McClellan AFB Restoration Advisory Board (RAB)
Meeting Transcript
September 2, 1998

Members attending: Barry Bertrand; Bill Gibson; Imogene Zander; Linda Piercy, Alex MacDonald, RWQCB; Tom O'Donnell; Del Callaway; Tony Piercy; Mannard Gaines; Sheila Guerra; Charles Yarbrough, Community Co-Chair; Paul Brunner, DoD Co-Chair

Members not attending: Brad Gacke; Diana Maffei, Rep. Fazio's Office; Simeon Okoroike; Bill Shepherd; Cody Tubbs, Rep. Matsui's Office; Randy Adams, DTSC; Joe Healy, U.S. EPA

Others attending: Rick Solander, McClellan AFB; Alice Williams; Kirsten Christopherson, McClellan AFB; Elaine Anderegg, McClellan AFB; Robert Gonzales, McClellan AFB; John Hutcheson, McClellan AFB; Jeff Morris; Linda Geissinger, AFBCA/Public Affairs; Roxanne Yonn, Radian; Merianne Briggs, McClellan AFB; Traci Bjers, Radian

TRANSCRIPT:

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We can't take a vote until Tony gets here on this stuff so it's no need to go over it until he gets in. But we can approve the minutes and so forth from last time, unless there's some changes or do you want to delay them some more?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Do you want to go over the RAB rules again for this meeting? *Inaudible.*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, so here are the RAB ground rules. Main thing we particularly want you to do is to state your name while you're speaking for the record. And just be courteous and try to, you know no, don't exceed too long on your comments and try to keep on the topic at hand unless its under the general public comments time. So…

Environmental Management Opening Statement

Mr. Paul Brunner: For the RAB members and that, I — what I did do. Before we launch into

the minutes and that I added a second slide that I — after a lot of the discussions that we had from the other meetings and different things that — I wanted to share and I was thinking about sharing this with each meeting — just as a statement on something. Where, I know as we work back and forth from where the Air Force is coming from, at least from what my organization is trying to accomplish, is to make a statement up front for not only for yourselves but for the audiences that; McClellan Air Force Base is here tonight because our past industrial operations and disposal actions created the pollution.

Although no one here in this room tonight directly, is directly responsible for the contamination. In other words, my office — the folks here from the Air Force didn't do the dumping, but we do work for the Air Force; directly responsible for the contamination caused in the past we're responsible for fixing it.

We know we have a problem and we're doing our best to solve it. We want your opinions and your advice and that's why we're here tonight. So, as we go through the various meetings and that, where I'm coming from and where my folks are coming from, where the Air Force is coming from is to do that statement. We recognize that yes, there's an issue out there and we will do our best to try and clean it up, and we do want your advice. Okay, so with that we can go to the minutes.

Vote on Previous Meeting Minutes

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, so we're on the minutes right now, we have comments on the minutes for last time?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Which minutes are we talking about?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The last Restoration Advisory Board.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Chuck.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I think.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: The date please.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Let me, let me set the stage. Because I think there — its not so simple to just to say we are going to do minutes. Is the — where we left it the last time for the, for the members. We really have two sets of minutes that are still pending to be approved within the group. From the 3 June meeting, we did not approve those last meeting. I know we went through things — there were questions that came up as to, did they really have the right meaning in it? Did they really convey what was to be done on it? There was an item I know that was a contention with what happened at the end of that particular meeting, as to was it worded right. Certain members of the RAB had asked that we provide tapes to and get the meeting right and how we conduct it. So we didn't ever work through that I, I think we provided various tapes. Sheila I think you had asked for various things to be provided. But as far as producing anything more than what we had last time, we did not get through that issue as a group. So maybe you know as we deal that that's still on the table I think for where we are and getting the feedback.

The other set of minutes that we have tonight is from 15 July the last meeting, that we did go through and we produced a narrative comment. They went out on that and I know that there's, in one case, we got feedback on that. But on the 15th of July Sheila, the input back from my staff was that you'd asked for time to still add more comments to review what was there and to do that, so.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: We're scheduled for September 7th but that's a holiday, we need to change that. I have more changes for the July 15th draft minutes. So we're trying to catch up. There were some problems prior to this last RAB meeting. So I mean it, like, we can't correct everything.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So on the 15th of July meeting we're still, you're still working that out?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: So we're — we're working on both, the last two RAB meetings. Right, and the July 15th and the CR's.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well we don't need to know about the committee meetings, the committee meetings minutes.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well I'm just telling you in general, we're still working them.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: But at this RAB meeting, since we're still working on the previous two, we can just go ahead and say we're not going to approve them right now. We're going let you work that out.

Now we can go over what the discussion has been around. I think Paul and I have come to some kind of conclusion here, along with Sheila; talked with her on the phone about it. And then I'll present it to the rest of you RAB members so you can have a discussion on it.

What we're talking about doing is having a verbatim, verbatim minutes on discussion. On any kind of discussions between RAB members, the agencies, the Air Force, community — that would be verbatim. But when we're having long presentations, whether it be the Air Force, the agencies, or whoever, that's who it's mainly come from is the Air Force; on the cleanup process that would be a summary type thing. Because it would take books —we would be going into books — volumes if we did that.

So if that's agreeable, we want to make this a motion and go for the minutes to be made like this verbatim for discussions and then summary type minutes for presentations.

Anybody have a discussion on this? You agree, disagree or whatever?

Mr. Paul Brunner: *Inaudible* we have to have a motion and then go into discussion.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: *Inaudible***,** are we talking…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay well I'm saying do we need a motion to this?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: When someone is speaking it would be verbatim.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: When the Air Force is, is giving overheads or, or reports or whatever that would be *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right, presentation, I mean it would be a summary type.

Unknown Male: *Inaudible* the Air Force

Mr. Paul Brunner: It's not just the Air Force

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right, presentation. I mean it would be a summary-type…

Mr. Paul Brunner: It's not just the Air Force. It would be — if a presentation of — is being given, it most likely would be more effectively summarized than, than just verbatim.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: But I don't think we're talking about committee reports as such right, various committees. We're just talking about a presentation with where it's going take 20 to

30 minutes unless, I'm misunderstanding?

Mr. Paul Brunner: No, I, I think you're okay with that. I don't think it's necessarily community reports, unless the community report went into a presentation. Mostly likely it is not. But if — if for some reason we got to the point on the committee where also we started to show overheads or whatever it was to get where we were, from the results of that committee, that gets hard to then portray in the minutes, however.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

Ms. Imogene Zander: When I say something that's exactly what I mean. I don't want someone second guessing me and summarizing what they think I mean and that's how my things have been coming out on the meetings.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well that's what we're talking about, going to verbatim means exact words.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Okay, I know, verbatim I agree on.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay that's what I wanted to find out, if you agree on that kind of minutes except for the presentations.

Does anybody else have any comments here? So I make a motion that we go ahead and have verbatim minutes on discussions and…

Mr. Paul Brunner: I call it narrative.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: A narrative?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Narrative for the briefings.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And a narrative for the briefings okay? — so we'll have verbatim for the discussions and then we'll narrative for the briefings.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Is there a second?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'll second that.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All those in favor lift your right hand. Okay now…

Mr. Paul Brunner: Did — did you — were able to count the, did you — were able to get the poll?

Unknown Female: I think there was nine.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I think it was. Okay.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Can I also say something? Now that we're going to be doing verbatim, we have a tape recorder, so please if everyone could speak up so that we are able to capture what you're saying.

Mr. Barry Bertrand: May I make a suggestion that they, say their name first, before they read the comment. My name is Barry Bertrand and I suggest that you use our names before we start the conversations. I suggest this way it will be a lot easier for anybody to identify.

Community Co-Chair Comments

Charter/Bylaws

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Mr. Chuck Yarbrough here and that's fine with me.

Okay, so we want to go right into our changes to the Charter, the Bylaws, the RAB Rules of Order. So if you can take in your hands. What I'm proposing to do here, if I don't have any objections, is just go through all the points here. Through, through each document and let you go over them, and unless we have a problem here, we will just vote on all as a whole rather than one vote on each one, okay?

So take your changes and then take your RAB Charter, and you can see the first change is on page two. Its really not a change. We're — this one I should say its not a change, its just leaving it the same. Right now it does state for two years for the chair. So we're actually leaving it the same way as it's stated there.

So the next, the next really change is paragraph six, sentence number one. So read the sentence number one; on par — count six paragraphs down, read sentence number one, then read sentence number — I mean the sentence there that we're going to change it to. If nobody objects.

Mr. Paul Brunner: As, as we go through this, Chuck, did you want to go through them all and then have discussion or you want to discuss it as we go through?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Go through it all. Now you guys have had plenty of chance to look at these. They're the same as they've been before so. If there is anything different I'll… I'm going to go on unless, somebody has — says they still need more time to look at it.

Okay I'm going to the third one down here, on the next page, which is page three. And it's the next to the last paragraph, where it's talking about a quorum. And you can read there what the

difference is: one is the number of RAB attendees, the other one is community members in good standing.

And then you can see the next one down, is to add another paragraph right underneath the next to the last paragraph there that tells you what a member of good standing is. It has to do with attendance. If a person doesn't keep up attendance on the RAB meetings and also the community, I mean the committee meetings, then why should we have to count him in our — in our vote — in our quorum. Doesn't make very good sense. We still have to have two thirds to change the charter of the total RAB members, okay.

Now, now the last paragraph here is just a change saying that — that oh you can read it. It's regarding the Air Force and the, the health agencies, the congressional aides, and so forth in voting. Basically its talking about only community members will vote.

Ms. Linda Piercy: I didn't hear you. What was that?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: What's that?

Ms. Linda Piercy: I did not hear you.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I told you that the last paragraph there…

Ms. Linda Piercy: Right.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: …goes along with the last number three here.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Okay.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Has to do with voting. And you can read it — you can read it yourself. But it talks about only RAB community members being able to vote on all things, okay?

Ms. Linda Piercy: Okay.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And now the next page, page four, flip it over unless someone wants more time you can take more time if you want. And it's the second paragraph down. And it talks about a couple changes: one, the RAB members to RAB community members. And we're deleting the words on the very last sentence there, and signed by all members. That's so every time we make a change to the charter we don't have to go through there and get signatures of everybody on the RAB, every time we make a change to the charter. We're going to have to go and make complete new signatures. Just leave the signatures alone.

Now the next — if everybody's through with that, we're going to go over to the bylaws.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Did you want to have discussion on this now or you want to go through the bylaws?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No, just go through them. Did, did anybody have any questions on the RAB Charter now?

Mr. Del Callaway: Well…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Or have any comments?

Mr. Del Callaway: I called you today to find out who that other person was who received a

letter, but I didn't hear back from you.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't know, I called you back. But I don't know what you're talking about.

Mr. Del Callaway: Ben Norman and another person you sent out letters.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No, Ben Norman, I didn't send out a letter to him. He is off just because we voted. But we didn't vote anybody other than that off the RAB. He's the only one we took a vote on. Brad Gacke, I was supposed to phone and contact him. Maybe that's who you're talking about, Brad Gacke.

Mr. Del Callaway: But you didn't?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh, I did. Oh, I tried to many many times. And I haven't been successful. I used to be able to get him with a phone call into Rancho Seco and have them page him for me. I even left a message for him to call me back with his worker there, and also with an, on his answering machine at his house. Asked him directly to call me back. But he hasn't done it. So I haven't talked to him.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I say we send him a letter.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I, yes, I'll send him a letter. I can send him a letter saying that if you don't respond, then the next RAB meeting we're going to be voting on, you know, to ask you — just removing him. How's that? I did try many many times.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I tried a couple times too.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So anyhow, under the RAB Bylaws. And if you see it says under committees, all that's being done here is we're adding 7, 8, 9 and 10. Okay. So it's adding, it's not changing or deleting or anything.

It all — it all so make sure that we know what good member in good standing is on attendance. Cause what we're doing is, if a person isn't attending we're actually drag — he's dragging us down because we can't make votes and stuff, we can't change our charter or bylaws *Inaudible***.** Tell me when.

Next one we'll go to after committees will be committee member — committee membership. Which will actually be the third page in, *Inaudible* second page.

Mr. Del Callaway: Where you at on the bylaws?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Bylaws was committees and we're adding 7, 8, 9, and 10 its up to you to read those.

Mr. Del Callaway: I don't have a 7, 8, 9, and 10.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: It's right here.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: RAB, under — it should be. You don't have — yes, you don't have a 7, 8, 9, and 10, it's being added.

Mr. Bill Gibson: Oh Lord, I see.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And you have to look at — where are your changes?

Unknown: No.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I thought you had the changes. Did you just come in?

Mr. Bill Gibson: Yes.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's why.

Ms. Linda Piercy: And I'm here and I don't know what you're talking about.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We're adding these to…

Ms. Linda Piercy: *Inaudible* adding these…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We're adding…

Ms. Linda Piercy: To this page.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The, these.

Ms. Linda Piercy: *Inaudible* you're confusing the numbers.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well yes, because we're adding…

Ms. Linda Piercy: *Inaudible***,** what I got, what — its just confusing because you're gone with adding 5, 6, 7 and its not — its not listed that way, so it was just confusing — the way it was presented that's all, maybe not — maybe that's why *Inaudible*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Are you on the right sheet?

Ms. Linda Piercy: Maybe not. Maybe that's why it is confusing.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: You're on the Charter.

Ms. Linda Piercy: No wonder, okay. Now it makes sense.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: 7, 8, 9, and 10.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Now it makes sense.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Try to help him. Imogene.

We're not on the Charter anymore, we're on the…

Inaudible discussion

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Did everybody get straighten out?

Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes, we *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. Now you go to committee membership. You going to have to skip a page to get there okay. It says it upon the top, the title, Committee Membership. Now down to there, where it says "will", we're changing "will" to "may". He/she will be required to submit a resignation — as may be required to submit a resignation. That's all we're doing is, "will" to "may". Its on Committee Membership. Under Committee Membership under your changes, okay.

Now, Committee Chair selection.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me, can you just hold up just for a second so I can.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Sure, I can — anytime you, you can just tell me — that's fine. You still having problems?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: *Inaudible* I'm sorry *Inaudible*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: You got to tell me because I'm — I'm waiting for you. Committee Chair selection okay. Number 1, turn in your changes to Committee Chair Selection and go to number 1. And you can see number 1 is being replaced by, change and it says 1, okay. We're replacing 1 with 1, okay. A complete change. Because we don't have 17 Community RAB members for one thing, we only have 14.

Number 3 should be very easy because we're leaving it the same.

Number 4 we elaborated on it quite a bit. Number, number 4, 5, and 6 are all being replaced by the changes. They're mainly being elaborated on, going into more detail on them.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I have a question. Sheila Guerra speaking. If the, the election takes place now in April.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: It always has.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Now that we're having more RAB meetings, how will that, how will that work.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: It won't affect it at all. We'll have our meeting in April. And the, the nominating committee will meet in January to take nominations for the Chair. So it won't change a thing, just because we're having more meetings. We were having those many meetings before, except we called it under a different title.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Right.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Four, 5 and 6 are just being elaborated on. If you don't have any problems with it, then we'll just go to the next section. Anybody need more time for that? If you need more time I'll stay right here. We finished everybody?

If so I'm going to go over to RAB Election Committee Proposed changes to the Bylaws. Oh, oh yes that's, new number 7 there. So we added a number 7 to committee chair selection, okay? So there's a new — underneath 6, there under Committee Chair Selection, we added a number 7 and it says a nomination committee will consist of the RAB Committee Chairs. Okay. Underneath 6, you see follow, it all right, Imogene?

Ms. Imogene Zander: *Inaudible*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay just making sure, don't want anybody to be confused. I mean it could be. Okay, is everybody finished with that one now?

We'll go over to RAB, RAB Election Committee, Proposed Changes to the RAB's Rules of Order. So that means you're going to get your one page of RAB Rules of Order out. Did you get a RAB Rule of Order? Okay.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Yes, here it is.

Ms. Imogene Zander: It looks like this.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: One sheet, says RAB's Rules of order. Okay? anybody else not get a RAB's Rules of Order?

Okay. Now it goes on. Its it adds a top paragraph, at the very top and it says any proposed changes to the RAB's Rules of Order will be assigned to the community co-chair for Adhoc committee review, and then presented to the whole RAB at a regularly scheduled RAB meeting for consideration and a vote. It's right at the top of everything, okay.

And then, the new second paragraph talks about quorum. And this is simply tying the quorum of the RAB's Charter, RAB Charter to the RAB Rules of Order, so that they're the same. Before there was a difference. now we're trying to coordinate so it's the same. But in order to count for a quorum again, the person must not — must be a member in good standing. or else we don't have to count you as part of the quorum. And then and it does talk about changing a quorum. And then right under quorum, is a definition of a member in good standing and again it has to do with attendance.

One of the reasons why I had to go over this, even for you people that have read this a couple of times, is we do have 3 new members. And they wouldn't know what in the world they were voting on and that wouldn't be fair. Right, new members?

Ms. Linda Piercy: We don't know what you're talking about.

Unknown Female: Right.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So any discussion, on this? Did everybody understand the last part here?

Ms. Linda Piercy: Yes.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes we understand.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

Ms. Imogene Zander: We're not dumb.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well no, I'm just making sure.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, Chuck.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. So Paul has a comment here he wants to go

Mr. Paul Brunner: Right, as a, we sat down with the committee. I sat down with the group that did the review, and overall from my perspective I think it's fine.

I did have one comment, which I do disagree with, on it. And that dealt with which I did share with the subgroup that was working it, when I sat down with them that day. It deals with the voting. Today the charter talks about — the way it reads today, is community RAB members may choose to vote on advice given to the Air Force and agencies on clean up matters in which the DOD and agencies representatives would not participate in the vote, which is really when you're voting on advice and what we have on that. I agree totally. I shouldn't vote in that area. As a co-chair for the group, it's seems a little strange to me, that if we're talking about administrative matters and how we conduct business, since I really do consider myself as part of the team, that I should be able to vote on those administrative matters.

It's not a matter of advice, it's just a matter of conducting business, which I'm a part of the

group. And I really don't see any reason at all for the change. as to the way it is as regards to the DOD. So I would I would ask and move that we take that out, and just leave in that one particular item that it stays the same.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. I brought a DOD and the EPA RAB guide book. And in that book it states where DOD basically doesn't vote on our committee. And for the simple reason that it could be leading the RAB in certain issues. So that's what it says in the book and I believe Merianne has it.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well the DOD book is not explicit on the voting on all matters. This is Mr. Paul Brunner for the tape. On that, I think if we follow the guidelines as to where we are in relationship voting on advice, which I totally agree with. I shouldn't vote, I shouldn't endorse or I just say my piece. And you vote on what you want to advice us to do. On administrative matters I don't think that the DOD guidance says don't do it. because its done both ways on different RABs. Different RABs have different approaches.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I would like to say that I talked to — I went to the RAB Community Caucus down in Phoenix. Where as a few RAB's I'm sure, are just like Paul says. they do not - some of the DOD people do vote on their RABs.

However far more *Inaudible* they don't. And they all know there's a good reason why they don't, and that's because everything we vote on, whether its procedural or not, is indeed affecting the advice we're going to give the Air Force. It affects our Charter Bylaws, the RABs Rules of Order is going to affect. they shouldn't be voting on.

I talked to Tad McCall down here at the conference, just this last weekend. By the way I went in

place of Sheila and Del, because various problems popped up, as far as paying transportation and so forth they ran out of money and so forth. We got it at the last minute, but the thing is one was sick and the other one had a big birth in the family and babysitters and just didn't work out for them so and I told you that I would take their place if something came up. I just point that to you.

Now when I went over and talked to Tad McCall, he is — he was one of the speakers there. He is the and by the way Paul he said he knew you quite well.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, *Inaudible*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: But anyhow, that's not what you told me one time in the office. you told me you didn't know him. Basically you lead me to believe that. Anyhow but so…

Mr. Paul Brunner: No, it's not true.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: But, but anyhow it is true. You did. And that's one of the reasons why I disagree with you voting. But another thing is, the community is not going to — they — its enough that the community thinks just by us setting up here, that we've become another arm of the Air Force or government body. Now if we have the Air Force up here voting with us, they'll think the Air Force is — we're tied right in with them.

Unknown Male: True.

Unknown Female: I agree.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The thing is and I'm sure Tad McCall realize that. now he's the Under Secretary of the Air Force for Environmental Health and Safety. He told me no, the Air Force should not be voting. I asked him that yesterday. I've gotten the advice from several

different organizations and so forth that the Air Force should not be voting. I feel strongly that the Air Force should not be voting.

Mr. Del Callaway: Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

Mr. Bill Gibson: Excuse me.

Inaudible discussion

Mr. Bill Gibson: We're discussing a motion, which has not been seconded.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh okay you're right.

Mr. Del Callaway: No we're not.

Mr. Bill Gibson: Yes we are.

Mr. Del Callaway: No we're not.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We haven't even made a motion yet have we?

Inaudible discussion

Mr. Paul Brunner: I, I second it I, I did Chuck I, I did.

Mr. Bill Gibson: I will second it so we can continue the discussion.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay thank you.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Excuse me.

Mr. Del Callaway: There is no discussion. We voted to have a committee go out and research the Bylaws and the Charter, come back to the group and recommend…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right.

Mr. Del Callaway: …the necessary changes. There is no discussion on it. If you want to vote for it, you vote yes, if you don't want it you vote no.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: According to RAB rules of order there's no second needed, because it was brought to an Adhoc committee. And the Adhoc committee…

Mr. Del Callaway: Now let me correct another thing.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So I, I, we're right.

Mr. Del Callaway: I was a member of that committee. That committee did not meet at any luncheon to discuss any part of this. So Mr. Brunner is wrong because I was not there.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Del we went to Coco's or Carrows. You went you sat down with Chuck and with me at Carrows.

Mr. Del Callaway: We did not call a committee together to discuss this *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Paul Brunner: You, you made a special move to, because you couldn't include — I was

not included in the beginning. We went to a special time a Carrows. And that was the time that you brought me up to speed on where we were. And if that wasn't the committee it definitely represented.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That was that was our agreement with the Air Force that we would meet back with them. We would included them in on the, it, on committee we didn't have them at the luncheon meeting because Brad Gacke hadn't been coming. And also our other member, John Leuthe left for Oregon.

But we did, out of the five-committee people, I should say, you had a unanimous vote that the Air Force, and the agencies, and the congressional aides should not vote. And by the way the congressional votes you saw the letters, aides and from the agencies said that they weren't voting. They already said they were not voting. So this is only to deal with the Air Force. They already agreed with this because they've already wrote us a letter.

Unknown Male: What does that say?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So…

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. Can I please again remind everyone to state your names to help with the minutes.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right. Mr. Chuck Yarbrough for the records.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Thank you.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: They usually know us by now. So anyhow we're still in discussion and I'm sorry I failed to realize that our committee, Adhoc committee…

Mr. Bill Gibson: *Inaudible*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: …it did come from the committee. Yes I understand that too. but I'm glad you brought that up, because now I can tell you that the committee was — dealt with this. We did make compromises in other areas, especially on the quorum issue. So, but anyhow everything I have to discuss, points to the fact that we should stick with our committee, what the committee came up with. And so any more discussion?

Ms. Imogene Zander: Imogene Zander, Paul why would you want to vote? What is your reasoning behind it?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well I come and I par— this is Mr. Paul Brunner. I come and I participate in the meeting. I really spend a lot of time preparing for the meeting. There is administrative things that go in. I bring my office involved into the various activities of the day. And there are administrative outcomes to the meeting that I think I should participate in and have a voice to vote on. It's just being part of the RAB team. I am a…

Ms. Imogene Zander: You get paid for it also.

Mr. Paul Brunner: …RAB co-chair DOD RAB

Ms. Imogene Zander: You're also paid for it.

Mr. Paul Brunner: *Inaudible*

Ms. Imogene Zander: So I don't think you should have a voice.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well the other thing is, he has — there is a discussion, the agencies,

the Air Force, the congressional aides, even people of the audience, the community can join us in the discussion if they want to. So the Air Force can tell us a zillion different reasons on why we should not approve some — whatever it is or approve something. So they're going to have their voice, they're going to be in here, they're going to have their say, they're apart of us, we're not denying that. We're just saying that, anything we're voting on is going to have to do with advice. we're not going to be blind-sided and say that it isn't. Because we know full and well it is. So to be honest with the community, open to the community, and say we're a community, we're the community members of RAB. When we vote, we want to vote as a community block, not with the agencies or with the congressional aides or with the Air Force. Who by the way just stated a minute on the sly, that they were included. I would like one thing added to that. And that we're sorry we did it. That I have never heard the Air Force come out and say that would be nice.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Maybe some day.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Have any more discussion?

Mr. Alex MacDonald: Chuck, Chuck, I just have one clarification.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

Mr. Alex MacDonald: Agencies, DTSC, and U.S. EPA, just something to understand, our agency didn't — as far as I'm concerned, I don't know why you don't want me to vote. I'm, I'm here to represent the…I represent the community as far as I'm concerned. And if you don't want me to vote, that's okay that'll be your decision. But I'm just here to make a process work better and that's what I'd be voting on. Not whether I'm pro-Air Force, pro-community — I'm looking here technically, what the, what the situation is. And that's my object of being here. Now, if you don't want me to vote that's, okay, but I'm just saying my agency doesn't say I can't — I'm not to vote, I'm not supposed to vote.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: But the letter came.

Mr. Alex MacDonald: That was not from my agency.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: But they, they said that you concur. You did not concur?

Mr. Alex MacDonald: No — one addressed me, no one solicited my input on that letter.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay well the HS said that you. Okay…

Inaudible discussion

Mr. Alex MacDonald: But, I'm just saying, I'm just clarifying that point so you can proceed onward.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: But you never denied it. And I've talked about it several times. But so. It's, it's not. Hey, I, I don't have anything against you .and you can say anything you want and I don't have any one else that sits in you place. It's just that when it comes to the community, I don't think we're going to be seen at a good light. If we're thought of as agencies and so forth, I don't think that we're going to be seen in a good light to the community. I think that the majority of the RABs across the country, don't have the Air Force or agencies or anybody involved in the voting in the advice they give, and even the procedures that lead up to that advice. And I don't think that we should either.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Excuse me.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Linda Piercy. Are all of you getting paid tonight — all here as employees of…

Unknown Male: I'm getting paid for salary — my time here, I'm not getting paid for…

Ms. Linda Piercy: You're not getting paid for.

Unknown Male: This is all overtime, which I do not get compensated for, that's correct.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, that's essentially my case. I'm just here.

Ms. Linda Piercy: It's overtime that you do not get compensated for.

Male: We are not allowed to get paid overtime, that's correct I do not get…

Ms. Imogene Zander: Why you getting paid?

Inaudible discussion

Unknown Male: I get paid for my 8 hours a day.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes.

Unknown Male: This is — that is past that time. I mean, I put in my full day today exactly.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, I can say either way, whether you're being paid or not paid, I appreciate you being here.

Ms. Linda Piercy: I was just curious because it was brought up.

Mr. Del Callaway: Chairman, call for questions.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

Bill Gibson: I object. I have a question.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

Mr. Bill Gibson: I think when I object, you take another vote.

Mr. Del Callaway: No, when you call for the question you take a vote.

Mr. Bill Gibson: If you call for a question, objection…*Inaudible*

Mr. Del Callaway: Don't say that.

Mr. Bill Gibson: *Inaudible*

Mr. Del Callaway: Don't say that.

Mr. Bill Gibson: It does it now.

Mr. Del Callaway: Does it now, so we're going by these rules right now.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well we're actually talking about…

Mr. Bill Gibson: *Inaudible*

Mr. Del Callaway: *Inaudible* went through this last time, and haven't heard comments from it yet.

Bill Gibson: I have a question, which directly involves me.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: It's a comment from Mr. Bill Gibson.

Mr. Bill Gibson: This is Bill Gibson.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Thank you.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Go ahead and state what you want to.

Mr. Bill Gibson: The proposed change to the RAB Charter, page 2, 6th paragraph. A person should not be a RAB member, if that person have any direct involvement in environmental work at McClellan Air Force Base. As a member with Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management Hearing Board, I am involved in McClellan activities *Inaudible* to that board. To this date, I have not voted on any of those variances; however, the potential is there for me to be directly involved with environmental work by influence by either giving or not giving *Inaudible***.** Now does this mean I will not be a RAB member after this vote?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well I think it doesn't go that far. I think it says you have to directly be involved and I don't think that's directly.

Mr. Bill Gibson: *Inaudible***.** Interpretation then…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Does anybody else see that, that will be a directly…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: *Inaudible* he is working for the air district or doing that type of work and I'm up here and I'm talking about air district issues. I mean that kind of bothers me a little bit. Sheila Guerra speaking by the way. I had thought about that because Bill had said something after my report that I had given on the Ride Share Issue. And his views on how he felt that it was a good program. So you know there could be some conflict there.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well do we need to change the words back there or…

Mr. Del Callaway: *Inaudible*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: What do you want to change? *Inaudible* to that — that be the case. I didn't see a problem.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Chuck if you start to work the changes right here *Inaudible*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well is this the only thing that you had? This the only thing you have a change to right? You want to change this? Do you have a problem with Bill doing that? Do you think that wording.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I don't know what he's involved in.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Did you…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I don't know what Bill's involved in. You know it will depend on what he's doing. I mean that's how I would feel about it personally.

Mr. Bill Gibson: This is Bill Gibson again. I said my involvement is as a member of the hearing board, variances or petitions for variances have come before the board from McClellan. I have excused myself or abstained from voting on these issues because of my involvement here. I will continue to do so. But the question is, there's the potential that I'm directly involved in environmental work because I *Inaudible***.** I can vote to deny the grants, I can vote to keep the grants or allow the grants.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Bill were you involved in this type of stuff before you came on board with the RAB? Because I mean I just recently found out about.

Bill Gibson: Been a member of the Air Board since 1970, 1994.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Sounds to me like *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We can leave it, we can drop that and leave it as written if you want to. But then, it still says that you're directly working with McClellan right?

Mr. Bill Gibson: That's why I wanted the interpretation. Does it mean you're on base working, or assigned there as a agency?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well either means that you have a direct contract way, I always interpreted it you have a direct contract with McClellan and their environmental work like Radian, CH2. Radian over here, CH2M Hill or whatever. Or, you have for instance, you are working with Environmental Management in their department.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I say leave it like it was.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: There was a comment from Ms. Sheila Guerra.

Mr. Mannard Gaines: My name is Mannard Gaines. I don't under — I'm trying to decipher what you all are talking about.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: *Inaudible*

Mr. Mannard Gaines: I find that if we vote on the same thing, I don't see — I don't see where it hurt anything myself. I mean if we vote, our vote cast for the same thing, I don't see — I don't see, I don't see where it hurt anything.

If we vote and we vote — we vote different, then I can understand that. But if we all vote on the same thing I don't see why it hurt anything.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Let me put it this way, that the change was — Chuck Yarbrough. We really vote change that to make it — so it would be less strong in the wording. It wouldn't be as strong. The way it reads now "a person shall not be a RAB member if that person or that person's employer currently has any contracts regarding environmental work with McClellan Air Force Base." The way this states is "a person shall not be a RAB member, if that person has any direct involvement in environmental work at McClellan Air Force Base." So it was actually diluting that somewhat, from what its stated now. So a person could work out there with PG&E or SMUD or something and be a RAB member and not deal directly with McClellan.

Brad Gacke, was the one because he wondered if he's working down here with Rancho Seco, if you know that — you know he's in environmental work down there, if that didn't under the wording currently in the charter that would affect him here. So its actually to dilute it, not to strengthen the way its being changed.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Chuck, Imogene Zander. To be honest with you there's only five people here that are not from McClellan.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No, no, no, look.

Ms. Imogene Zander: I mean on the RAB.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No there's community members, one, two three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine.

Ms. Imogene Zander: No they're — they're all McClellan.

Unknown Male: I live up in El Dorado Hills. I'm not in the community.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No, you are the community. We have a person from Davis. Brad Gacke is from Lodi. So you are community because you are up representing AFGE. You're just as community as anyone. One, two, three, four, five…

Ms. Imogene Zander: Chuck, you are McClellan too, even though we listen to you.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well did, did your husband go already home.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Well, there's too much.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: See, we had to go on well.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Six.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, so.

Ms. Imogene Zander: What we going to do, throw you out of the RAB?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: What we had — we had, do we have any more discussion?

Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes, I'm still talking.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: What do you want to talk about.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Because, if it says that — well if you have anything to do with McClellan, then you're not a RAB member. Well that means that there's only five of us.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough; No, it has to do with direct involvement. In other words, you are a contractor. You contracted out with McClellan okay? Or you work in Environmental Management.

Ms. Imogene Zander: And all Civil Service is contracted.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: What?

Ms. Imogene Zander: All Civil Service is a contract.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't know where you're coming from.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Well, Chuck, what I'm saying is, I think we better just skip it.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Skip what.

Ms. Imogene Zander: About this because there's too many people here that's…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No.

Ms. Imogene Zander: …associated with McClellan.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No, they're not voting, they're not voting okay?

Ms. Imogene Zander: I know you are. I'm counting you as one of the 5.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No there's, there's, there's one, I'm one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Where do you work Chuck?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh that — that counts? But I'm not directly…

Ms. Imogene Zander: That's what I'm trying to tell you.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, well you don't think that I should be a RAB member then?

Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes, I said…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Del Callaway.

Ms. Imogene Zander: I said, oh I didn't I'm not saying it. I know. I know that's what — I everybody you too. But there's only a few of us that are not from McClellan.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That doesn't matter. You don't understand. It has to do with directly working in Environmental Management Department. Okay, director you are directly working for Mr. Paul Brunner here. You're one of his employees. Or you're working for a contractor that works for — with or for him.

Ms. Imogene Zander: No, I would be his boss.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: If you work at McClellan and you don't work for him, either as a contractor or as a base employee, you're considered a community member according to our chart. Okay ____

Ms. Imogene Zander: That's better.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. So now we have a question right? So why do we vote of this. All those in favor of passing stuff that's just like its written here, raise your hand. Okay, so all those that disfavor it or against it raise your hand. Okay, so the whole thing doesn't pass then.

Ms. Imogene Zander: That's the *Inaudible***.**

Unknown Female: Did we get that number count?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay that all those that are in favor of it raise your hand.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Did you get, did you get…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All those that are in favor of the amendments as read, raise your hands.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Chuck, could you please state the number for the tape of the yeas and nays.

Ms. Imogene Zander: I didn't hear what you said.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We're taking a vote okay.

Ms. Imogene Zander: I thought we voted.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We did, but they didn't get the count.

Mr. Del Callaway: It was 8 for, and 1 abstain. Or 7 for, 1 abstain and 1 no.

Mr. Paul Brunner: There's only so many members.

Mr. Del Callaway: There's still no count.

Mr. Paul Brunner: No, we counted, I counted *Inaudible*

Inaudible discussion

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. All those are in favor, raise your hand, okay.

Inaudible discussion

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: *Inaudible*. Two, four, six. Two, four, six. Okay, all those that are against, raise your hand. There's 3.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: 3

Ms. Imogene Zander: 3

Mr. Paul Brunner: *Inaudible* ask for abstain.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All who abstain raise your hand. So now we have a vote.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. Can we have that again there were…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Six against.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Six approved.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Six for it.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Six for…

Ms. Merianne Briggs: There were…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: …three against.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: …three against.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: One abstain.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: One abstain, okay. Thank you.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. So the charter stays as is, the Bylaws stay as is. Well, no. Hold it just a second. We just voted on the whole package, so that means the Bylaws and the RAB Rules of Order only change the majority vote. So they've passed. The only thing that didn't pass is the Charter. The charter did not pass.

Mr. Bill Gibson: *Inaudible*

Unknown Male: *Inaudible*

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The changes to the Charter and the by — and the Bylaws. Hold on. The charter didn't pass. Charter didn't pass. The Bylaws and RABs Rules of Order did *Inaudible*

Mr. Bill Gibson: Six out of ten, wouldn't pass.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: What's that?

Unknown Male: You have six, three, and one. So you don't have two-thirds.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Doesn't have to have two-thirds. It only has to have a majority.

Mr. Bill Gibson: It do to change — do to change the charter. In order to change the…

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The Charter can't be changed. You have to have two-thirds vote of the Charter, not the RAB Rule of Order or the Bylaws. Read.

Unknown Male: Right.

Mr. Paul Brunner: So Bill, this is Paul Brunner. The charter changes at least at this time, did not pass, but the other ones that take 50% like RAB Rules of Order, which Chuck was saying, did pass.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So, okay we have to work on that other, the Charter later okay. If we want to or we can leave the Charter alone. But the only thing is, that's one thing that comes conflicts the RAB Rules of Order.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well you want to go to the these — these items now. Chuck, revert back to the charter or to the agenda?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Revert back to the agenda.

DOD Co-Chair Comments

Mr. Paul Brunner: All right. We go to the agenda for the day. We're on item number 2.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: A comment from Mr. Paul Brunner.

Review Open Action Items

Mr. Paul Brunner: Thanks Merianne. All right the first one is — actually before we get to item number 2, we're — when we did the minute review. This is Paul Brunner again. The — I — you have a handout in your package that is labeled the RAB Public Meeting Action Items. If you would find that in your packet, I'm going to go through and I think members of the audience may actually have that too. If you picked up in the back. Its back there you can reference with me as I go through the items. The — what I'm going to do is go through the open action items that we had from the minutes. Even though they weren't approved yet, there are action items that are continuing, ongoing through and I think that its important that you see the updates and status as to where they are for the group. So everyone got that page?

Unknown Male: Got it.

Mr. Paul Brunner: So we go to the first one that's open and the action is "Report back to the Relative Risk Ranking Committee the names of the bidders for the TAPP." And I think that'll probably come up later on during that committee report and we'll talk about the TAPP at that time as such. So, I think that's going to come up later on in the meeting, at least on the agenda it says that. So I would say lets wait until that time. And Jeannie is not here so maybe that's not going to happen tonight.

The next two open action items are really together one — one. And I think they're both come up later on in the meeting too under their report reviews. A review of the VOC FS and submit comments to Bill Gibson and then compile comments on the VOC FS Feasibility Study and present them to the agency — to the agency meeting and September 2nd 1998 RAB meeting for the discussion. And Bill and Chuck I think you guys are probably going to do that during the technical review report is that true? Bill is that true and Chuck. You plan to do it at that time. During the technical review portion of the meeting what came out of that VOC FS study. You have that committee report?

Mr. Bill Gibson: Chuck has it.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I — I, you mean, I don't exactly follow you. Maybe its because the heat got me from the *Inaudible* back over here or what.

Mr. Paul Brunner: All right, well.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I'm sorry, I'm weak.

Mr. Paul Brunner: All right, open action items 2 & 3 on the sheet.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's why. I don't have that in front of me right now.

Mr. Paul Brunner: It's a here, these two right here Chuck. The comment — the two actions dealt with reviewing of the VOC FS Feasibility Study. Last time we came, there was some partial submissions of comments, and then the question was to go back and review. Bill, you'd asked that the people would submit more comments to you. The Technical Committee met to review. I know Chuck had that meeting and some members came and that. And then the goal was to bring — to present those comments here, during the course. I presume that, that'll be discussed at during the Technical Meeting later on in the agenda today?

Mr. Bill Gibson: Will be presented, out of the meeting, came no additional comments.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right.

Mr. Paul Brunner: So for, for those two action items I — should I leave those open or should I close them, are you saying that you will report back at the…

Mr. Bill Gibson: Well, I got your letter today, your response.

Mr. Paul Brunner: To the — to the ones…

Mr. Bill Gibson: To the VOC Feasibility Study *Inaudible*

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes. In regards to that action item, there was a submission that you — that you turned in. And what I did do, was I asked my staff to go through, even though they weren't the definite answers to the questions — they were definitely questions that the RAB had asked on that time. And to in good faith I did ask staff to go back and prepare answers. If they change we can go back and modify them. but to get it back as rapidly as possible and give you feedback as to how we're trying to incorporate those comments into our work. There maybe more comments that came out of the meeting you had the other day on that. So on those two open action items

should we what, what do you want to do with those?

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, what do you want to do with them Bill? You haven't gotten any more.

Mr. Bill Gibson: Well, we got…

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Comments from Bill Gibson.

Mr. Bill Gibson: Bill Gibson. There were no additional comments came out of the committee. I got Paul's responses today in the mail, dated August 28th 1998. My intention was to answer the worksheet, compiling our questions against the answers and send that through Chuck and the Technical Review Committee, as our official response your response. Close out that action. In addition that the agency *Inaudible* keep track of what else is going on.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. Well for these I think — what I, what I hear being said is that, these two items can be closed out. What, what I would ask Chuck and Bill for the Technical, if you would within the group, the response that we did provide back, if you all in your group could review those responses to see if they really fulfilled what you wanted. And if you would provide feedback, as to if we were on target or off target…

Mr. Bill Gibson: Our response to your response.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: You've gotten a response back.

Mr. Bill Gibson: Yes, I know what the distribution was on this.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, I think it went out to the various people that were there. Yes.

Mr. Bill Gibson: It went to everybody.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I received. Sheila Guerra. I received one today too, I haven't chance to.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I haven't gotten my mail.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes *Inaudible* for you to really make a comment back. I was just trying to be as quick back as possible *Inaudible***.**

Okay, the next open action item was "Research process for selecting security guard for RAB meetings." And then that was the Air Force's response. Ralph Munch was listed there in our contracting office to do that. There is a handout in your packet too that we put together. And on that particular one we did go through and with the actions on here, if you could read those and provide feedback as to where it was to us.

But in trying to be somewhat timely in with the meetings to get through these items quickly, I've been asking folks to summarize the responses back. Give you a chance to read what, what the response was, instead of trying to describe it but to write it out for you. So if you could within this one here, I'm going to consider this one to be closed. If there are open items on how we do the security guard selection, please give us our feedback and we can discuss it more…

Ms. Linda Piercy: Could I could I say something?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Sure.

Ms. Linda Piercy: I've noticed comments…

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Ms. Linda Piercy

Ms. Linda Piercy: Thank you. I have a problem the way that you answer questions put to you sometimes; such as “How much does the security guard make?” And is this from Environmental Management or the Air Force to say the notes here in notation says “the security guard contract is less than $25,000. It is not required to be advertised as CBD. Security guard contracts have not been so forth acquisition.”

It just seems that when questions are asked about money, that there’s all ways this real vague, you know, thing and then doesn’t have to be answered. It's like less than $25,000; therefore, we’re not going to talk about it. To me that’s not an answered. I’ve, I’ve noticed that sometimes questions are asked about money and there’s this vague answer and you’re just suppose to go “Oh, okay.” Why is it so vague?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well actually, this is Mr. Paul Brunner. The answers like on here, we would not of considered vague. So…

Ms. Linda Piercy: I see…

Mr. Paul Brunner: There must be a disconnect in how we’re — in what we’re doing through this. So I will try to do better. I do know that if we are in the process of doing an award, because of the many times the competitive nature of bidding, we can not discuss dollar amounts. Often time in the audience there’s potential bidders that would like to hear us talk dollars, as to where we are and disclose a lot of different dollar figures, at which then we would see back in their proposals, as to what we’re estimating so. But once the contracts are awarded, and they’re in place, the total dollar amounts and where we are tend to be more public knowledge. In where we are. The government does tend when we start to deal with contracts and dollars to be more…

Ms. Imogene Zander: Vague.

Mr. Paul Brunner: …stand back and to go through various issues and work through it.

Ms. Linda Piercy: And why is a question asked, if it's going to be answered in a very vague manner. Why — I mean seems to me — why are we even here? We ask something and then…

Ms. Imogene Zander: We don't get an answer.

Ms. Linda Piercy: …we don't really get an answer to it — to me.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I think we need to keep…

Ms. Linda Piercy: Clear.

Mr. Paul Brunner: …on working on the answer.

Ms. Imogene Zander: You better keep working on the answer, son.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Within as to where cause I we wouldn't consider this answer to being vague.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Well, I don't care what you consider.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Well, Paul, I do. I think…

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

Ms. Imogene Zander: I consider, I think that it's vague.

Major Robert Gonzales: Paul.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes.

Major Robert Gonzales: Can I, can I make a comment?

Ms. Imogene Zander: Go ahead.

Major Robert Gonzales: Hi, I'm Robert Gonzales. I'm the Public Affairs Director at McClellan. And my job is also to interpret Air Force and try to translate it in English. He is very right. This is a very specific answer from the contracting guys.

But unfortunately from my perspective, where I sit it doesn't translate well into English. I'll read it to you and translate it as I read it. There must be a rule in the Air Force that says contracts over $25,000 fall into this specific rule. That's what the first sentence says, but it doesn't really say that very well. It says the Security Guard Contract is less than $25,000, it is not required to be advertised in the CBD, the Commerce Business Daily, that's what the CBD thing is. So there is a rule that says anything above $25,000 must be advertised that way.

Clearly they didn't give you an exact amount of what the contract was worth, but they did say that it's worth less than $25,000. And there's a rule that the contractors say okay, it meets this rule, go on to the next one or it doesn't meet this rule, follow this pattern.

The next one says Security Guard Contracts have not been sole source acquisitions. That's a very specific contract *Inaudible***.** In other words, that meant that the Air Force didn't just go out and say "Hey I want my buddy over here who's a security guard person, who has a security guard contract to get that contract." What that means is the Air Force went out and actually looked at several different companies. Those companies made proposals to the Air Force and the way the

Air Force works it they always go lowest cost. So there was more than one company out there. You can probably assume more, more than one, two, three, maybe, I don't know. But the contract guys know that for sure. But the Air Force and the United States Government got the best price. That's what that means, its not explained very well,

Ms. Linda Piercy: Well, we're…

Major Robert Gonzales: I'll agree.

Ms. Linda Piercy: We are community members and we don't know Air Force jargon…

Major Robert Gonzales: I understand that. I'm agreeing with you its not translated very well.

Ms. Linda Piercy: I think — I think that we need a better translation because I want to ask another question. Security guards are working all the RAB meetings and all the meetings or how many meetings are they?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well the yes, yes you can ask another question. What Merianne was just passing onto me — was in your packets the intent was, that you have these handouts and in fact *Inaudible* couple items that you have. You know, this is what— what I was referencing that you have a packet. And at your packet was that this would be in here that would try and describe what it meant. In here we talked about what the - our government *Inaudible* $3,250 for the government and so. When I was responding back to you that we, we're more specific, I thought you had that. Apparently you don't have that.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Nobody does.

Mr. Paul Brunner: In your packets. Yes, that's…

Ms. Imogene Zander: And so.

Mr. Paul Brunner: The intent was that we have that here.

Ms. Imogene Zander: What you're saying is that you make less than $25,000 a month. So you don't know what you make. And that's what you're saying and you're saying and each person. You've gotta be nuts.

Mr. Paul Brunner: *Inaudible*

Ms. Linda Piercy: No, they're talking about the security guard.

Ms. Imogene Zander: I know we're talking about the security guard. But he's talking about Air Force jargon. Don't talk to me about Air Force jargon.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I wasn't talking Air Force jargon.

Ms. Imogene Zander: No, you better not.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I was talking about handouts.

Ms. Imogene Zander: You give me straight answers when I ask you something.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Linda…

Ms. Linda Geissinger: Linda Geissinger, I was just wondering, Paul, if you could repeat

what's in the memo that Linda was asking about in terms as how much it cost. Because I thought I heard way up here, that you said that there's a dollar amount for the security guard, which is in plain English which is what she asked for. Can you repeat that sentence?

Mr. Paul Brunner: The, the, it says — the okay — in here it says, "since the government estimate for the contract was $3,250 (that's for security guard), it did not require publication in the Commerce Business Daily. To obtain competitive quotes, the contracting officer solicited eight local companies for their quotes. The award was made to Quality Security on the basis of their ability to fulfill all statement of work requirements and on fair and reasonable rates."

It was also something back here and I'll read it for the record too, and apologize for not these were ready to come to the meeting and they're not here for it. I apologize for that.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: You know, excuse me

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes go ahead.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. We don't have a copy of that.

Ms. Imogene Zander: He didn't bring any.

Mr. Paul Brunner: And that's what, well the intent was that they would be here within the area.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Copies were made they were just left back on the desk.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs, I apologize for not putting them in the packet and I will mail them out to you.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. Merianne, I have a question about the agenda that's been setting here in front of me is all this time. I have a draft agenda. Did we get a final agenda for this meeting or…

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. The reason that it does say draft on there, is there was some changes made from the one that you had received in the mail. There are some changes that were made from that the other one.

Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: She just removed some redundant stuff on there.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: But what I'm getting at, is that its kind of confusing when you have so much paper in front of you with, with this type of a RAB meeting. And now we have another proposed agenda for October 21st sitting in front of us, which doesn't say draft, it says proposed agenda. I thought that this was suppose to come up at another meeting, I'm not sure.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: What we're talking about here.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, we're still on the…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: These agendas…

Mr. Paul Brunner: We, we're talking about the agendas.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: What I'm trying to get at is, we're talking about stuff that is not in front of us here. That's why everything has gotten so confusing. And I'm trying to follow what you guys

are, are doing over there and I don't my agenda is not matching up with what you guys are — what your agendas are.

Mr. Paul Brunner: On the security guards, let's focus on the security guards. And then we'll get this out to you, again apologize that we didn't bring it.

The — there was a question that came up on cost for the, for the hours of the workers. And the cost — the question was "Research the cost of how much the security guard get paid for each RAB meeting" and the answer is this "The industry standard for security services is to charge by the hour for services with the minimum number hours. The cost of each meeting, therefore depends on the length of the meeting or the minimum number of chargeable hours whichever is greater. The rate for the company that we're talking about before, SIA, was $20 per hour with a minimum — with a 4-hour minimum per meeting. Quality Server Security will receive $15 per hour, a 4-hour minimum per meeting." So, in other words, when we competed, it actually went down.

Mr. Del Callaway: Mr. Brunner, Del Callaway. I'll be the acting chair for the rest of the meeting for Chuck has just departed.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Thank you. *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Paul Brunner: If we do — hand them out *Inaudible*

Ms. Merianne Briggs: You want to keep this and run them off.

Mr. Paul Brunner: No we have one back there in at the office. If we *Inaudible* as we go through and pass it around a little bit more.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Thank you.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, the — you know it, when the and we *Inaudible***.** As you go through that, we really try to be specific on the answers to give you the questions an on it. So again apologize for not having the package here.

Ms. Linda Piercy: Well, this does help.

Mr. Paul Brunner: The next action item that, that dealt with the Research Process for selecting security guards for RAB meetings. next one was research the cost of how much the security guard gets paid for each night. I just read that answer. The next one was assist Imogene Zander and the Piercy's to obtain base passes.

In this regard Imogene we have your information I know. What we do need to know is schedule a time for you to come in. I don't know, Merianne, if you had a chance to talk to Imogene, but to where we can go through base pass and get your pass and process through. So with that — that's the right procedure correct, to go?

Okay. And, Linda, for you we — and for Tony, we need for you to get with Merianne to provide certain information so we can get the passes and schedule a time for

Ms. Merianne Briggs: We already have the passes, sorry.

Mr. Paul Brunner: …all that to happen.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. I did get the information from the Piercys.

Mr. Paul Brunner: All right. The next open action item is research cost for the five people

from EM to go to Washington D.C. to accept the Closing of the Circle Award.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs. That one also is back on my desk, I apologize. But we'll get that mailed out to you.

Mr. Paul Brunner: All right. With here, I'll pass this around. My answer, it's more than just what is on that little written page what it is. What the answer comes back ,with it — what I provided in the package that you'll receive. And I could — I will pass it around you can look at it, is a copy, Imogene you asked for a copy of the award commission, it's here.

The — on here is also the Letter of Invite and the Congratulations that we had to winning it, and the Invite to go back. The Invite is from the, the Office of the Federal Environmental Executive, the, the group that's right from the President's group to do things. Within here, the comment is — where they invite five folks to come to receive the award. To go back — which gave the authority for us to do that. And the cost of the five folks to go, the total cost of the five people to go, fly back one evening, be at the award, fly back that same day after the award is over, was $2,680. So I think that's a pretty — that answers the question and we'll get that back out and I'll pass that around and in the mean time for the members to look at.

Okay, the next one on the open action item "RAB, provide RAB with copies of nominee — nomination package from the Closing of the Circle Award." That's in that package, I think that's complete.

The next one is set up meeting with Rebecca Garrison on the Ride Share Program in the near future and Sheila that one is yours.

Unknown Female: Excuse me.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm not ready to meet with her at this point — and I'll let you guys know.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay so that remains open. The, the other items from the meetings are closed. As we go through here, the — a couple — one that I would highlight is on page 3 of 5, in those things where it says RAB members viewed the location options or the desk space and computer at EM and provide their feedback. We did go through that with the various co-chairs and talked about locations. And that the computer is set up now. It is available. It's over in the administration area — record area and you can enter and get the into — into the web and do that at that station. The, Del…

End of Tape

Mr. Del Callaway: I have comments on that but I'll do it under my committee.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, so we should actually keep that one open for right now, okay.

Mr. Del Callaway: *Inaudible* it doesn't make any difference.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. Would you, would you, open or close?

Mr. Del Callaway: Go ahead and close it. I'll reopen it under another issue.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. Any other questions on the action items? And we will get those other things out to the other members on those flyers.

Review Open RAB Worksheets

The — on the agenda then, we have review of open RAB agendas or the worksheets. There was

one worksheet that was still open. And that's the one that was on the VOC that we talked earlier about. And we did receive comments; we've responded back. Do not have any other comments on that. Does anyone else?

Mr. Bill Gibson: We have no additional comments it's just a matter of my putting it all together. This is Bill Gibson, putting it all together to form a combine question and answer sheet for response of the worksheet.

RAB Training

Mr. Paul Brunner: My next comment. Mr. Paul Brunner here, is on RAB training and you should have this sheet in your packet that talks about upcoming RAB training. On the agenda, there is a training session that will occur tomorrow, here in the same area where we are. Alex MacDonald will be doing the training on water quality. Yes, Imogene it looks like you were going to say something.

Ms. Imogene Zander: No I was just, what kind of water quality.

Mr. Paul Brunner: You want to give a kind a comment on what you will be doing Alex?

Mr. Alex MacDonald: Yes there was a request for me to.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Comments, comments from Alex MacDonald…

Mr. Alex MacDonald: Excuse me, Merianne. There was a request for me to provide my agency's perspective on establishing cleanup criteria for soil and groundwater. In other words how we, how do we decide what the cleanup value should be. So I was going to present our process of doing that.

Ms. Imogene Zander: And when is this?

Mr. Alex MacDonald: It is tomorrow.

Ms. Imogene Zander: At what time?

Mr. Paul Brunner: 6:30.

Mr. Alex MacDonald: 6:30.

Mr. Paul Brunner: 6:30 to 8:30 or sooner, but its here.

On the on the handout that we gave you, the — we plan on having a training in October here at Vineland School. On — that deals with what is pump and treat, to explain that the water mechanism of how that works. In November, to explain the soil vapor extraction systems and how that works for the folks in training. We proposed December and January because of the holidays occurring and that, that we would not have training for those, for those months. The and then on in the February time frame we would propose having a training session and discussion about local and county ordinances and, and how they do land use restrictions and how they would apply that for contaminated sites, what's available, what's going on in that. I think you'll — that will be important for you all to understand. Particularly if we start to leave contamination on site, how does the county handle that, how will the LRA deal with that. The —and then March time frame we would then have a training session on the biologically opinion from the Fish and Wildlife folks that we project to getting some response back from them on their opinion that we have that's being rendered through the base. And would go through that training and explain that to you all through that session. The — for this sake of the agenda here and for the RAB members does this look okay to you all and what we're proposing? Yes, Sheila.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. On the opinion part of that, now is that going to be separated? Because I know the community is still waiting to have that meeting.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, this is not the reclamation of the West Side Creek. This is a — the opinion from Fish and Wildlife on the west areas we closed, which should the Air Force be doing.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: But is it just going to be just the west area that they're doing or is it going to be the whole base?

Mr. Paul Brunner: The opinion would be for the whole base. They're going to concentrate on the special areas, but it it'll be rendered for the base.

It's okay for the December and January time frame from the RAB members not to have training?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's fine.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, so we have consensus on that, that the training in December…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Right.

Mr. Paul Brunner: …and January we're not to have. Okay.

Community Bulletin Board

Mr. Paul Brunner: The — on the next one — one I had for discussion is on the community bulletin board. The — at this time I have a couple of items to go through. I'd like to make — introduce one person that's here new to the EM area. We still do not have the government replacement, still going through priority replacements and trying to get a selecting — selection for

that slot. But we do have a contractor person on staff from Radian Corporation that has come. First meeting that they are here, and that's Roxanne Yonn. Back there, Roxanne you might stand up. So she will be assisting Merianne with the activities that we have and what we're doing. And the details and cost and all that, Sheila we know, that the next Community Relations Meeting on September 16th. Yes, will be coming…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: So that will be incorporated into that meeting into…

Mr. Paul Brunner: We will talk that issue in that meeting as you wanted to do.

The in your packet too I know that there's some news articles that have come out. The we've included the one on the news review. That's in your packet. That was dealing with DOD, the RAB, and also Ride Share; for people to read. That may come up later on in discussions too, as we go through topics. There was a... Did we get the news — the news release in there on the reactor?

Ms. Merianne Briggs: We have the handout. Ms. Merianne Briggs, we have the handout.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, why don't we hand that out.

Mr. Del Callaway: Del Callaway. Why did it take 7 days to get this handout to the RAB?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Which handout?

Mr. Del Callaway: The one on the reactors. It took 3, 4 days to get it to the Bee.

Major Robert Gonzales: Actually, Robert Gonzales here. No, it didn't. It actually took 2 days to get to the Bee. And the reason it took 2 days, was the Air Force wanted to make

sure what we're telling the *Inaudible* right. There was no, no threat or, or leakage actually. The article was incorrect.

What happened was the radioactivity is in the reactor where it should be. What happened was some cesium, gaseous cesium were detected by the reactor and said hey, you need to turn off the reactor and figure out what's causing this. The reactor shut down, the gas was filtered out through carbon filters and HEPA filters. And then, the reactor people actually went in there and figured out what the problem was. And they, they were defective fuel lines. So they've gone in — they had to replace the fuel the defective fuel lines.

Had it been an emergency or had there been anything leaking into the environment, we can bet you, right now that we would've released it immediately. The Commander has that authority to do it and it's my job to recommend to him that he do that.

Mr. Del Callaway: So you knew the same day what had happened, what whether it was…

Major Robert Gonzalez: The people that reacted…

Mr. Del Callaway: *Inaudible* contamination had spill or not?

Major Robert Gonzales: The people on the same day, knew that there was no contamination. And again, it was not a spill or leak. The fuel lot itself leaked radiation in the reactor area where it supposed to be. And it was contained where it supposed to be. It never left where it was supposed to be. I'm sorry.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. —Major Gonzales — I received this news release.

It was mailed out on August 26th and as a RAB member, I feel that we should be notified immediately. At least our, our co-chair should be notified. And he should be notifying the chairs and the rest of the committee here. I didn't receive this until after the 26th.

In that time, this was already in the Sacramento Bee on August 2nd, 22nd, which I missed this article. Someone had called me and told me about it. I don't think that that's good business that we —should find out about this a week or so later, you know.

Major Robert Gonzales: Actually what we did to ensure the people saw it that evening, it was on KCRA Channel 3 that night. And it also ran in the next morning *Inaudible*

Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's not an excuse.

Major Robert Gonzales: It's not an excuse. I'm trying to tell you, we used the most immediate means to get the word out to the people.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: But I didn't see the TV that night and I didn't read the newspaper that day and that.

Major Robert Gonzales: I understand that and we got good input.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's what I'm getting at.

Major Robert Gonzales: We got good input from you and what we can do is go back and talk to the EM folks.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: But we have talked about this several times in the past, when there's a spill and something that has happened out here, this committee needs to know immediately. Not get

second hand information from the newspaper or off the TV set.

Major Robert Gonzales: Actually, it's first hand information, when we're getting it through the newspaper or TV set, because they're coming directly to us.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Right.

Major Robert Gonzales: …and getting the information…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'm — our Community Chair works out here at the base, there's — there's no reason why nobody — no one…

Major Robert Gonzales: Again, that's something that EM and I have to work out because…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay, fine.

Major Robert Gonzales: …procedural, procedural problem I'd say.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Tom, what's yours?

Mr. Tom O'Donnell: Tom O'Donnell, AFGE. The — the — what had happen, is its happen in my directorate. I'm in the TI directorate and it was a pinhole in one of the rods. And when it happened on Wednesday, they were ready to shut — shut it down anyway, to do an annual inspection of all the fuel rods anyway. So what had happen, is when they — when the pinhole was detected and the reactor shut down, there was no — there was no contamination. There was no nothing to come out into the environment, because I work there. And if it did, I would have been.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: That, that's not.

Ms. Imogene Zander: That's not the point.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's not my issue, that wasn't my issue. My issue is it doesn't matter what it is. The issue is that we should as a RAB, be informed ahead of time.

Mr. Tom O'Donnell: Well, in defense of the Air Force, they did it as quickly as possible. I mean you know…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: I didn't know about it until 6 days later.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Nobody knew about it.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: 6 to 7 days later.

Ms. Imogene Zander: You didn't tell any one.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg here.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Imogene Zander.

Mr. Tom O'Donnell: I do believe we did tell people.

Mr. Paul Brunner: What we did not do was immediately notify the RAB members.

Mr. Tom O'Donnell: Right.

Inaudible discussion

Mr. Paul Brunner: I think, that is, Sheila, that's your issue we didn't let you guys know.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Right, that's, that's my issue.

Mr. Paul Brunner: But we did notify the public. It was on TV, and that the issue is that we didn't tell you.

Mr. Tom O'Donnell: That's a procedural problem we need to work out.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: So we will try to work on that.

Mr. Tom O'Donald: Yes, we will.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. I want to — Elaine Anderegg. We have worked through this issue before. And if we didn't accomplish it this time we will go back to PA and talk about it. But the agreement we have with the RAB, is any press releases that come out from the base on environmental issues, part of that press release to distribution is suppose to be the committee chairs. In the case with Chuck and Del, we have fax numbers we can send it to them that day. In the case with you Sheila, we're suppose to put it in the mail that day to get it to you, because we don't have a fax to send it to you. We didn't do that. We need to do that. I will *Inaudible*

Mr. Del Callaway: I know you didn't do that. That's why I asked the question why it took 2 days *Inaudible*.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Then we just didn't accomplish it *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Paul Brunner: I think the, the intent from our vantage point we, we have accomplished that mission before, was to do it, I think what we are experiencing is the transition be — with action officers, as we go through. And I know in a follow up — a lack of follow up on my part to make sure that that happens. Okay, so we'll do better - work on it - try to do better on it and work that.

Mr. Del Callaway: Since the Major jumped in and wants to take over, so give him an action item to report back to us.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Okay, I will.

Mr. Paul Brunner: The — on — while we're on community things, I — as we work through these items, and as we go through, I think it is fair to bring up one point for the community at all. Is the — on the request for information, there has been some discussion before on Freedom of Information Act. And I did send out that one letter to the group about asking for— how to submit FOIAs in the group.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me Sheila Guerra speaking. You, you don't want to take this to the committee meeting — in the community part here?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well I think it was just an opportunity just to share where we were. It's part of the bulletin board I think its how you get information and flow back and forth. And I won't be very long and the we can…

Mr. Del Callaway: Where are we on the agenda, cause we need to get back on that.

Mr. Paul Brunner: We're on community bulletin board.

Mr. Del Callaway: Go ahead.

Mr. Paul Brunner With that, within the RAB procedures that we have when we ask for information for, from the Air Force, there was in responses back and forth worked out where the RAB was determined by our legal counsel and our procurement counsel. And they came back which really revised the letter that I sent you all. Because earlier on I had shared that for you to have information when you ask about contract dollars and contracts, that you needed a FOIA to get that information. The response that came back from that community was a really a change of position. When it worked through, that they found that the RAB has some quasi status within the system, which allows RAB members to go observe things and review documents without coming in and asking for the FOIA process in that area. So that's positive. It really extends it to RAB members it doesn't go out to the public here and other people that are there. But within that area if your asking for reports or those different areas, we might not be able to hand you out to your area to go, but if you came in you should be able to see them. And in the future when that comes up I know that comes up I know that comes up on contract documents and different other things. If our system doesn't respond to you on there, come talk to me and I'll work our system make that it does. Okay? So, that is a difference in and I knowing it's a change from what I said before. And I want to make sure that I say that out front and let you know that that was a change. Okay?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sheila Guerra speaking. Paul I received a letter from Colonel Gibson on my FOIA. And when he responded to that he gave me three reasons why they couldn't answer some of those questions that I had, which I could understand and I know you did give me a letter here tonight. And I'll go over that and I will respond to that.

But my problem is when — when DoD says that the RAB is an arm of the Air Force, they don't define what the arm of the Air Force is. Now if we're an arm of the Air Force why in the heck would we have to do an FOIA in the first place.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well I think that's what they, they came back and said that you don't.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: But when it comes to mailing list and things of that nature, if we're an arm of the Air Force, believe that we should have that information.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well I think you actually make…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: And you know…

Mr. Paul Brunner: …make a good point.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: When you say arm of the Air Force that's, that's a wide field. You know? So I think that somebody ought step back and explain themselves like Colonel Gibson, because he is the one who sent me that letter, and he is the one who made that statement. Now I have been on this RAB for over 3 years now, and I have never once been referred to as an arm of the Air Force. I have been referred to as a community person and that's what I am, so.

Mr. Paul Brunner: The, the response that came from our community needs to be worked through to determine what that is. And part of the questions that are that are come up on different issues — I do believe that we need to work on each one of those and, and come back. I think its probably from — what to your advantage, as to what the determination was and what they rendered to be able to see things, work through things and — could make things work better within the group. I think you have a good point on the mailing list. I think you have good points on other areas of which we need to then work through to make sure that what we had before said and the *Inaudible* process is really that way.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: But I, my concern is that they don't keep changing things as things come up and…

Mr. Paul Brunner: I agree.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: The Air Force starts making up their rules as we go along, you know, I mean this RABs been together for quite some time. And now all of a sudden, boom, we're an arm of the Air Force. So, I mean, that could change a lot of things and a lot of issues.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes I, it doesn't do me a lot of pleasure to come and change back and forth on, on issues as to where we are. So we will work to minimize that and to do that.

Mr. Del Callaway: Del, acting co-chair for the community. I think there's some confusion on the use of the mailing list that's being discussed. And some confusion on what it was intended for came up at our last meeting. Mr. MacDonald, recalled a portion of it and I, I passed out a package which I'll explain part of it later.

On the requesting of the mailing list its says by a judge that we're entitled to it we're entitled to have it. It does not require a Privacy Act Statement. It was appealed by the Land Management up in Oregon and the judge overturned a ruling where they said to give it to the, to the, that organization. But if, what you said in your letter and which I, I didn't include in this package because I have another deal that I'm working with. If what you said in that letter is true, then we have a problem of waste and abuse for the 900 other copies that you mailed out, because it cost quite a bit of money to mail out that that mailing list. And the EIS and the EIR person and they were mailed out a year ago. Been a year? A year when they were mailed out.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I don't track where you are on that Del.

Mr. Del Callaway: Like I say, this package has all of the correspondence going back 7 months when I requested the mailing list. And it — it goes on to show for 7 months we "ping pong" back and forth with, with e-mail and letters and things.

Mr. Paul Brunner: On the mailing list issue.

Mr. Del Callaway: Hmm?

Mr. Paul Brunner: On the mailing list issue.

Mr. Del Callaway: On the mailing list issue. That shows a complete lack of trust, a complete lack of cooperation. And the whole, the whole scenario is rotten from the start. Either, either we're going to cooperate with us; we're going to work together or not. That's all it is to it.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well as Del as I was saying, the response that came back on that other issue I think could impact that positively.

Mr. Del Callaway: *Inaudible*.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I haven't addressed that particular aspect of it yet; on my plate of things to do. I — one of my questions internally when I read that letter, back to Sheila, was what does that mean to this? And I don't, I don't have that answer internally. It is yet to come back. But we will get that answer.

West Area Update

Mr. Paul Brunner: The, the next area on the co-chair comments is the west area update. Why don't you get all five areas up. These are areas just give you updates on the — that are coming. And we do have some pictures over here afterwards so you can look at as to what's transpiring.

This comes from a commitment that we had, to give at each RAB meeting an update what's happening in the west area. Some of the areas extend beyond because it deals with creeks and

different things that are — that the Air Force owns or oversees on our properties. The first one is unplug Don Julio Creek. If you look over here on the pictures when you have time, you'll see that the western boundary of McClellan AFB, there — apparently we have a beaver, at least one that is busily plugging the creek. And along the fence boundary there is it's all jammed up. And I know that the Ayeres family that owns the property right along there, is having water and different other things. This big lake that's being formed from the beaver.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: What are you going to do with the beaver? Sheila Guerra. Beaver. What are you going to do with this guy.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Right now the intent isn't to do anything with the beaver, but to unplug the dam. And then hopefully the beaver will go some place else. And that the, the idea though is that the water — the creeks has to flow.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Where will he go?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Where did he come from? There, there have been in history at McClellan, when we had a beaver about 10, 15 years ago, in that range. Where we trapped the beaver along with Fish and Game and we relocate him out like the Placer County or out some where in the in the in the foothills to - to relocate the beaver in that area. But in this case we need to unplug it. This work of unplugging that area you wanted to know the activity was going on, will transpire probably in the next few days and it's, it's going to be by a contract with EM, overseeing by us, where really be hand unplugged in the area to go through and, and…We've coordinated that with the various agencies to make sure it was okay to do. So that work is soon to, soon to take place.

Mr. Del Callaway: You going to have a contractor to go out and do that?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes.

Mr. Del Callaway: And a, and an EM person go out?

Mr. Paul Brunner: The person who will be "QAing" this, Del, will be Marc Garcia. And I talked to Marc personally about that and he said that he was going to go out and oversee it. During this time you know on, on the base if you if you wan to observe or see something contact Marc and you can see what's how its going on.

The next one is the removal of falling tree. We'll be out there at the same time on here. You see the picture over here, there's a tree that fell across the creek. You all see where the beaver took it down. We have to get the tree out of the way. And that same timeframe again we will be out there moving the tree.

The — then we go to installing warning signs. Go to the first map that we have. This particular area the — on the west side of the base, lift it up a little. Is the — this is out on the west area, the we're going to be doing installation of these warning signs out on the west side to delineate areas where the vernal pools are and endangered these habitats are. Really in two different processes. One that's going to occur this Fall. And then we're going to do some more signs in the Spring timeframe, when the pools are more definitely there, we can see an outline where they are and then interior the areas.

But you see the red areas down through here. This area, there's a roadway through here and then these are the *Inaudible* and there. And up here above, in this area, is also a roadway. It's the first area of concentration that will go into. That's where the road traffic is to make sure the signs are up there to protect the area that they can read the signs and do that. The, the timing. I'll be right with you Sheila. The timing for the signs is we plan to start this work around the 1st of October we'll be putting the signs out there, again…

Ms. Imogene Zander: Great.

Mr. Paul Brunner: …with our contract work and we'll have our QA out there and again extend, if you want to observe or what's going on you can do that. Sheila what was your question?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: When do they plan to take training on *Inaudible* what ever they're going to do with it?

Mr. Paul Brunner: They plan to do that in the next few days. The unplug Don Julio creek and…

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Are they going to have somebody out there to make sure that these guys don't make any more damages?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes. The person I have doing that is Marc Garcia who's the head chief.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: He's going to be out there the whole time with these guys I hope?

Mr. Paul Brunner: He's going to be out there I think he's, he knows his group has been through a lot.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Cause I hope…

Mr. Paul Brunner: *Inaudible*

Ms. Sheila Guerra: …I don't get a phone call saying that you guys did something else out there.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I don't want to do that either Sheila.

Mr. Del Callaway: *Inaudible*

Mr. Paul Brunner: So, so but that work is going on and the next slide on the fence. At the same time in October, this is at the north, very north part of the base, along Elkhorn Boulevard, there's another set of vernal pools areas out there in the northwest corner. We will also be out there in the October time frame putting signs up in that area.

The intent here is that we will come forward during the month of September and through some forum, we're not going to have another RAB meeting at that time, but I know in the BCT RAB or Del some forums like that we'll have to sign placements and we'll work through that. But to let you know that that's our plans.

In the September or next year in the Spring time frame probably I, I don't know March timeframe or so in the Spring, we'll be out if the weather is right to — in the west area, putting other signs up when we can actually see where the vernal pools are to do that work too. And I'll come back and tell you the timing of when that's going to happen. Okay?

Ms. Imogene Zander: Better do it before it rains.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well this'll be done hopefully before it rains. But I just talked about…

Ms. Imogene Zander: Not this, I mean…

Mr. Paul Brunner: Spring, the Spring timeframe is after…

Ms. Imogene Zander: Yes, that's when you're really bogged down out there.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, if its really — but I think the rains is the time as to when we do it, if

its really mucky out there…

Ms. Imogene Zander: Well, they might have it covered over with asphalt by then.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay, we'll go to the Magpie —the next item, go back to the before bullet slide is the Magpie and Don Julio Creek Reclamation. Now this is the target of how we're trying to get the ratios and do the creek restoration.

If you go to the next slide, the other one I have. This, this should be in your packets, RAB members, to see the schedule that we have. When we briefed last time on how we are going to repair the creeks or get to those meetings, my hope was to have Fish and Wildlife's response to you — to us by the end of July. It is now the beginning of September; I don't have those responses yet from Fish and Wildlife.

So, what I have is — I revised this yesterday when I was going through it and prompting Fish and Wildlife to try to respond, is that we would — we anticipate now to get the responses by the 15th of September. Hopefully we do. And then adjust to the time frame as to how the schedule will go through to do it. So you can read the dates and the time frames. And I haven't set a target date yet Sheila for that meeting. Because of its' its one of — until I get something from them its, its my guess as to what it could be.

The now when we start the work on here it says begin the project —maybe the first week of January, the field work. We're not going to go out there and do that if its raining, and cause a mess out there in the area. We will — depending upon how the conditions are, the timing of the project that may slip because of weather conditions. But that's what we would project to be the earliest that we could go out there. Just so you can see the timing of it.

Any questions on that one? All right. And then the go back to the old slide. On this one then we

have Capehart Creek Work. This is just to give a highlight that we, we do have creeks in other areas. And the Capehart area there are creeks that run through the golf course. We, we do plan and we are underway of putting various riff raff along the creek area, so if you were to visit that area you would see creek work underway in that part, part of the base. Okay. That's all for the west area and the creek updates.

RAB Agenda and Meeting Format

Mr. Paul Brunner: Where we are now is on the RAB agenda and meeting format. And on that one I think where we are with the members — Del, you know, I've gone on and talked about *Inaudible***.** It's probably — the next discussion item I went over it briefly with you outside, that's going to take a little bit of time. Do we want to do that now or do we want to go through the rest of the agendas and see where we are to get through the rest of them?

Mr. Del Callaway: Maybe we should go through the rest of them.

Mr. Paul Brunner: And then come back to that one? I think that's appropriate. So the next one is yours.

Committee Chair Announcements

Community Relations

Mr. Del Callaway: Okay. The next would be the community chair. Sheila.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Do we permit comment cards or?

Mr. Del Callaway: I talked to Chuck about that *Inaudible*

Unknown Female: Sheila, when is our next meeting?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Sorry folks. Our community relations meeting is scheduled for October, September 17th, 16th. And going over the budget and the repository. And I haven't had any update from Danny Durkee at this time. I did — I understand that we're, we're moving the repository that hasn't happen yet, to the Rio Linda Library is that correct? *Inaudible*

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well the repository from our vantage point has been the task about moving and getting things set up with a repository, McClellan, our - our office area the administrative effort — we are there to have that to be called the repository. The computers are set up at the libraries and they are connected. And we are putting documents on the web site. So I think we can actually close the El — that Elverta site.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: What I'm getting at is I'm concerned there's rumors that the library would be closing. They're having problems with funding at this time. And they're selling T-shirts at this time to raise money and they're taking donations.

So I'm concerned if, if we're moving from, from the broom closet to a point that's, that's going to be closing. So I'm that's a concern of mine, because my concern in the beginning was I thought the ideal area should have been over on Watt Avenue at the North Highlands Library. Which I thought would have been — would have been a better library for people to get to. But someone needs to really check into that and see what their status is on the library itself. *Inaudible***.** Have to do a turn around.

The other thing that we have we'll be looking at too, is the Community Relations Plans, and the interviews and what, do you have anything else Merianne on that. I know we haven't made an agenda up yet. But those are some of the things that we're looking at. And we're also looking at minutes, getting the minutes straighten out *Inaudible***.** Merianne, on some time the week after the

8, 7, 8, 9. Somewhere in there.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Merianne Briggs, that's correct.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay, and I think that's pretty much everything except for I'd like to just comment on the article in the *News and Review* which was about a Ride Share. That, that was — I gave you guys an handout…**inaudible.** Its pretty much speaks for everyone's view on as far as grinding any axes, I'm not really grinding any particular ax. The air district is doing an audit at this time and I should know something by next week. So that's why I went over that and I mentioned everything else. The FOIA and I'll be getting back to Paul on that. That's about it.

Reuse/Relative Risk

Mr. Del Callaway: The next one is myself, Del Callaway, Reuse and Risk Ranking. I'll start out with — with — comments on the fuel. Evidently there was some confusion. There was a letter from Merianne going to Pride Industries on the procedures of handling fuel. And my comment was that they had a fuel dispensing vehicle that was improperly marked and not in accordance with California Vehicle Codes which requires plaque cards indicating that that vehicle has flammable liquids on it and whenever its in the process of dispensing fuel into other vehicles, it has to have warning devices on the highway so that people don't run into it. That vehicle didn't have anything on it.

Then on the next page was an answer back showing that — they had come up with some Pride Industry McClellan Air Force Base Equipment Fuel and Procedures, which I don't think was in existence until I made that comment. Although they are stamped QM — revised June 23rd of '98. If these were in existence then, they sure were not, not following them. And that's my comment on that I think this is just — I'm not sure.

Mr. Paul Brunner: So we…

Mr. Del Callaway: My second comment *Inaudible*

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

Mr. Del Callaway: My second comment is on— Environmental Management Restoration Advisory Board information that — on the your web page, first — the first page took 882 hits since 15th of October 1997. And when I pulled it up and started looking at it, I pulled up the Restoration Advisory Board Application which was still — has not been corrected. And the language has not been changed in accordance with — way back in early ’97, I think it was around March time frame where we had put some input on this.

…and then on the next page is your RAB membership listing, which on the packages I passed out to you folks, it’s the last page, which should have been used tonight before you started your vote. You should have ID the RAB members should’ve been identified, and the correct amount noted. Tony is showing on there as an alternate, when he’s actually a member, so that was incorrect. Ben Norman is still on there, he should have been dropped. And basically that’s it on that.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. Can I ask a question about that. If Ben Norman been dropped has McClellan been officially sent — something telling us that. Because I didn’t realize that. And if I don’t know it, I’m not going to get leave it that way.

Mr. Del Callaway: You were at the last meeting and you heard the comments made at the last meeting. You should have known about it.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, we voted on it last meeting.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: We did?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, we knew about that, it was voted on.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: I was — I didn't, I didn't register that one so.

Mr. Paul Brunner: The listing that's on here is dated 27th January 98. I think we there's an updated since this one. Del this is the one you pulled off recently?

Mr. Del Callaway: I just pulled it off this morning.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: I, yes. Elaine Anderegg. I think Del is right. We made the corrections when the Piercy's and Imogene became members. But when we voted him into being a permanent, I know I didn't register. And I have to go make that change, so I knew that.

Mr. Del Callaway: Imogene is on here *Inaudible*

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: But I did *Inaudible*

Mr. Paul Brunner: And we did vote to take Norman off.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Officially take him off, so I'll take care of that tomorrow.

Mr. Del Callaway: Okay, you had current documents for review in your update. Update of upcoming RAB meetings, you have September through October; December, which we're doing tonight. Your, your RAB page for previous meetings and minutes is April of '98. So if anybody

wants to look at, oh, your Environmental Restoration Advisory Board Action Update Newsletters had 554 hits as of '97, 15th of October '97. From that date to today. So that's how many people that's been looking at your web page, which isn't up to date either. I couldn't find last months — no, I'm sorry its, its listed but I couldn't pull it up for some reason. So I bypassed that.

Okay, my second, the second thing is the package that I passed out to you, starts out with page 1 and goes through page 9, I think it is, or 10. Yes. Page 1 being April the 21st, 1998 where Joe Healy sent out a letter to. I want to back up a second here.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Excuse me Roxanne Yonn can we change tapes so I can get this on tape? Thanks. Okay.

End of Tape

Mr. Del Callaway: Okay, then EPA, Federal and State, and the Water Districts, those organizations were formed to protect the public. They were not formed for the public to get jobs and sit back and not look at the issues or to side with management. They were, they were formed to go in and check big businesses and government agencies, and make sure that they were doing the proper jobs in the proper manner and not — contaminating the community. Therefore, EPA in their wisdom and since January has sought to interfere with the proc — with this process of us obtaining the mail out list. We were given the mail out list when we were going through the CERCLA process. We were given a complete regulate — or the manuals and everything that you were working with to search for information.

And you mailed out 900 copies of them and that was — at an expense. Later on in Joe Healy's comments in here, from this attorney that, that they shouldn't been mailed out. That, that it shouldn't have happen. And if that's the case, and this attorney cites that you didn't — that you shouldn't have done that, then that's called waste and abuse. Because that was like $10,000 or

better to mail out all those copies.

Then later on down the line here, Joe's referring to some comments made by a person in the audience. And conversation he had with me, it's explained in here that I said, that we wanted to use the mailing list to inform the people of the EVOC and that's not a true statement. The EVOC people have made a statement that, that — Glenn Craig and his people are responsible for to notify all the people in the community of his intentions. I — it's not our responsibility. We're not taking our monies and paying for that. that's for the County's responsibility. So he, so that was a statement out of text.

Then, then he — then he — then he sent a email to you folks and he sent a copy to me, telling me that he had the answer from the attorney, and that no we couldn't have it. The judge himself in the comments, for which you all have a copy in back of your package there. In the — in the comments that FOIA 5 USC and 552B and 6 do not forbid disclosure of mailing list maintained by the petitioner. The petitioner was a Land Management Branch which in this case is the Air Force. So it's no difference. We're entitled to have it without going through FOIA, or through that procedure. We should be able to get that. We do not have any intentions of mailing anything to anybody. All we want to do is look at that list because you mail it out anyway. You mailed it out to over 900 people. Not once did you mail it out, three different times. Did it go out with the final on the EIS and the EIR? So - what four times. Four times it was mailed out.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Who gets this all — the same list Rick Solander, this is all the same list?

Mr. Rick Solander: Yes, it was the mailing list we had at the time. I don't recall if it had addresses on there or not *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Del Callaway: It had addresses for people in Rio Linda. It had Rio Linda addresses. You had addresses for, for offices, CH2M Hill. When I started looking at and it, I started checking out

that —Major that use to work for *Inaudible* then got fired, CH2M Hill or left — quit or whatever. I better not use the word fired. Any attorneys in here?

Okay, anyway, he's not working anymore. You had, you had a copy going to his house, you had a copy going to his office, and you had two copies going to an office over here on Northgate Boulevard. And they're not even on Northgate Boulevard, and haven't been there for 2, 2 years. At the time when you were mailing out the copies, they were over on Northgate Way Oaks Drive.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Chuck, or Del, these were copies of what? Copies of what?

Mr. Del Callaway: Mailing list of that went…

Mr. Paul Brunner: Of EIS. Is that what your talking about.

Mr. Del Callaway: EIS, EIR and that…

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg.

Mr. Del Callaway: So that mailing list, that mailing list.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: I think it was copies of the notification that went to all those people. The actual EIS/EIR document did not go to all the people on that 2,000 something mailing list.

Mr. Del Callaway: Better go back there and change that page that says that these people received copies and then you listed all those people.

Mr. Rick Solander: I think if you read it, you'll find out that it doesn't say that. There are two

mailing list in the document. One for notification and one for the actual document.

Mr. Del Callaway: Okay.

Mr. Rick Solander: And you are correct, we did put that mail — that mailing list in every document.

Mr. Del Callaway: Yes.

Mr. Rick Solander: It was used to document the fact that we have an extensive outreach program, so that was the list that we used get out the notification for the meetings, and for the public hearings, and that kind of information…

Mr. Del Callaway: Yes.

Mr. Rick Solander: But that list was not used to mail out the actual documents.

Mr. Del Callaway: Okay, however…

Ms. Merianne Briggs: That was a comment from Rick Solander.

Mr. Del Callaway: However, that list — and in your document and we've been told, Margaret Gidding said we have over 2,200 people that we mail out notification that we're going to have a RAB meeting. I said I don't believe it, I want to see that list. And every since that day we've been having a hard time getting that list.

Then Margaret, Elaine and Jamie told me that the list have been updated. We just went through a big thing with Radian and updated it. I said well I would like to see a copy of that updated list. I

have yet to see it. But the reason you don't want to give it to me, is because you haven't probably updated it, because I can't trust you. I know that you don't always tell the truth. And it probably has errors in it.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. Del I don't appreciate you…

Mr. Del Callaway: I don't care.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: …saying I am a liar.

Mr. Del Callaway: I, I don't care because…

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: I updated that .

Mr. Del Callaway: …gone seven months here trying to get a list that I should have and that I should be able to get.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: I agree you've been going back and forth about that, and what Paul said tonight is we think that this meeting with *Inaudible* is in the process, I do believe that we updated that list.

Mr. Del Callaway: If that's the case, give us a copy of it.

Mr. Paul Brunner: With, with…

Mr. Del Callaway: Why do we have to go through all this?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Del, within…

Mr. Del Callaway: Why do we have to go through all this?

Mr. Paul Brunner: The realm of where we are, you know where Elaine was coming from and that. I don't think it really helps with the — as we went back beginning of the RAB Rules of Order. I do think within here, your point is that you're not satisfied with Joe's response.

Mr. Del Callaway: My point is…

Mr. Paul Brunner: …as to where we were and, and as I made mentioned before, with the other thing that came back for the other items and that, we need to continue to work that issue. I wish we could put it to bed. I do know that there are certain things that have come up in other meetings that made that an issue to go through. Your, your comments that you made before about all the other mailings and that, I didn't really, totally track. I'd like to know. So within that, why don't I do this, and like your comments on cost and all that, I would ask that why do we I sit down with you, you go over it with me so I really understand where you're coming from what, are the points and I will work with you to try to see where we can go.

Mr. Del Callaway: *Inaudible*

Mr. Paul Brunner: Is that is that a fair offer?

Mr. Del Callaway: Yes, that's a fair offer. Where I'm coming from is that there's 10 different emails in here, and each one of them is a page or page and a half, except for one is a quarter of a page, and that was one of his answers. Then at the end, at the very end he decided maybe he was right.

Mr. Paul Brunner: He as being who? He as being who?

Mr. Del Callaway: He being Joe Healy, he thought maybe he was right. I sent him an email telling him that he was totally wrong in his section of what was said in the meeting. And then he quoted the paragraphs and the —pages that it was on. And then he sent me back an email telling me he was sorry, that yes I was right. But that don't cut it.

And what the Major says, that don't cut either. And what the other person says that don't cut it either. You knew you had something happen and it took you 2 days to tell somebody about it, then it took you 7 days to get it to us. That don't make it. I mean you sit here everyday, every time we have a meeting. And come up with all these excuses and it just don't make it. It doesn't make us think you're so great, because you're cause you're not. And that was the point I was making tonight.

Technical Report Review

Mr. Del Callaway: Chuck didn't give me anything for the Technical Report Review unless you know something that you've discussed with him that I don't know.

Mr. Bill Gibson: I was at the meeting. I can give a special report.

Mr. Del Callaway: Okay.

Ms. Merianne Briggs: Comments by Bill Gibson.

Mr. Bill Gibson: This is Bill Gibson. We met on August 18th . Chuck acting, was acting chairman. The election of the chairperson on the agenda was deferred because of the limited number of people there. And we didn't want to nominate somebody who was not.

We discussed the advisory worksheet on the VOC Feasibility Study and also the draft of the

*Inaudible***.** We looked at that and reviewed the comments that we had submitted, and I had some draft comments from the agency meeting. Phil Mook was there, so I felt he could be there and monitor the comments that might come up with in reviewing these. We had no objection to it, but we understood they were RAB comments *Inaudible* as it turned out it came from Paul tonight. So we will, I will try to wrap that up since there are no further comments anticipated from the Technical Review Committee at this point.

When we get into the Final VOC draft and its public review, we may have more comments at that point. We looked briefly at the future technical documentation for review, but came up with nothing as far a priorities. That's all we have for now.

TAPP

Mr. Del Callaway: Thank you. And the TAPP, Jeannie Lewis was appointed to do that committee and she's not here tonight. So we are going to postpone that until our next RAB meeting. And it's a recap action items from this meeting.

Recap Action Items from This Meeting

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes, do we have the action items *Inaudible***.**

Ms. Traci Bjers: I have one *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Paul Brunner: Could you speak up or…

Ms. Traci Bjers: Yes, one action item that I have down.

Mr. Paul Brunner: And you're who, who are you?

Ms. Traci Bjers: Traci Bjers. Is that Mr. Paul Brunner will be sitting down with Del Callaway and discuss the list. And Roxanne, do you have anymore?

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: I would like to suggest an action item if I may, and that is that we provide the change of the RAB Rules of Order that you voted on tonight, a clean copy so that to all RAB members, on what you on voted to change tonight.

Unknown Female: Right.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: I also heard that we should research libraries and for — is that for repository?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Information repository at the Rio Linda Library.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Rio Linda — Okay. Perhaps we should do to expand that is to kind of check on their status.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Correct.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Now I would like to ask also, if you would like this as an action item or just for the staff to get together to relook at and ensure that the — any kind of spills — the notification process. Would you like that as an action item or a staff work?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Roxanne, I didn't quite understand the action.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: As far as the notification in case of an accident or a spill, there seems to be a breakdown in how that is working at this time. And that PAO and EM are going to get together and possibly the co-chair. I just wanted to know did you wanted that as an action item or would

you like that as staff work to get back with?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well, I think we should bring it. There is a process and we need to follow the processes so — the idea I mean Major Gonzales talked to that issue. I think that we do need to review the process as we go through to make sure we are satisfying our commitments. We need to do that and that— anyway we need to do that. So I think that we should do that, come back and then explain the process to you all, so you understand where…

Ms. Imogene Zander: That's not an answer.

Mr. Paul Brunner: …at the next meeting and so that you are in sync with what we think we're doing.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Okay. Additionally check the items on the web page and assure that they're updated and that includes the RAB list, the application, RAB meetings, that only the —we only have the RAB meetings minutes up to April of 1998.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. That — those are the only approved final minutes we have. So that is current.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: So then…

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: And the action on the RAB application sheet, I thought that was still in your committee, Sheila, to get the final approval of what we were going to put up there.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well I met with — Sheila Guerra speaking. I met with Merianne and Linda Geissinger, and we did go over some — some of the minutes and we made some changes. But those minutes haven't been sent out. the changes haven't be sent out for us to approve.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Sheila I think the question isn't. This is Mr. Paul Brunner. The question that's being raised, isn't on the minutes. It was on — Del had made reference to one of the pages — in — that was being found. Instead of trying to debate through here and clarify that, I think what we need to do, Del made the comment that the pages are there, we need to go back and go through those pages and touch bases with — where we are. Like if we think there's an inconsistency or that one page, we're waiting for something — in — with that touch bases with the person to make sure we're not. And I don't think we're going to get that totally answer here I don't believe.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: No, I don't, Elaine Anderegg. *Inaudible* I thought maybe it was in committee. So I'll go back and take a look at where I thought. If it is, it's something we should bring up on the 16th.

Mr. Del Callaway: There was a action item I wanted to bring up because of the RABs Bylaws. On the very last page, it says alternates will first request — with an alternate application. We don't have an alternate application. We only have a RAB application. So we need to identify and come up with that *Inaudible* application of some sort to satisfy that last page.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I have an additional action item. It didn't come in through the course of the meeting, but I'm going to offer it up for, the around the table. During the course of the meeting tonight, it became a point where Del called for the vote. And I know at the last meeting when we went through that, we went through various issues and I was wrong at a point when I went on and went through the process on it. And Del's comment after the meeting was go back and read the rules, RAB rules. So I went back and read the RAB Rules of Order as to where and how we were to proceed and made that comment through the process.

But for us to effectively go through. I would like to see us have an action, that each committee member, we just modified the rules really go back and read those rules, so that we can — so that

we all agree as to how we are to conduct business. Within like new topics and go through the agenda. Because we prepared them, we should follow them. And we don't necessary follow them. So I would like to make an action item, if you all agree that we go back and read them and we follow them.

Mr. Del Callaway: We just went through that again tonight. When I called for the question, Mr. Gibson here keep on talking and then wanted to get his answers, his questions answered. And back when we did this, I argued with him not to put this in here this way and he said, "yes we got to have it." Now we got it, he didn't even want to follow it.

At anytime any member may call for a vote on a motion on the floor, we had the motion on the floor and it should have been voted on. Once the question has been called for, the chair must call — the chair must ask the members for approval. If the majority fails to approve the call for the question, then the discussion can't continue. So you got to — you're suppose to take a vote on it.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Yes right I — right.

Mr. Del Callaway: *Inaudible* again tonight. So in other words we're not following our own, our own…

Mr. Paul Brunner: Right I agree. So I would like to make that as an action that we go back and we read them and we understand them. Is that okay with everyone?

Mr. Del Callaway: Yes.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay.

Mr. Del Callaway: Then because of the hour, I think maybe the I'd like to make motion that

the chairs, not the chairs, but the co-chairs get together and set up the agenda for the next meeting.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Excuse me, but I, Roxanne Yonn, one more action item that we haven't listed yet. And that was for the community co-chair to send a letter to send a letter to Brad Gacke, that he may be excused for lack of attendance for the RAB, the RAB.

Mr. Del Callaway: Yes, you don't need a action on the order on that, because that was at the last meeting. It was already voted and passed and it should have gone out. I'll just remind him.

Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Okay.

Mr. Paul Brunner: That's, that's Sheila's, Chuck's job, or I guess it's Chuck's.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: We're done. we're done taping *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Del Callaway: Chuck's been doing it, but it really should be Sheila's job.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg, I'm just — I'm done here. but I need to understand that I'm taking Ben Norman and Brad Gacke off the list?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: No, just Ben at this time, but we're sending a letter out to Brad.

Mr. Del Callaway: Yes we're sending a letter — a letter to him telling

Ms. Sheila Guerra: We want Chuck to send a letter out.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: I thought I heard he's off. Okay, only one so far.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Right.

Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Right.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Del, we have a, this is a proposed agenda.

Mr. Del Callaway: What?

Ms. Sheila Guerra: We're not going to go over this proposed agenda?

Mr. Del Callaway: Well it's nine o'clock.

Ms. Sheila Guerra: Can we save this for the chair luncheon or something maybe?

Mr. Paul Brunner: Well typically what we end up doing. I think we can, Sheila. What we typically do is, do it at the co-chair lunch or the sub committee chair when we're at those lunches go through that. Was typically at these meetings we ask for new agenda topics. And its kind of quiet, as to what the new agenda topics are. So what I ask the folks to do is come up with a draft, some type of a agenda, a straw man — so people can kind of see what the topics might be, unnecessary set it, but to kind of try to stimulate well this is what it could look like. Are there other topics that people want to add. And that was the intent on doing that.

Ms. Imogene Zander: Other topics *Inaudible*

Public Comments

Mr. Del Callaway: With that, *Inaudible* public comments?

Unknown Male: I just have a quick question *Inaudible***,** from EPA. You know, you and Del may get together to follow up on this issue. That about *Inaudible* are you guys interested in having *Inaudible* you guys have a discussion *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Paul Brunner: I think on, when we talk about Joe's issues, he should be involved. I think that will be good that he's involved on the discussion there. Part of the baseline, I know Del was asking or making some comments about facts...I just need to really, really get it clear in my mind.

Mr. Del Callaway: I gave you the package.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I know. I'll look through it. But it'll help me if I sit down and talk to you as we work through for a few minutes. just so — there's things I missed, as I go through. So — but, yes, when we talk about where Joe is, if Joe's off on those — it is fair I think Del, that we just *Inaudible***.**

Mr. Del Callaway: It all started with a guy by the name of Cooper. He came to a meeting, one meeting and I haven't seen him since. But he made a comment about, oh you guys shouldn't be doing a mailing list. That's Privacy Act. And I maintained that it's none of his business.

And Joe in here, commented it's a long standing rule for your organization. And I think if that's for your organization, that's great you guys act — do whatever you want to do, but the law doesn't say that we can't have it, it says we can have it. So what you guys do down there in San Francisco that's fine with me, but what we're doing business up here. If you're not on our side I expect you to be quiet. I don't think you should be butting in and telling them not to help us, because you're suppose to be here helping us. Take that back to your boss down there.

Unknown Male: I think there's a communication issue here and Joe should be a part of it when you guys have your discussion.

Mr. Del Callaway: Yes.

Mr. Paul Brunner: I agree. I agree. So were any other public comments.

Ms. Imogene Zander: No, but if you don't let the girls *Inaudible* we're going to have a couple of comments.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Okay. We ready to adjourn? Do we have any concurrence on adjourn.

Ms. Imogene Zander: I second.

Mr. Del Callaway: We need a motion.

Mr. Paul Brunner: Motion to adjourn, Imogene second. All in favor.

All: Aye.