



THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

2 NOV 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
UNDER SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING
ASSISTANT SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE
GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
DIRECTOR, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION
ASSISTANTS TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
DIRECTOR, ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT
DIRECTORS OF THE DEFENSE AGENCIES

SUBJECT: 1995 Base Closure and Realignment Selection Criteria

The attached 1995 Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC 95) Selection Criteria, required by Section 2903(b) of P.L. 101-510, form the basis, along with the force structure plan, of the base closure and realignment process. DoD components shall use these criteria in base structure analyses to nominate BRAC 95 closure or realignment candidates. The criteria will also be used by the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission in their review of the Department of Defense final recommendations. These criteria are identical to those used in BRAC 91 and BRAC 93.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John H. ...".

Attachment

21757

C-27

Department of Defense
Final Selection Criteria

In selecting military installations for closure or realignment, the Department of Defense, giving priority consideration to military value (the first four criteria below), will consider:

Military Value

1. The current and future mission requirements and the impact on operational readiness of the Department of Defense's total force.
2. The availability and condition of land, facilities and associated airspace at both the existing and potential receiving locations.
3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, and future total force requirements at both the existing and potential receiving locations.
4. The cost and manpower implications.

Return on Investment

5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs.

Impacts

6. The economic impact on communities.
7. The ability of both the existing and potential receiving communities' infrastructure to support forces, missions and personnel.
8. The environmental impact.