u>Best and earliest return (R)</u>
MOODY	R	G			G-	Bergstrom, Davis-Monthan,
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G			G	Langley, MacDill, Shaw
MYRTLE BEACH	R	G			G-	
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	R	G			G-	
SHAW	R			R	R	
TYNDALL	R	G**			G-	

\* Resort area may increase in value    \*\* Ocean frontage may increase in value or become National Seashore    \*\*\* City claims land

Note: Air Force experience with closing bases led to the conclusion that the near term potential for revenue from property sales would be too uncertain to include it as a formal element in the cost analysis. However, this information was available to and considered by the BCEG in its deliberations.

UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VI. THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON COMMUNITIES.**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. <b>EMPLOYMENT</b>   | <b>GREEN</b> - Reductions exceed historic high reduction (1969-1987)<br><b>YELLOW</b> - Reductions are between 50% of the historic high reduction and the historic high reduction (1969-1987)<br><b>RED</b> - Reductions are less than 50% of historic high reduction (1969-1987), or negligible  |
| 2. <b>POPULATION</b>   | <b>GREEN</b> - Reductions exceed historic high reductions (1969-1987)<br><b>YELLOW</b> - Reductions are between 50% of the historic high reduction and the historic high reduction (1969-1987)<br><b>RED</b> - Reductions are less than 50% of the historic high reduction (1969-1987), or negligible   |
| 3. <b>INCOME</b>   | <b>GREEN</b> - Reductions exceed historic high reductions (1969-1987)<br><b>YELLOW</b> - Reductions are between 50% of the historic high reduction and the historic high reduction<br><b>RED</b> - Reductions are less than 50% of the historic high reduction (1969-1987), or negligible   |
| 4. <b>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</b><br><b>OPERATING REVENUES</b><br><b>EXPENDITURES</b> | <b>GREEN</b> - The net fiscal impact on local government is negative and comparatively large.<br>(Expenditures savings are less than 75% of revenue losses)<br><b>YELLOW</b> - The net fiscal impact on local government is negative, but comparatively small.<br>(Expenditures savings are 75% or more of revenue losses)<br><b>RED</b> - The net fiscal impact on local government is neutral or positive. (Expenditures savings exceed revenue losses) |
| 5. <b>INSTALLATION</b><br><b>RESTORATION</b><br><b>PROGRAMS (IRP)</b>          | <b>GREEN</b> - Actual clean-up time is estimated to be lengthy (> 5 yrs)<br><b>YELLOW</b> - Actual clean-up time is moderate (about 5 yrs)<br><b>RED</b> - Actual clean-up time is estimated to be relatively short (< 5 yrs)   |

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VI. ECONOMIC IMPACT ON COMMUNITIES**

**EMPLOYMENT (crit. 1)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	R
LANGLEY	Y
LUKE	R
MACDILL	R
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Reductions exceed historic high reduction (1969-1987)

**YELLOW** - Reductions are between 50% of the historic high reduction and the historic high reduction (1969-1987)

**RED** - Reductions are less than 50% of historic high reduction (1969-1987), or negligible

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VI. ECONOMIC IMPACT ON COMMUNITIES**

**POPULATION (crit. 2)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	Y
MACDILL	Y
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Reductions exceed historic high reduction (1969-1987)

**YELLOW** - Reductions are between 50% of the historic high reduction and the historic high reduction (1969-1987)

**RED** - Reductions are less than 50% of historic high reduction (1969-1987), or negligible

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VI. ECONOMIC IMPACT ON COMMUNITIES**

**INCOME (crit. 3)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	R
LANGLEY	Y
LUKE	R
MACDILL	R
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Reductions exceed historic high reduction (1969-1987)

**YELLOW** - Reductions are between 50% of the historic high reduction and the historic high reduction (1969-1987)

**RED** - Reductions are less than 50% of historic high reduction (1969-1987), or negligible

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VI. ECONOMIC IMPACT ON COMMUNITIES**

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT OPERATING REVENUES/EXPENDITURES (crit. 4)**

BERGSTROM	G
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	Y
HOMESTEAD	G
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	G
MACDILL	G
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - The net fiscal impact on local government is negative and comparatively large. (Expenditures savings are less than 75% of revenue losses.)

**YELLOW** - The net fiscal impact on local government is negative, but comparatively small. (Expenditures savings are 75% or more of revenue losses.)

**RED** - The net fiscal impact on local government is neutral or positive. (Expenditures savings exceed revenue losses.)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VI. ECONOMIC IMPACT ON COMMUNITIES**

**INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAMS (IRP) (crit. 5)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	Y
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	G
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	G
MACDILL	G
MOODY	R
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	Y
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	Y

**GREEN** - Actual clean-up time is estimated to be lengthy (greater than 5 years).  
**YELLOW** - Actual clean-up time is estimated to be moderate (about 5 years).  
**RED** - Actual clean-up time is estimated to be relatively short (within 5 years).

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

CRITERIA VI

**ECONOMICS**

	<b>EMPLOYMENT</b>	<b>POPULATION</b>	<b>INCOME</b>	<b>LOCAL GOVERNMENT OPERATING REVENUES /EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>IRP</b>
BERGSTROM	Y	Y	Y	G	Y
CANNON	G	G	G	G	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	Y	G	Y
EIELSON	G	G	G	G	G
ENGLAND	G	G	G	G	Y
HOLLOMAN	G	G	G	Y	G
HOMESTEAD	R	Y	R	G	G
LANGLEY	Y	G	Y	G	G
LUKE	R	Y	R	G	G
MACDILL	R	Y	R	G	G
MOODY	G	G	G	G	R
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	G	G	G	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G	G	Y	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	G	G	Y
SHAW	G	G	G	G	G
TYNDALL	G	G	G	G	Y

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VII. THE ABILITY OF BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING COMMUNITIES' INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT FORCES, MISSIONS, AND PERSONNEL.**

**1. Community Infrastructure**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| A. Affordable, acceptable off-base housing | GREEN - Yes<br>RED - No                                      |
| B. Base served by public transportation    | GREEN - Yes<br>RED - No                                      |
| C. Adequate recreation facilities off base | GREEN - Yes<br>RED - No                                      |
| D. Adequate shopping facilities            | GREEN - 20 miles or less<br>YELLOW - N/A<br>RED - > 20 miles |

**2. Education**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| A. Pupil to Teacher Ratio<br>(Max allowed ratio) | GREEN - $\leq 25$ to 1<br>YELLOW - 26 - 30 to 1<br>RED - $> 30$ to 1   |
| B. Students that go on to college                | GREEN - $\geq 60\%$<br>YELLOW - 40% to 59%<br>RED - $< 40\%$   |
| C. Opportunity for off base education            | GREEN - Under/Grad courses within 25 miles<br>YELLOW - Less course opportunity within 25 miles<br>RED - No education opportunity within 25 miles |

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**3. Medical Treatment Facilities (MTF)**

**Availability of community medical facilities**

**GREEN - Adequate, no adverse impact**  
**YELLOW - Available, minimal impact**  
**RED - Medically underserved**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VII. THE ABILITY OF BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING COMMUNITIES' INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT FORCES, MISSIONS AND PERSONNEL**

**COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE**

	<b>OFF-BASE HOUSING</b>	<b>PUBLIC TRANS</b>	<b>REC FACILITIES</b>	<b>SHOPPING FACILITIES</b>
BERGSTROM	G	G	G	G
CANNON	G	R	R	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	G	G
EIELSON	G	R	G	R
ENGLAND	G	R	G	G
HOLLOMAN	G	R	G	G
HOMESTEAD	G	R	G	G
LANGLEY	G	G	G	G
LUKE	G	G	G	G
MacDILL	G	G	G	G
MOODY	G	G	G	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	R	G	R
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	R	G	G
SHAW	G	R	G	G
TYNDALL	G	R	G	G

(HOUSING/TRANS/FACILITIES)

GREEN - YES  
YELLOW - N/A  
RED - NO

GREEN - 20 miles or less  
YELLOW - N/A  
RED - > 20 miles

UNCLAS FIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VII. THE ABILITY OF BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING COMMUNITIES' INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT FORCES, MISSIONS AND PERSONNEL**

**EDUCATION**

	<b>PUPIL TO TEACHER</b>	<b>COLLEGE BOUND</b>	<b>OPPORTUNITY OFF BASE ED</b>
BERGSTROM	G	R	G
CANNON	G	Y	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y	G	G
EIELSON	G	Y	G
ENGLAND	Y	Y	G
HOLLOMAN	G	Y	Y
HOMESTEAD	R	G	Y
LANGLEY	Y	G	G
LUKE	G	Y	G
MacDILL	G	Y	G
MOODY	G	Y	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	Y	R
MYRTLE BEACH	Y	Y	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	Y	Y	G
SHAW	Y	R	G
TYNDALL	Y	G	G

**GREEN** - ≤ 25 to 1  
**YELLOW** - 26-30 to 1  
**RED** - > 30 to 1

**GREEN** - ≥ 60%  
**YELLOW** - 40 to 59%  
**RED** - < 40%

**GREEN** - Under/grad < 25nm  
**YELLOW** - Less op < 25nm  
**RED** - No education < 25nm

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VII. THE ABILITY OF BOTH THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL RECEIVING COMMUNITIES' INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT FORCES, MISSIONS AND PERSONNEL**

**MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITIES (MTF)**

**AVAIL COMMUNITY  
MED FACILITIES**

BERGSTROM	G
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	G
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	G
MacDILL	G
MOODY	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Adequate, no adverse impact  
**YELLOW** - Available, minimal impact  
**RED** - Medically underserved

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

CRITERIA VII

	Off Base Housing	Public Trans	Rec Facilities	Shopping	Pupil to Teacher	College Bound	Off Duty	Med Facilities
BERGSTROM	G	G	G	G	G	R	G	G
CANNON	G	R	R	G	G	Y	G	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G	G	G	G	Y	G	G	G
EIELSON	G	R	G	R	G	Y	G	G
ENGLAND	G	R	G	G	Y	Y	G	G
HOLLOMAN	G	R	G	G	G	Y	Y	G
HOMESTEAD	G	R	G	G	R	G	Y	G
LANGLEY	G	G	G	G	Y	G	G	G
LUKE	G	G	G	G	G	Y	G	G
MacDILL	G	G	G	G	G	Y	G	G
MOODY	G	G	G	G	G	Y	G	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	R	G	R	G	Y	R	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G	G	G	Y	Y	G	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	R	G	G	Y	Y	G	G
SHAW	G	R	G	G	Y	R	G	G
TYNDALL	G	R	G	G	Y	G	G	G

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT.**

(Assessment of existing conditions for decision making)

1.     **AIR QUALITY**  
GREEN - Base is in attainment for all pollutants. No restrictions on construction/operations.  
YELLOW - Base is in non-attainment area. No restrictions on construction/operations.  
RED - Base is in non-attainment area and construction/operations constraints apply.
  
2.     **WATER**  
GREEN - Adequate regional water supplies and no known contaminants present  
YELLOW - Suspect regional water supplies; contaminants present within a non-potable water zone  
RED - Inadequate regional water supplies and/or region within a state of over draft and/or  
contaminants detected within potable water sources
  
3.     **HAZARDOUS MATERIAL - SOLID/HAZARDOUS WASTE**
  - A. Asbestos  
GREEN - < 10% facilities with asbestos containing materials (ACM)  
YELLOW - > 10% and < 25% facilities with ACM; survey incomplete. unable to assess percentages  
RED - > 25% facilities containing ACM
  
  - B. Radon  
GREEN - Radon not present or detected < 4 pic/l  
YELLOW - Radon present; detection > 4 pic/l & < 20 pic/l  
RED - Radon present; detection > 20 pic/l
  
  - C. Solid Waste  
GREEN - Existing regional disposal facilities have > 10 years capacity remaining  
YELLOW - Existing regional disposal facilities have 5 to 10 years capacity remaining  
RED - Existing regional disposal facilities have < 5 years capacity remaining
  
4.     **BIOLOGICAL**
  - A. Habitat  
GREEN - Resources not present  
YELLOW - Resources present which do not currently constrain construction/operations  
RED - Resources present which constrain current construction/operations or require "work arounds" to support current operation

**UNCLASSIFIED**



**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)

**AIR QUALITY (crit. 1)**

BERGSTROM	G
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y
EIELSON	Y
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	Y
MACDILL	Y
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Base is in attainment for all pollutants. No restrictions on construction/operations.  
**YELLOW** - Base is in non-attainment area. No restrictions on construction/operations.  
**RED** - Base is in non-attainment area and construction/operations constraints apply.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**  
**(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)**

**WATER (crit. 2)**

BERGSTROM	G
CANNON	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	R
EIELSON	R
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	Y
LUKE	R
MACDILL	G
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	R
MYRTLE BEACH	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	Y
SHAW	R
TYNDALL	Y

**GREEN** - Adequate regional water supplies and no known contaminants present.

**YELLOW** - Suspect regional water supplies; contaminants present within a non-potable water zone.

**RED** - Inadequate regional water supplies and/or region within a state of over draft and/or contaminants detected within potable water sources.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT  
(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)**

**HAZARDOUS MATERIAL -- SOLID/HAZARDOUS WASTE**

**ASBESTOS (crit. 3a)**

BERGSTROM	R
CANNON	R
DAVIS-MONTHAN	R
EIELSON	R
ENGLAND	R
HOLLOMAN	R
HOMESTEAD	R
LANGLEY	Y
LUKE	R
MACDILL	R
MOODY	R
MOUNTAIN HOME	R
MYRTLE BEACH	R
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	Y
SHAW	R
TYNDALL	R

**GREEN** - < 10% facilities with asbestos containing materials (ACM)

**YELLOW** - > 10% and < 25% facilities with ACM; survey incomplete; unable to assess percentages

**RED** - > 25% facilities containing ACM

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**  
**(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)**

**HAZARDOUS MATERIAL -- SOLID/HAZARDOUS WASTE**

**RADON (crit. 3b)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	Y
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	Y
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	G
MACDILL	G
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	G
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Radon not present or detected < 4 pic/l  
**YELLOW** - Radon present; detection > 4 pic/l and < 20 pic/l  
**RED** - Radon present; detection > 20 pic/l

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**  
(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)

**HAZARDOUS MATERIAL -- SOLID/HAZARDOUS WASTE**

**SOLID WASTE (crit. 3c)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	Y
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	G
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	G
MACDILL	G
MOODY	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	R
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - Existing regional disposal facilities have >10 years capacity remaining  
**YELLOW** - Existing regional disposal facilities have 5 to 10 years capacity remaining  
**RED** - Existing regional disposal facilities have <5 years capacity remaining

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**  
**(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)**  
**BIOLOGICAL**

	<b>HABITAT (crit. 4a)</b>	<b>THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES (crit. 4b)</b>	<b>WETLANDS (crit. 4c)</b>
BERGSTROM	Y	G	Y
CANNON	G	G	Y
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y	G	G
EIELSON	Y	G	Y
ENGLAND	Y	G	Y
HOLLOMAN	Y	Y	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y	Y	Y
LANGLEY	G	G	Y
LUKE	G	G	Y
MACDILL	Y	Y	Y
MOODY	Y	Y	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y	Y	Y
MYRTLE BEACH	G	G	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	G	Y
SHAW	G	G	Y
TYNDALL	Y	Y	Y

**GREEN** - Resources not present.  
**YELLOW** - Resources present which do not currently  
constrain construction/operations.  
**RED** - Resources present which constrain current  
construction/operations or require "work arounds" to  
support current operations.

**GREEN** - (Same as for  
Habitat)  
**YELLOW** - (Same as for  
Habitat)  
**RED** - (Same as for  
Habitat)

**GREEN** - (Same as for  
Habitat)  
**YELLOW** - (Same as for  
Habitat)  
**RED** - (Same as for  
Habitat)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT  
(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)**

**CULTURAL RESOURCES (crit. 5)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	Y
<u>DAVIS-MONTHAN</u>	<u>Y</u>
EIELSON	Y
ENGLAND	G
<u>HOLLOMAN</u>	<u>Y</u>
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	Y
<u>LUKE</u>	<u>Y</u>
MACDILL	G
MOODY	Y
<u>MOUNTAIN HOME</u>	<u>Y</u>
MYRTLE BEACH	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
<u>SHAW</u>	<u>Y</u>
TYNDALL	Y

**GREEN** - No existing resources.

**YELLOW** - Historic or ineligible prehistoric resources are present, but do not currently constrain construction/operations, or base survey incomplete.

**RED** - Eligible or potentially eligible prehistoric resources are present and constrain current construction/operations.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT  
(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)**

**GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

**PRIME AND UNIQUE FARMLANDS (crit. 6a)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	G
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	G
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	Y
MACDILL	G
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	Y
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - No prime and unique farmlands exist.

**YELLOW** - Prime and unique farmlands exist; resources compatible with current construction/operations.

**RED** - Prime and unique farmlands exist; large areas; resources incompatible with current construction/operations.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED  
FLYING CATEGORY  
TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT  
(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)**

**GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

**MINERAL/ENERGY RESOURCES (crit. 6b)**

BERGSTROM	G
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	G
EIELSON	Y
ENGLAND	G
HOLLOMAN	G
HOMESTEAD	G
LANGLEY	G
LUKE	Y
MACDILL	G
MOODY	G
MOUNTAIN HOME	G
MYRTLE BEACH	G
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G
SHAW	Y
TYNDALL	G

**GREEN** - No known resources.

**YELLOW** - Resources currently exist; no known constraint on current construction/operations.

**RED** - Resources currently exist and constrain current construction/operations.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**VIII. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

(ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS FOR DECISION MAKING)

**GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

**SOIL CONTAMINATION (crit. 6c)**

BERGSTROM	Y
CANNON	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y
EIELSON	Y
ENGLAND	Y
HOLLOMAN	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y
LANGLEY	Y
LUKE	Y
MACDILL	Y
MOODY	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y
MYRTLE BEACH	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	Y
SHAW	Y
TYNDALL	Y

**GREEN** - No soil contaminants present.

**YELLOW** - Soil contaminants present which do not currently constrain construction/operations.

**RED** - Soil contaminants present which constrain current construction/operations.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

CRITERIA VIII

ENVIRONMENTAL

	AQ	Wa	As	Ra	SW	CH	T&E	W	CUL	P&U	M/E	SL
BERGSTROM	G	G	R	Y	Y	Y	G	Y	Y	Y	G	Y
CANNON	G	R	R	Y	G	G	G	Y	Y	G	G	G
DAVIS-MONTHAN	Y	R	R	G	G	Y	G	G	Y	G	G	Y
EIELSON	Y	R	R	Y	Y	Y	G	Y	Y	G	Y	Y
ENGLAND	G	G	R	G	G	G	G	Y	G	G	G	Y
HOLLOMAN	G	Y	R	G	G	Y	Y	Y	Y	G	G	Y
HOMESTEAD	Y	Y	R	Y	G	Y	Y	Y	Y	G	G	Y
LANGLEY	G	Y	Y	G	G	G	G	Y	Y	G	G	Y
LUKE	Y	R	R	G	G	G	G	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
MACDILL	Y	G	R	G	G	Y	Y	Y	G	G	G	Y
MOODY	G	G	R	G	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	G	G	Y
MOUNTAIN HOME	G	R	R	G	G	Y	Y	Y	Y	G	G	Y
MYRTLE BEACH	G	Y	R	G	G	G	G	Y	Y	G	G	Y
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	Y	Y	G	G	G	G	Y	G	G	G	Y
SHAW	G	R	R	G	R	G	G	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
TYNDALL	G	Y	R	G	G	Y	Y	Y	Y	G	G	Y

AQ - Air Quality

CUL - Cultural

Ra - Radon

T&E - Threatened and  
Endangered Species

As - Asbestos

M/E - Mineral/Energy

SL - Soil

W - Wetlands

CH - Critical  
Habitat

P&U - Prime and Unique  
Farmlands

SW - Solid Waste

Wa - Water

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**Summary of Tactical Bases with MILCON Adjustments**

Option 5: Priority on military value, with emphasis on readiness and training, future and cost.

CRITERIA	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
BERGSTROM	Y-	R+	Y	55.1/187	2	Y	G	Y
CANNON	G-	G-	Y-	59.0/297	1	G-	G-	Y+
DAVIS-MONATHAN	Y+	Y+	Y	45.1/228	1	G-	G	Y
EIELSON	G	G-	Y+	139.6/492	1	G	G-	Y-
ENGLAND	Y+	G-	Y	43.3/348	1	G-	G	G-
HOLLOMAN	Y	G	Y+	280.3/211	2	G-	G-	Y+
HOMESTEAD	Y	Y-	G-	98.4/259	1	Y	G-	Y
LANGLEY	G	Y	G-	203.4/190	5	G-	G	Y+
LUKE	G	G-	Y-	89.3/447	2	Y	G	Y+
MacDILL	Y	Y-	Y	220.2/252	4	Y	G	Y+
MOODY	Y	Y	G-	28.8/260	1	G-	G-	G-
MOUNTAIN HOME	Y+	Y+	Y+	53.2/335	11	G	Y+	Y
MYRTLE BEACH	G-	Y	Y+	41.3/227	1	G-	G-	G-
SEYMOUR JOHNSON	G	Y-	G	109.1/252	3	G-	Y+	G-
SHAW	G-	Y-	Y	78.0/358	1	G	Y+	Y
TYNDALL	G	Y+	G-	280.6/141	7	G-	G-	Y+

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**UNCLASSIFIED**  
**FLYING CATEGORY**  
**TACTICAL SUBCATEGORY CRITERIA**

**SUMMARY OF TACTICAL BASES**

**Option 1**

Priority on Military Value. Emphasis on Readiness & TNG

**Group 1**

Eielson  
 Langley  
 Luke  
 Seymour Johnson  
 Tyndall

**Group 2**

Cannon  
 Davis-Monthan  
 Holloman  
 Myrtle Beach  
 Shaw

**Group 3**

Bergstrom  
 England  
 Homestead  
 MacDill  
 Moody  
 Mountain Home

**Option 2**

Priority on Military Value. Emphasis on Readiness & TNG. Downplay Future

**Group 1**

Eielson  
 Langley  
 Luke  
 Seymour Johnson  
 Tyndall

**Group 2**

Cannon  
 Davis-Monthan  
 Holloman  
 Moody  
 Myrtle Beach  
 Shaw

**Group 3**

Bergstrom  
 England  
 Homestead  
 MacDill  
 Mountain Home

**Option 3**

Priority on Military Value. Emphasis on Readiness & TNG. Emphasize Future

**Group 1**

Eielson  
 Langley  
 Seymour Johnson  
 Tyndall

**Group 2**

Cannon  
 Holloman  
 Luke  
 Mountain Home  
 Myrtle Beach  
 Shaw

**Group 3**

Bergstrom  
 Davis-Monthan  
 England  
 Homestead  
 MacDill  
 Moody

**Option 4**

Priority on Military Value. Emphasis on Cost

**Group 1**

Eielson  
 Holloman  
 Langley  
 MacDill  
 Seymour Johnson  
 Tyndall

**Group 2**

Cannon  
 Davis-Monthan  
 Homestead  
 Luke  
 Shaw

**Group 3**

Bergstrom  
 England  
 Moody  
 Mountain Home  
 Myrtle Beach

**Option 5**

Priority on Military Value. Emphasis on Readiness & TNG; Future & Cost

**Group 1**

Eielson  
 Holloman  
 Langley  
 Tyndall

**Group 2**

Cannon  
 Davis-Monthan  
 Luke  
 MacDill  
 Mountain Home  
 Seymour Johnson  
 Shaw

**Group 3**

Bergstrom  
 England  
 Homestead  
 Moody  
 Myrtle Beach

**UNCLASSIFIED**