

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Chuck Yarbrough, Community Co-Chair.
2
3 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg, DOD Co-Chair alternate tonight.
4
5 Mr. Mannard Gaines: Mannard Gaines, RAB Community Member.
6
7 Mr. Alex McDonald: Alex McDonald, Regional Water Quality Control Board.
8
9 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Bill Sheppard, RAB Community Member.
10
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, everybody make sure you sign the attendance sheet before
12 you leave tonight. Now I would like to introduce a special guest we have with us tonight. Sharon
13 Hoekstra, of Congressman Ose's office. Please introduce yourself.
14
15 Ms. Sharon Hoekstra: Tovey Giezentanner, our District Director, asked me to come and
16 attend this evening just to express the Congressman's commitment to helping you through this
17 process as much as we can. So I'm here just to observe and lend our support and help however
18 we can. Thanks.

19
20 **Purpose of the RAB and Ground Rules**
21

22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Thank you very much. Now, we all know our ground rules: try to
23 state your name, especially those in the audience. We follow the common courtesy rule here.
24 Also, stick with the agenda items until we come to the public comment period. The next thing on
25 our agenda here is Air Force Statement.
26
27
28

1 **Air Force Statement**

2
3 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. I'd like to read the statement on behalf of the Air
4 Force. "McClellan Air Force Base is here tonight because our past industrial operations and
5 disposal actions created pollution. We regret and apologize for those actions. Although no one
6 here in this room tonight is directly responsible for the contamination caused in the past, we are
7 responsible for fixing it. We know we have a problem, and we are doing our best to solve it. We
8 want your opinions and your advice. That is why we are here."

9
10 **Approval of the January 20, 1999, Minutes**

11
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Very good. Now for approval of the January 20 meeting minutes.
13 Does someone want to move that we accept the January 20 meeting minutes.

14
15 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. Chuck, we felt we had a couple of misquotes
16 presented in those minutes, and I have a set of changes I'd like to propose and pass out to
17 everyone.

18
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

20
21 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: It looks like the transcripts incorrectly captured the person
22 speaking. Roxanne is going to pass out those pages.

23
24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: January the 20th?

25
26 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Yes, from the January meeting. What we've done is just "X'd"
27 through the transcript as it is currently in its draft state, and then written on top who we believe
28

1 was really making that statement. We went back and listened to the tape to confirm that.

2
3 Ms. Merianne Briggs: I'm Merianne Briggs. The corrections that we saw on the original
4 minutes on page 42 and also on page 43, the word "ordnance" was misspelled. So we would like
5 to go ahead and correct that.

6
7 And then on page 59, line 1, in the original minutes, it says that there was an inaudible word
8 there and that word was "types," after listening to the tapes again we were able to get that
9 correction.

10
11 Also on page 59, line 14, we had a statement attributed to Mr. Paul Brunner and actually it was
12 Mr. Frank Miller who made the statement. So we would like to change that.

13
14 The next one would be on page 77, line 26. We had a statement attributed to Mr. Erwin Hayer,
15 and we listened to the tapes. Actually it was Mr. Gary Collier who said that. That correction also
16 follows through on page 79, on lines 5, 17, and 27; the changes should reflect that Mr. Gary
17 Collier made those statements. And that was it.

18
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Anyone have a problem with the proposed changes? No. Okay we
20 all move that we accept the minutes as amended. Do I have a second?

21
22 Mr. Del Callaway: I second it.

23
24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: All in favor raise your right hand. So we go on to current news.
25 Elaine.

1 **Current News**

2
3 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. Last meeting we did mention that there was
4 going to be a radio interview on Mix96 and 100.5, which did occur on February 7 at 7 a.m. If
5 anyone is interested in hearing that, we have copies of the tape in the office and can give you one.
6 Paul was talking about our program on the radio station.

7
8 We did have one news release since the last RAB meeting in January about a spill in our plating
9 shop. A drum that held waste acid developed a small leak. When the employees came in the
10 morning, they found the leak. The leak was contained, cleaned up, and the drum has since been
11 removed from operations, as well as two other drums that were storing waste no longer needed
12 for the operations. So all three of these drums have been removed from the facility at this point.

13
14 The *Environmental Assessment* for the Creek Restoration work on the west side of the Base is
15 now out for public comment. This is the 30-day comment period for that *Environmental*
16 *Assessment*; and it's on our Web page. As well, a copy of the *Restoration Plan* is also on the
17 Web page to help you understand the assessment.

18
19 We did have our SVE training last week, and I think that went vey well, with very high
20 attendance by the RAB members. I'd like to thank you. It's always encouraging when you come
21 for our training. If anyone is interested, there are packets of handouts for you.

22
23 We have some activities coming up next month, before the next RAB meeting, so I wanted to
24 make the announcement tonight. April 17 is the annual Creek Week Cleanup. Teams will be
25 formed on the Base. If anyone wants to participate in that effort, Merianne will have more details.
26 Typically we do invite the community to team up with us on the Base. It's followed by activities
27 at the Discovery Museum, because this is put on by the Urban Creek Council. All the

1 communities around the local creek areas have clean ups by various groups, Scouts, and
2 volunteers; and they all meet at the museum afterwards. It's a lot of fun to go to the museum and
3 see what people have collected.

4
5 April 19 is our Arbor Day Celebration, and we'll be doing some tree planting on the Base. We
6 are hoping to have some Boy Scout and Girl Scout troops participate in that planting.

7
8 And lastly, in conjunction with the Earth Day activities, on Thursday evening, April 22 (the day
9 after our April 21 RAB meeting), we are giving a tour of the vernal pools and the creeks. You are
10 invited to come out and see the area we've been talking about. We are going to walk the creek
11 area that we are planning to restore, talk about those activities, as well as point out some of the
12 vernal pools in the area.

13 14 **Review of Action Items**

15
16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, review of action items.

17
18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Chuck, I have a comment to make.

19
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Sure.

21
22 Ms. Sheila Guerra: This isn't the same agenda we had in the beginning. This has been sorted
23 out and rearranged. I think we should have known about this ahead of time.

24
25 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Merianne, you care to comment for Sheila there?

26
27 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Last time, if you remember, we talked about the upcoming radio
28

1 spots. We wanted to get the word out that that actually did happen. So we went ahead and put
2 that line in for the current news to catch up on that.

3
4 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Any other comments Sheila?

5
6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I would just like to know ahead of time if we are going to have this many
7 changes, because I was working off the other agenda.

8
9 Ms. Merianne Briggs: That is just the one change we did on this one—inserting the
10 current news. I'll be more than happy to put that on the next agenda for our Chairpersons
11 Committee if you would like.

12
13 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay. There are other things added on here, but I'm not going to waste
14 anymore time on it. We'll talk about it later.

15
16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We can talk about it at the Chair Luncheon.

17
18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

19
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. Let's go through the action items.

21
22 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: The first action item is, "Hold a special meeting of the Community
23 Relations Committee to review RAB application of Gary Collier." That action item belongs to
24 Sheila.

25
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, we did meet on February 25. A motion was made to not accept Mr.
27 Collier. That's all I have to report.

1 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: So we'll close that action item?

2

3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Right.

4

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That action item is going to be closed.

6

7 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: The next one is to "Update the RAB on the transition plans of EM
8 to AFBCA at the April RAB meeting." Paul will do that at the April RAB meeting.

9

10 The next one is to invite the representatives from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to participate in
11 the RAB training that we were planning to hold in March on the Biological Opinion. That
12 training is now being moved a couple of months because the Biological Opinion has not been
13 finalized and will not be until we finish with the EA and Creek *Restoration Plans*. We will still
14 plan to invite them when we have that training session.

15

16 Okay, the remainder on the first page are closed. We move to Page 2 of 2. The first one was to
17 "Clarify letters from the Air Force Attorney Advisor dated July 30 and September 27, 1998,
18 regarding RAB as quasi government entity." We did receive a reply in a letter to Sheila. Sheila, is
19 that action closed for you now?

20

21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: You're stealing everything on my agenda tonight. Yes, I did receive the
22 letter. If anyone wants copies of the letter, Merianne can give you a copy. She has one extra copy
23 or you can mail one out to them. The letter from Colonel Gibson says, "The RAB is not an arm
24 of the Air Force. It is an advisory body established by DOD." So we are not an arm of the Air
25 Force. And, thank you, Mr. Gibson.

26

27 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: So we'll close that one.

28

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

2

3 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: The next one is to "Update the RAB fact sheet on the Web site."
4 Merianne has been working on this. Merianne, do you have any comments?

5

6 Ms. Merianne Briggs: We are in the process of rewriting that fact sheet, and it will be
7 presented to the Community Relations Committee for their review before it does goes on the
8 Web.

9

10 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Okay, we'll leave that one open. The next one is, "Discuss need for
11 an alternate RAB membership application as mentioned in the Bylaws." Sheila?

12

13 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Where are you? I lost you.

14

15 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: I'm on the third open action item on Page 2 of 2.

16

17 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Alternate RAB. We have not had a CR meeting yet. We are not scheduled
18 until March 17, and we'll have that action item.

19

20 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Okay, we'll leave that one open. The next one down is, "Assist
21 Imogene Zander and the Piercys in obtaining base passes." We have made that offer. No one has
22 come forward to schedule an appointment with Merianne. Merianne also has an announcement
23 with regards to getting on and off base.

24

25 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Right. We did have Sheila Guerra and Bill Gibson come down for
26 their new passes, and we were able to get those for them. What I want to comment on is that if
27 you plan on coming on base you can call ahead and I'll get you on for that day. But I wanted to

28

1 point out to you that the main gate will be moving over to Peace Keeper Gate. It will no longer
2 be at Palm Gate. So in the event that I do call you onto the base, you will need to go in through
3 Peace Keeper so that you can go to the Visitor Center and sign in over there if you choose not to
4 get a base pass. The scheduled date for the gate switch is March 15. That is a tentative date they
5 are shooting for.

6
7 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: The last action item is, "Set up a meeting with Rebecca Garrison
8 on the Rideshare Program in the near future." That one was Sheila's.

9
10 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I want to keep that item open. If I don't get some feedback from some
11 other agencies, then I think we are going to close it.

12
13 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Okay.

14
15 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We'll have another CR meeting, and then we'll decide on it.

16
17 **COMMITTEE REPORTS**

18
19 **Nominating Committee**

20
21 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Next we go to the Committee Reports. The first one we have down
22 is the Nominating Committee. Chuck, I'm going to let you handle it from here.

23
24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, the Chair of our Nominating Committee is Sheila Guerra, so
25 I would like to turn at this time to Sheila Guerra.

26
27 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We have one nominee, and I guess we are going to select a new RAB Co-
28

1 Chair by the April RAB meeting.

2

3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: April 25. What date is it?

4

5 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: April 21 is the RAB meeting.

6

7 Ms. Sheila Guerra: At that time I'll make the announcement. Is that how this works?

8

9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We will have the vote at that time.

10

11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We'll take a vote, okay. So anyone who has any nominations should let me
12 know before then. That's about it on that.

13

14 **Community Relations**

15

16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. Then Community Relations with Sheila Guerra again.

17

18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Like I said, we have not had our CR Meeting yet. We will have it March
19 17. Elaine has already updated us on all the activities and everything else that has happened. I
20 would like to comment on the draft final of the *Community Relations Plan* that is out. If anyone
21 has any comments on it or input, make sure you get that to Merianne by April 19; is that it?

22

23 Ms. Merianne Briggs: It would be March 19.

24

25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: March; and the final will be out April 19.

26

27 Ms. Merianne Briggs: April 19 correct.

28

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I would also like to comment that the people who gave comments on that
2 report, U.S. EPA and Cal/EPA, all those agencies gave input this time. A lot of input. And I was
3 really pleased with the way that was done this time. I was happy that they took the time to really
4 go through it.

5
6 Also I had a letter from Major Gonzales from our last RAB meeting. I asked him a lot of
7 questions after the meeting about the sewer spill. He did reply to me, but I can't find the letter.
8 Roxanne, I don't know if you can leave a message for him to just mail that out to me again. And
9 I'll report back on that reply from him at the next RAB meeting.

10
11 And that's it. Oh, one other thing. We did have a workshop with the Air District; Erwin Hayer
12 attended that workshop on Monday. So, Chuck, I don't know where you want to put this on the
13 agenda; do you want to let Erwin follow up right now on that report.

14
15 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's what we discussed earlier...

16
17 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay.

18
19 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: ...that's what would happen.

20
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay.

22
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So Erwin?

24
25 Mr. Erwin Hayer: I attended the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District
26 Workshop. They have a new rule coming out on crediting of the credits or transferring the credits
27 and consolidating many different rules into one. It looks like there is going to be some tighter
28

1 controls over it and more reports and more paperwork. I gave copies of the stuff to Sheila this
2 evening. That's the biggest thing on it. Most of it was over my head.

3 4 **Base Reuse/Relative Risk Ranking**

5
6 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Thank you for the report. Base Reuse/Relative Risk Ranking with
7 Del Callaway.

8
9 Mr. Del Callaway: I met with Mr. Rick Solander and Elaine Anderegg on Friday. And we
10 discussed several issues and one was the removal of contamination along Dudley Boulevard and
11 out along the taxi way. As a result of that meeting, Jerry Vincent called me and we went out and
12 looked at the site.

13
14 The site along the taxi way appears to have increased by a couple of hundred feet. And they have
15 covered it with a tarp and put sandbags to hold the tarp down so that the grass does not grow and
16 to get it prepared for removal. At the location alongside Dudley Boulevard, they should have
17 started today taking samples. I didn't have an opportunity to get out there and look. Hopefully I'll
18 get out tomorrow morning. And I'll get a hold of Jerry and see what he can tell me on it.

19
20 On the reuse portion of it, I asked Mr. Solander if he would come and give us a little briefing and
21 he agreed to do so. So, I'll invite him to the podium.

22 23 **Reuse Efforts Status**

24 Mr. Rick Solander: Once a month I brief the BRAC Cleanup Team on the efforts that
25 Environmental Management is doing to support the community or the County in its reuse. So
26 what I would like to do for you tonight is share with you that type of information. In fact tonight
27 is a first — you are going to be getting information before the BRAC Cleanup Team does. We
28

1 did not have a BRAC Cleanup Team meeting last month, so this is very timely and this is hot off
2 the press type information. The other thing I want to point out before we get into this is neither
3 McClellan Air Force Base nor Environmental Management is the proponent for any of these
4 reuse efforts. We just provide support in the way of preparing the environmental documentation
5 so that they can make a finding of suitability to lease or to transfer. So that's the comment I want
6 to make here.

7
8 So let's start out with the list. The handout that you're getting tonight is what I actually hand out
9 to the BRAC Cleanup Team, and it's divided into two parts. The top section of the handout is
10 what is represented in the slide up front. I'm just going to talk about those. Those are the efforts
11 that we are actually working. The items in the bottom tier are just items that the LRA is
12 considering. Those companies have contacted the LRA, the County of Sacramento Local
13 Redevelopment Authority, and have expressed some interest in coming to the base for reuse. So,
14 I'm not going to go into any discussion of those at this point. If you have questions on any of
15 those items in the second tier, it would be best to direct those towards the Local Redevelopment
16 Authority. If you need to know who to contact, I can get you that information or Del can get you
17 that information.

18
19 So with that, I'd like to briefly cover the items that we are actually working on. The first one we
20 call the North Area Transfer Station. East of the base there is a little enclave of property that the
21 County of Sacramento operates and has been operating since the mid-60s. We've been leasing
22 that property to the County of Sacramento. We are actually going to lease it to them now for a
23 long-term interest. So we are preparing the environmental documentation to affect that lease, and
24 we expect to complete that this spring.

25
26 In the third column, I want to try to just highlight for you some of the issues that we are working.
27 These aren't necessarily show stoppers; they are just items that have to be completed before we
28

1 actually can transfer possession to the County. So for the North Area Transfer Station, there's a
2 small portion of sensitive habitat, vernal pools, and wetlands, right near the entrance of that
3 property on which we have to finish the consultation with Fish and Wildlife before we can
4 transfer that portion of the property.

5
6 Also, there is an area where we removed the aboveground storage tanks and the remaining soil
7 has not been cleared by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Alex McDonald's group is
8 reviewing the documentation for that now. Indications are that the area is clean, but until we get
9 that final approval for them, we can not transfer possession; or if we transfer possession of that
10 property to the County, they can not do any development on that until the site is cleared. I just
11 wanted to highlight that for you.

12
13 The second item on the list, we call vacated facilities. I think we mentioned this before but to
14 transfer property to the County of Sacramento they don't necessarily have to have a reuse
15 interest. As we vacate facilities on the base, it's our intention to go ahead and push those over to
16 the County of Sacramento. It reduces our maintenance cost and starts the County on the road to
17 maintaining those facilities. That second item there fits into that category. We have a series of
18 buildings that use to be occupied by 940th Air Command Air Fleet. They transferred out of here,
19 and those buildings are vacated. We are trying to push those off on the Local Redevelopment
20 Authority. There's one building out there that previously stored some radiation. It's not there
21 now, but before we can transfer that, we have to clear it. So that's the issue that needs to be
22 cleared before we transfer.

23
24 The third item — there's a building that's being occupied by the Civil Engineering Squadron
25 now, but the Boeing North American Services Corporations is actually operating out there now
26 on the base as a contractor to the County of Sacramento to do the base operating infrastructure
27 services for the base. They needed a place to set up shop for their administration support and
28

1 Building 271 is the building they have chosen to use for that. The problem with that building
2 right now is that it is an historic building and, until we have finished the programmatic
3 agreement with the State Historic Preservation Office, we can't transfer that. And it's expected
4 that that document will be completed in the May timeframe. That fits in line with the spring,
5 when we plan on finishing the environmental documentation for that. So everything should line
6 up real well for that.

7
8 The fourth one there is the River Dock. We do have a piece of property out there along the
9 Sacramento River, near Chevy's and Crawdads I think. It use to be a former loading dock for
10 boats coming in and loading things for McClellan. We want to pass that over to the LRA. And
11 again that piece of property is historic in nature for some areas, so it's the same scenario as the
12 one above. And it also has some sensitive habitat. You'll have heard about elderberry bushes.
13 There is one elderberry bush underneath the dock that we are having preserved and protected. We
14 expect to finish that document in the spring.

15
16 The fifth one on the list fits in the same category as the 1,000 series. There is a handful of series
17 out there in the southwestern portion of the base that are vacant. A couple of those facilities
18 stored radiation in the past. Again, those have to be cleared and approved before we can transfer
19 possession to the County of Sacramento.

20
21 The last bullet is a bullet that's actually in the second tier of your handout, but I stuck it up here
22 because I want to give you heads up on what's happening for the rest of FY99. I mentioned
23 before that as we vacate facilities, our intention is to pass those over to the LRA. We anticipate
24 that some 250 facilities will be vacated by the end of FY99. So it is our goal to transfer those
25 facilities over to the Local Redevelopment Authority, LRA. I just stuck that in there for the
26 spring and summer. We are in the process of getting contractual support at this point to support
27 that effort. We can't handle that with in-house resources, so that's what's coming in the near
28

1 future. I can't be real specific on that now, because we haven't specifically picked the priority.
2 We plan on doing them in chunks, maybe 90 to 100 facilities a quarter, but our goal is to finish
3 the 200 and some by the end of the fiscal year or at least by the calendar year. Any questions?
4

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes. I do have one question concerning the overall picture here. Do
6 you know of any items of great interest that have come up recently?
7

8 Mr. Rick Solander: No. Well one thing that is not on the chart that you all have been really
9 concerned about the last couple of meetings. You noticed that the Euro-United Plastics Company
10 is not on there. I think most of you have probably read in the paper over the last couple of months
11 that they decided not to come to McClellan Air Force Base. I won't go into the reason why. It is
12 not my place to speak. If you need to know more about that you can talk to the County. But they
13 are not coming at this point. And they were going to occupy space in Buildings 783, 786, and 788
14 initially. And the goal was for them to basically be a major player in the whole development of
15 the base. That is not going to happen anymore.
16

17 To specifically answer your question, Chuck, the County of Sacramento is in the process of
18 selecting what they call their redevelopment partner, development partner, equity partner; that
19 will define the ultimate reuse of the base. They will market and just kind of figure out what the
20 best use of the base is going to be. I think the LRA right now is kind of holding back until they
21 get those folks on board. I don't know of any — at least the Environmental Management Office
22 is not aware of any — burning new reuse efforts other than what I've got on that chart.
23

24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I was under the impression that they had already selected — but I
25 guess not.
26

27 Mr. Rick Solander: Oh no, no the selection is not suppose to go before the Board until April.
28

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: April?

2

3 Mr. Rick Solander: Right.

4

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Really fast track?

6

7 Mr. Rick Solander: Yes. They are trying to get them on board as quickly as possible. We are
8 running out of time. As you know we are getting closer and closer.

9

10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That doesn't sound like a fast track to me. I was just being
11 facetious.

12

13 Mr. Rick Solander: Oh, to them it's a fast track, because they couldn't do anything until after
14 the plastic company was solidified.

15

16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh well.

17

18 Mr. Rick Solander: From the original plan, you're right. It's slow go.

19

20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: It looks like it's slow to me. The other thing we heard, well one of
21 our RAB people here heard a —maybe you will call it a rumor, regarding the west area where the
22 creeks are, Beaver Pond and so forth. Talking about possibly transferring that 200 acres or so
23 over to the City. You know anything about that?

24

25 Mr. Rick Solander: No. I have not heard. I do know if you read the *Environmental Assessment*
26 that Ms. Anderegg alluded to, there's some specific language in there that talks about a
27 conservation easement that I think would preclude that.

28

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Have you heard anything from Jack Anderson, who is the main
2 driver behind the Emergency Vehicle Obstacle Course?

3
4 Mr. Rick Solander: I will tell you something that I heard, that I was hoping was going to be
5 here — a County person was going to be here for this meeting; but indications are that they are
6 going to provide a letter to the County to withdraw that request for that west area. So maybe you
7 can follow up with Rob Leonard on that.

8
9 They were hoping to have that letter by this meeting so that we could hand it out. In fact we
10 mentioned that to Del at the meeting that we had, and it's no secret, but I was hoping to have
11 something to give to you from them for this meeting. I guess they didn't get the letter yet. But
12 that's what Mr. Leonard's group mentioned to us last week.

13
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Are they really considering that land just east of the fire training
15 area?

16
17 Mr. Rick Solander: I'm not sure. There are other areas that they are considering, potentially on
18 McClellan. I'm not sure where those areas are.

19
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. Well that would be really good news if that came through.

21
22 Mr. Del Callaway: Thank you Rick. I didn't mention that, because I had not gotten hold of
23 Mr. Leonard to confirm it. One comment on what Rick had to say about the north area. When I
24 was out there, I did noticed that they had installed what looked like about 15 new signs indicating
25 where the vernal pools are. So they're doing a pretty decent job covering that area with new
26 signs.

1 During our meeting with Ms. Anderegg, she agreed to give us a briefing also. So she has two
2 areas here to cover for us.

3 4 **Radiological Sites Status**

5 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. I think Del covered the issue on the radiation
6 sites, as far as what I had to say. I do have an item when we get to the advisory worksheets I'll
7 bring up on that as well.

8 9 **Overview of IRP Budget**

10
11 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: What I did want to go over briefly here is some information on our
12 budget. We will follow up at the next Reuse/Relative Risk Ranking Meeting, which is April 8,
13 with some more details. We are in the process of developing our budget for the '00 and '01 years.
14 We have a technical group coming out the week of March 15 through the 19 that represents both
15 the Air Force, from our Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence in Texas, and some
16 contractors that have technical expertise in things like risk assessment and contaminant
17 migration. We will go through our budget for our technical projects at that time and get them
18 validated. So, at that April 8 meeting, I will come in with a list of the projects and give you a
19 brief description but I'm not planning to spend a long, long time going through them all unless
20 you're interested. I will go through our projects, as well as how we think the funding for them
21 will shape up. What I have here is a couple of slides to let you know what's going on with the
22 funding.

23
24 At our quarterly meeting in January, it was brought out that across the Command (meaning
25 AFBCA – all the closure bases in the Air Force are funded through this organization) there is a
26 short fall in '99 of dollars. And so we did a prioritization of our projects in '99 and '00. Most of
27 our '00 program is actually already validated at this point because we addressed it last year in the
28 peer review. But we had a few projects to revisit and one project that we were changing scope on

1 that we were revisiting.

2
3 Those prioritizations fell into the following three categories. The “1s”, and there was various a, b,
4 c’s that went with it, were must pays; they had regulatory drivers. Twos were facility issues, and
5 “3s” were others. Our program by far fell into the “1” category. We have a lot in place in terms of
6 our regulatory requirements and getting our cleanup happening. A few of our projects in what we
7 call the 600 fell into this “2” category. 600 is the compliance area; that’s some of the projects
8 regarding facility transfer and cleanup of, for instance, the Plating Shop. There’s a budget in ‘00
9 to address cleanup of the Plating Shop.

10
11 It was further broken up into high, mediums, and lows. All the “2” highs are being funded at this
12 point. Anything that fell below that “2” high was not going to get funded this year and would be
13 moved. What they also asked us to do besides doing this prioritization was to look at the money
14 that we actually needed this year. For instance if we were putting on contract to write a sampling
15 plan, then go out and do the sampling, and the sampling would not actually happen until next
16 year after that fiscal year, September 30 date, they want to provide us with the funds to get the
17 report written, but then they would provide us with the funds next year to do the sampling. And
18 that is what we call the deferred fund. So we took a look at our program to identify what we
19 could move out.

20
21 This is a change, too, I will say from the risk-based prioritization we’ve been doing. That’s one
22 of the reasons you haven’t seen so much focus with that Relative Risk Ranking Committee in the
23 last year. Because we are getting away from — “First Worst” is what we use to call it — we’ve
24 addressed and gotten our hands around the really bad contaminated spots, and now with BRAC,
25 the reuse issue has become more of an issue for prioritization.

26
27 So our budget of ’99, as we went into our quarterly, looked like \$30 million. What we expect at
28

1 this point is \$18.9 million, with a deferral of \$9.3 million to '00. We are, however, contracting
2 for that entire amount. As I just said, we would put on contract with option buys, write this
3 report, and then go do the sampling. We won't fund the sampling now, but it is into the contract
4 mechanism. That's important because it stages us later this year if other bases are not using their
5 money or if more money does come out of Congress; BCA is still pushing hard to try to get all of
6 their requirements funded. If the money shows up, we'll very quickly be able to get it on to
7 contract. We won't have to spend a month or two trying to develop a statement of work and do
8 that. So we've put it all on contract.

9
10 For our '00 program, we went in with a \$41 million budget. We have this \$9 million that has
11 been deferred, which puts us up at about \$50 million. They're expecting at this point to fund us
12 only at a \$18 million mark. So there's about \$32 million being deferred in '01. They think that in
13 '01 additional funds are going to become available; but at this point, if this is what materializes, I
14 don't believe in '01 we would be fully funded still, because we have again about a \$40 million or
15 \$50 million budget and you put this 32 in there, you're up to 70. I don't believe we would ever
16 see that kind of funding in a single year.

17
18 So what does this mean? In '99 most of that deferred amount was — we will still be able to keep
19 all of our projects on line. As I said, we fell into the "1" category; we'll just wait for the funding
20 to show up in '99 or in '00 to fully fund those contractual actions. But in '00 especially, there are
21 going to be some impacts if all of this materializes. One impact right now is that our plans have
22 been to get all those facilities cleaned and ready for transfer so they can be turned over to the
23 LRA and BCA would no longer be the caretaker of those buildings. If this goes through — and
24 those projects that I said were "2s" were mostly in that facility area and aren't funded — it means
25 that BCA will end up with more caretaker responsibility when the base closes than they had
26 originally planned. They will essentially have the keys to more buildings than they thought they
27 would and the LRA will have fewer. That's a decision for BCA to make with the LRA as reuse
28

1 becomes more defined and we make plans for what we're going to do with those buildings.

2
3 In our area, however, there is an impact in that what we call non-VOC work — that's the soil
4 cleanup predominately in the shallow soils for the next couple years and eventually into some of
5 the landfill areas. The VOC stands for volatile organic compound. It is not the solvents, it is the
6 metals contamination, the PCB types contamination, dioxins in the case at some of our sites, and
7 even surface radiation soil.

8
9 We have a very large project in '00 that's a removal action for several sites, shallow soils to be
10 cleaned up. That will not happen in '00, if this continues on.

11
12 So, we will continue to monitor that. We are pushing to try to get those funds. If they can give us
13 any portion of that project, we will still be able to proceed with some. It's not all or nothing. We
14 would just do fewer sites than we had originally planned. So we'll be watching that. As I said, at
15 that meeting on the 8th, I'll go through this with more information about what these projects
16 involve. Are there any questions?

17
18 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I just have a comment. It's interesting that Congressman Ose's aide
19 is here tonight. And the fact is that if he wants to help us get the base reused and people in there,
20 and clean buildings for companies and contractors and so forth, it's something to really think
21 about. It's good to see you and good to have you here tonight. Good timing.

22
23 Mr. Del Callaway: Thank you, Elaine.

24
25 **Technical Report Review**

26
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Technical Report Review Committee. I just have a couple of things
28

1 to talk about. One is the Technical Assistant Program Grant that we're working on. I am getting
2 some e-mail from various sources on this, and I also received the packet of information that I
3 gave to Mannard and Erwin which includes a written contract from Kelly Air Force Base. You
4 will have to read that over. It's hard to go over it all tonight, but we are suppose to have a
5 telephone conference with Kelly and with Doris. Doris Bajka is setting up the conference for Del
6 Callaway and I. There was one other thing that I couldn't find to bring here to you tonight, but
7 I'll get that later to you when I find it. We are still working on the Technical Assistance Grant.
8 When we have this telephone conference we'll get back with you.

9
10 Now as far as the DERTF meeting goes, which is Defense Environmental Response Task Force
11 meeting, no one from this RAB was able to go to the National Caucus of RAB Community
12 Members Meeting on Saturday, January 30 through Monday, February 1. No one from the RAB
13 was able to make that because I guess we really didn't have the funding. I mean we didn't have
14 the money. We definitely didn't have funding to go, but we didn't have the money where we
15 could afford to go; plus I was out of town during that period of time.

16
17 I did get up in front of the DERTF because I was able to attend the Defense Environmental
18 Response Task Force meeting, which ran February the 2nd through the 3rd, which is Tuesday
19 through Wednesday. They did have a few National Caucus of RAB Community Members,
20 people who stayed behind for the DERTF meeting, the Defense Environmental Response Task
21 Force meeting. And so I did ask or request that the DERTF members take back to Congress the
22 need for funding for Restoration Advisory Boards across the United States, Alaska, and Hawaii
23 and maybe some other countries. Congress needs to provide funding for people like you and I to
24 attend the conferences and training that come up. For example, we were able to get some
25 funding, but it came at the last minute, for the Conference on Natural Attenuation.

26
27 As everyone here knows, and I told the DERTF meeting members this because they report
28

1 directly to Congress, that we give our time. We don't get paid and it would be good to have some
2 funds available so that when you and I need to go to training or a conference like the National
3 Caucus of RAB Community Members, where community members from RABs all across the
4 United States get together and talk about their various problems with their RABs. It gives
5 everybody a chance to learn from other RABs and from RAB members from Maine or from
6 Florida or from Alaska or from Fort Ord here in California. It's a tremendous time to learn.

7
8 Also for the Defense Environmental Response Task Force, we did have some carpooling. Gary
9 Collier and I did take advantage of that. It was a good time because many issues were brought up
10 by the National Caucus of RAB Community Members. The Defense Environmental Response
11 Task Force Panel is not just there to hear from Restoration Advisory Boards, although it does
12 hear from us and we took up over half the meeting. Other environmental groups are also there
13 and other people of the community who are concerned with various issues. I did tell Gary Collier,
14 who traveled down with Environmental Management, that he was not to speak for our RAB
15 because he was not a member. But he did get up and address the DERTF about some problems
16 he had in his own neighborhood, which was fine because other members of the public talked
17 about other issues besides Restoration Advisory Board issues. One of them took about 20
18 minutes doing so, even though she was only suppose to have 5 minutes of comment.

19
20 That's the end of my report from the Technical Report Review Committee.

21 22 **RAB Advisory Worksheet Report**

23
24 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: We have a couple of RAB worksheets. We wanted to review where
25 we stand on those. The first one was in regards to the *Community Relations Plan*. I'm going to let
26 Merianne take the lead on that.

1 **Draft and Draft Final CRP Worksheets**

2
3 Ms. Merianne Briggs: I would like to get formal closeout of the worksheet for the draft
4 *Community Relations Plan*. The comments on that were due on January 18, and I did get verbal
5 comments on that. They were incorporated into the draft final document. So I'd like to get formal
6 closeout of that worksheet.

7
8 We do have a new worksheet for the draft final document. I've given a copy of the worksheet to
9 the chair of the Community Relations Committee, Sheila Guerra. I also have a copy for the
10 Community Co-Chair.

11
12 Right now I would like to request that we go ahead and close out that first worksheet.

13
14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's okay with me, Merianne. I don't know if I had a copy of that first
15 worksheet. I don't know if that happened when I wasn't at one of the meetings or not.

16
17 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. Sheila, I have one here that I was given for
18 tonight. I will just hand it to you; I can get another copy.

19
20 Ms. Merianne Briggs: That was handed out on January 13th.

21
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Why don't you go to the next one; then she can verify whether she
23 wants it.

24
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's okay.

26
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh, it's okay?
28

1 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We've already had our input. I already gave input for the RAB on that.

2
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Let's go to the next worksheet.

4
5 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Thank you.

6
7 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: In the follow up worksheet on the draft final *Community Relations*
8 *Plan*, I would like you to look at whether we captured those comments and incorporated them
9 well enough.

10
11 **CS 10 — PRL 32 EE/CA**

12
13 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: The second worksheet we have is on the *CS-10 and PRL-32*
14 *Engineering Evaluation/Cost Assessment*. I don't have that tonight, Chuck. I was thinking we
15 would give it to you with the document when you meet with Paul next Friday. If you would like
16 it sooner, we can bring it over to your office.

17
18 CS-10 is one of our sites; it stands for Confirmed Site 10. It is a landfill with some surface
19 radiation and some buried drums. We've talked about it here before, indicated that we're
20 addressing the site for radiation cleanup. The second site, Potential Release Location 32, is just
21 south of the Industrial Waste Treatment Plant on base, the old IWTP #1 that's been closed on the
22 west side where we have currently fenced off another surface area of radiation.

23
24 We had originally talked to you about a year ago about five sites on base that were shallow
25 surface radiation sites that we were going to be cleaning up. We were going to do all of these
26 through what we call an EE/CA, which is a removal action document. It's what we use as a
27 mechanism for taking action with our soil vapor extraction systems. It's just short of doing a

1 record of decision, which establishes permanent final cleanup levels. We had five sites. The first
2 of those sites that we were addressing is Building 252. We gave you all an EE/CA on that area
3 for review and we received comment.

4
5 We since decided that we needed to do some more characterization at that site. So that one has
6 been put into the remedial investigation stage again, and we've been working on gathering more
7 data there. The next two sites we're addressing are these two I just mentioned, Confirmed Site 10
8 out in the west area, which is a pit area, and this Potential Release Location 32, which is a small
9 fenced off surface fill area. We will have that available for you all to review, looking again at
10 your concerns with regards to our proposal, one, on a clean up level. This will be an interim
11 action; it is not a final action. That means we may yet come back to these sites and do some more
12 removal if we determine in our record of decision they need more cleanup. The interim action is
13 surface removal and then taking those soils off base. We will have that worksheet for you.

14
15 Your comments would be due back on May 3; so there is a 60-day comment period on that
16 document. I just need to know if you want it sooner than next Friday or not.

17
18 **End of Tape**

19
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: You say Paul wanted to talk about it?

21
22 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Well, a week from this Friday is your regular every other Friday
23 meeting. I was just thinking that since you would be coming over, we could just give it to you
24 then, but the document was released yesterday to the regulators. If you want it, we can make it
25 available tomorrow.

26
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, well I can come over and get it tomorrow. That might be
28

1 better. Then I'm on top of it. If I have anything to discuss with Paul, then I will.

2
3 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Okay.

4
5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We're through with the worksheets.

6
7 **Vote on VOC FS Advice**

8
9 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: The last item we had under this area was that you thought we
10 hadn't come to closure on the *VOC Feasibility Study* worksheet that we had out.

11
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: There's just one little comment on this *VOC Feasibility Study*.
13 There's a City Well called 154 that's located practically on the corner of Ascot and Dry Creek.
14 When we first started the monitoring well program, monitoring wells were put in various
15 locations to ensure the public safety, particularly the drinking water. I talked to Joe Healy about
16 this several months ago, but he has not replied to me. Maybe Alex knows more than I do. Back
17 when the monitoring program was first started, there was an agreement that the Air Force would
18 check Monitoring Well 1019 on a quarterly basis to insure the safety of City Well 150. This
19 monitoring well and the city well are right next to Magpie Creek. The monitoring well is only
20 maybe 20 feet at the most, maybe 10 feet, away from the city well itself.

21
22 It's a shallow well. And it has shown up with 4 of 5, it varies, low levels — real trace levels —
23 of chemicals over the years. At one time not too long ago — I don't know exactly what quarter it
24 was it showed up with levels above the health standards, but they went back and checked it again
25 and I guess the levels fell back within what they had shown before. It is interesting, Imogene
26 Zander lives there, and Linda Piercy lives right next door. Imogene and Linda both live right next
27 door to this City Well 154 and Monitoring Well 1019.

1 The Air Force went from quarterly monitoring to every two years; they're only going to test the
2 wells every two years. The original reasoning for monitoring this well, as I told you before, was
3 to show there wasn't contamination that was going to interfere with that city well. My
4 recommendation is that we advise the Air Force and also the agencies (which I already talked to
5 Joe Healy about) to go back to quarterly monitoring of this particular Monitoring Well 1019. I
6 would like to make the motion that we give the advice to the Air Force and the agencies that we
7 recommend as a RAB to go back to the quarterly sampling of Monitoring Well 1019, for the
8 safety of people who are drinking potable water from City Well 154.

9
10 Mr. Del Callaway: Second.

11
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Thank you. I have a second on it now, and we are open for
13 discussion.

14
15 Mr. Del Callaway: There shouldn't be any discussion on it. They said they would do it; they
16 should be out there doing it. Maybe Joe can tell us something about it.

17
18 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Joe isn't here. Alex.

19
20 Mr. Del Callaway: I mean Alex; I'm sorry.

21
22 Mr. Alex McDonald: Del, my understanding is that we sampled that well quarterly for a
23 number of years. And Chuck is right. There were trace levels of TCE in that well periodically. A
24 couple of years ago, the overall site Groundwater Sampling Plan was revised. There was a
25 decision logic placed into that document on frequency of analysis. I believe the RAB was given
26 an opportunity to review that document, and I believe there was numerous comments from the
27 RAB.

1 Within that logic — I would have to go back and check that particular well — but within that
2 logic it would take into account that well's sampling history, the location of that well within the
3 plume, and groundwater flow direction. Based on those criteria which you plug into the decision
4 logic, it comes out to be, I think as Chuck said, I don't know exactly, but it might be every two
5 years. There is another box within that decision logic, which is other considerations. In other
6 words, you can go through the decision logic and it spits out a number, but you can also back off
7 and say, well, for some other reason besides the decision logic, I feel like I should sample this
8 well more frequently. I think that's what Chuck is getting at.

9
10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

11
12 Mr. Alex McDonald: Whether this well should be sampled quarterly, I don't know for
13 sure. That might be too frequent given past history of this well and which way the groundwater is
14 flowing. If I was to preference for sampling a well to protect well 154, I would screen a
15 monitoring well within the zone from which this well is extracting. Well 1019, I don't think, is
16 doing that service; it is screening the upper water table. If I were to take a — I don't know if we
17 have a well screened within the same zone from which 154 draws its water —but I would pick a
18 different, deeper well, to be protective of 154.

19
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well the problem is you don't have a deeper well anywhere near.

21
22 Mr. Alex McDonald: At this time that's correct.

23
24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I would agree. If you put in a deeper well, I would certainly agree
25 with you and your thinking. However, we can go ahead and give our advice, right?

26
27 Mr. Alex McDonald: Right, and that will be taken up. As I said, there's another box in
28

1 the decision logic where public concern and any regulator concern can trigger a more frequent
2 sampling or a less frequent sampling than specified within the decision line.

3
4 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Appreciate that. Thank you very much. So we have a motion here
5 that's been seconded. All those who are in favor, lift your right hand.

6
7 Mr. Bill Shepherd: Excuse me, Chuck; I have a question.

8
9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Sure, go ahead.

10
11 Mr. Bill Shepherd: If you mentioned that the monitoring well is only a few feet away from the
12 current well, the city well, is that city well being tested periodically for these same substances?

13
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The problem about city wells is they don't test them — they don't
15 test them particularly for those chemicals very often. The way I understand it. Yes, they do test
16 them, but they don't test them very often. Do you know the frequency?

17
18 Mr. Alex McDonald: It all depends. For a City of Sacramento well, they would have to
19 — I know it's a minimum of every two years, but I'm sure they do it more frequently than that.
20 Department of Health Services, Office of Drinking Water oversees that water supply system. And
21 they would specify the monitoring plan or approval of the monitoring plan for that particular
22 well. I do not know what they call for on that well.

23
24 For wells outside, non-vulnerable wells, say outside of plume areas, I know there's a minimum of
25 sampling every two years.

26
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. Did that help you, Dennis? Okay. So anyhow, we have a
28

1 motion on the floor and its been seconded. All those in favor, lift your right hand. Okay, since it's
2 unanimous, I won't go any further.

3
4 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Roxanne Yonn. Can we please announce the count so people are aware of
5 how many people actually have voted?

6
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: There was six.

8
9 Mr. Del Callaway: Back up a moment.

10
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Sure.

12
13 Mr. Del Callaway: A moment ago Elaine was talking about worksheets. There was no
14 mention about the worksheet dated 21 January of '98, with a tracking number 0109-01.

15
16 I wrote an e-mail to Ms. Bajka, 110 words, asking her about that worksheet. I got back a 385-
17 word e-mail on the TAPP, on the monies, and on the worksheet. I answered that e-mail with
18 another 100-word e-mail and got back another one almost as big as the first one. So I quit
19 sending e-mails. But I think what we need to do is get down to business. We have a worksheet
20 that has been sitting out there since January '98, and we need to get some progress on the TAPP.
21 I realize you're working on that.

22
23 We have a meeting to make these phone calls, which I don't see the necessity of making all these
24 phone calls to all these people to find out how to go about getting a TAPP and what problems
25 you run into. I sent out an e-mail and I got 53 answers, and all 53 answers tell me how quick they
26 received their TAPPs, how they went about getting them, and the problems they ran into. Out of
27 all the answers I got back, there was only one agency or one organization that gave the people a
28

1 hard time, and that was the Air Force.

2

3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, let's make sure that if we have a meeting with Doris, we
4 bring those things along and we can present them to her at that time.

5

6 Mr. Del Callaway: One other thing while I'm on a roll here. I noticed two EPA people are
7 missing. And that bothers me a little bit. Especially when they have the knowledge of the wells
8 you just spoke about.

9

10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, Joe did send me an e-mail on it and said that they had, due to
11 the postponement of the BRAC Team Cleanup meeting that we usually attend today, that they
12 weren't in town because they have the opportunity to receive some training. I guess that doesn't
13 come up very often. And they weren't able to get anybody to fill in from EPA. That's why they
14 are not here.

15

16 Mr. Del Callaway: Okay.

17

18 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't know about...

19

20 Mr. Del Callaway: Alex.

21

22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Alex is here.

23

24 Mr. Alex McDonald: Randy Adams is at that same training.

25

26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Randy Adams. Okay, that explains that. Thank you, Alex.

27

28

1 Mr. Del Callaway: **Inaudible.**

2

3 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. On the issue of the TAPP, there has been some
4 question, as I understand it, raised about how we're doing it versus some of the other bases.
5 That's the point of that phone call. What I understand from talking with Doris today as we left
6 the office is that the RAB needs to generate some tasks. We can move forward working with you
7 on how we're going to contract that, but the next step we need is some tasks so we get those
8 dollar estimates, and we figure out where it falls in there. So I think there's work that could
9 proceed here regardless of the phone calls getting that other information.

10

11 Mr. Del Callaway: It doesn't take you 384 words to tell me that you need a task.

12

13 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, we'll work on that. I'll contact Doris and see where we can
14 go.

15

16 Mr. Erwin Hayer: Chuck?

17

18 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: What?

19

20 Mr. Erwin Hayer: When you say task, I'm not sure what you mean by that.

21

22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, it means, for example, if we're going to go \$25,000, for
23 instance, for technical assistance, we could have a task such as the record of decision coming out
24 this summer, unless its postponed or delayed. We could have a contractor on line to help us
25 decipher the information. That would be a task. You can think of other tasks.

26

27 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. The money that is available is to support you all

28

1 to get an outside expert. What we need to know is, what do you want that outside expertise to do.
2 For instance, what document or what decision that we're making do you want to have reviewed,
3 so that we can go forward in contract for you to have that support.
4

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So it's good that this is being brought out, because one of the
6 things that we're thinking about is the record of decision. To decide, for instance, the levels and
7 so forth of cleanup. So this is good because it came up tonight. We can't present it as a motion
8 tonight, but at the April meeting it's probably going to come out, some kind of motion, some
9 kind of task that we're going to ask the Air Force to do. Just be aware of that, because we're
10 going to need the majority of the RAB to go along with that task for which we're asking
11 technical assistance. That is, we have to have 50% of the RAB's support.
12

13 Mr. Erwin Hayer: There's a document on the end of the table that I just put there this
14 evening. It's a quarterly report. I started through it, but I got confused at what it was saying. Can
15 this be used to explain that report to us?
16

17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Certainly, if that's what you want.
18

19 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Chuck, are you planning on having a special meeting on the TAPP before
20 the next RAB meeting?
21

22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, it will come up. I will have to give everybody a telephone call
23 on that. And I think Del and I will probably meet with Doris Bajka; at least I'll give her a call
24 tomorrow and find out what's going on.
25
26
27
28

1 **RAB DECISION ITEMS AND NEW BUSINESS**

2
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We'll go on from here. RAB decision items and new business is
4 the next item. Is there anything else on that part of the agenda that I don't know of? Otherwise
5 we'll go on.

6
7 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Chuck, as far as new business goes, I would like to go ahead and
8 remind everyone that I did hand out copies of a template for business cards for each person. If
9 they could please look at that and update any information on that or put down on that what you
10 would like to add to the business card. Let me know if you want them, and we can place an order.

11
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: By the way, I always disagree with the word business card. We're
13 not in business here. If we were, we would be making hands over fist money, right? Community
14 correspondence or communication cards, that's what I'd like to call them. It doesn't make any
15 sense to call them business cards, whether or not the Air Force is going to fund us for a change in
16 getting those cards. That's great, but this is really not a business.

17
18 **DoD Co-Chair Comments**

19
20 **Restoration Projects**

21
22 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: We're at DoD co-chair comments, and I'll hand it over to Elaine.

23
24 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. What we'd like to do is give you an update of
25 what we're doing in the restoration area. Then I have one slide on that environmental assessment
26 for the creek restoration work I talked about earlier. Phil Mook is going to give you an update on
27 the restoration efforts.

1 Mr. Phil Mook: Good evening, my name is Phil Mook, and I'm the Program Management
2 Branch Chief. I'd like to give you an update on our restoration program, cleanup program. First
3 of all, all of our SVE systems and the groundwater treatment plant have been operational since
4 our last RAB meeting.

5
6 The Groundwater Phase II work is ongoing. I have a poster over here; if you're interested in
7 going over the scope of work after the meeting, I will be there. The majority of the wells are
8 installed. All the treatment plant equipment is on site, and they're plumbing the treatment train
9 together right now. The operational date is scheduled for 1 April 1999, the end of this month.
10 This will increase the groundwater flows from the Phase I value of approximately 610 gallons a
11 minute to a Phase II value, treating groundwater at 1,430 gallons per minute. This will, pending
12 the verification of capture, capture the vast majority of the MCL plume at McClellan Air Force
13 Base.

14
15 We have a lot of new soil vapor extraction work that's being done right now. The IC-27 granular
16 activated carbon unit started on 16 February '99. I also have a poster on the SVE systems over
17 there; I will be over there at the end of this. The IC-27 unit went online 16 February of '99. At
18 Potential Release Location T-44, the government inspection is scheduled for tomorrow, with
19 official startup scheduled next week.

20
21 At IC-35, which is the last of the three systems that we're currently installing right now, all the
22 equipment is in place. The testing of interlocks, which is done running clean air through the
23 system, is being done tomorrow. Startup on soil vapors is scheduled in approximately 2 weeks.

24
25 We had a large RI field work effort ongoing this fall. Now, going into early spring, the vast
26 majority of it has been completed. Radiation scanning within buildings is one of the major
27 remaining workloads in that.

1 Several documents are coming out between now and the next Restoration Advisory Board
2 meeting. Elaine talked about the draft *CS-10 PRL32 EE/CA*, which came out yesterday with a
3 due date of 3 May. Two documents are going final during this next period. One is the *Base*
4 *Cleanup Plan Update*. That will go final March 16th. The second significant document that's
5 going final is our first *5-Year Review*, which will go final 5 April with the RAB members
6 reviewing and commenting on both of those documents. Both documents will be coming out in
7 their final versions.

8
9 That's my update. If there are any questions, I can get them now or I'll be available after the
10 meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

11 12 **West Area Update**

13
14 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. Merianne is putting an overhead up that shows
15 the restoration timeline. We have added a couple more pieces of information to this since the last
16 time we showed it; that information is on the far end. We are currently in the 30-day public
17 comment period on the *Environmental Assessment* in the finding of no significant impact. That
18 will be ending towards the end of this month. Then we will go into putting our final together with
19 the public comment that we've received.

20
21 We are planning to have the permits needed to do this work sometime early May, so that we can
22 start the work, beginning with removing side castings which is some of that material that was dug
23 up out of the creeks and placed along the banks of the creek. We want to get that out of there first
24 and then actually be able to start the work sometime in the June and July timeframe.

25
26 The May date is somewhat dependent upon the weather. If we have a lot of rain and it's wet,
27 we're not going to be able to get out there. We do have to wait until the area starts to dry up and
28

1 the pools are changing their seasonal activities before we're out there doing things. The work
2 needs to be completed by October of this year which is why we are pushing to make sure we get
3 that all on line.

4
5 As I said, the *Environmental Assessment* is out for review right now. The *Restoration Plan* is
6 also posted as information so you can better understand the *Environmental Assessment*.

7
8 After the *Environmental Assessment*, we are planning to have a public meeting. Right now we're
9 thinking in terms of an open house type of meeting, but we still have to structure that. At the
10 meeting, folks will have an opportunity to come in and talk to us about that plan, ask questions,
11 and really go into more details about what we're actually going to be doing out there once we
12 finalize those plans.

13
14 That's all I have on that. Are there any questions? Sheila, it looked like you had a question.

15
16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I have a lot of questions about that. I received a report, and going
17 through the report there are some things that are not very clear. What I'm talking about is the
18 alternatives and a letter that was sent from Mr. Paul Brunner on Wiedmier's decision, the Air
19 Force's decision, which was Alternative Four. But the way it reads in the draft, it doesn't read the
20 same. Its very confusing.

21
22 The second thing I have a problem with is, was there damage and was there cleaning of the
23 creeks in the north end of the base? That is not even included in this report. For me to give my
24 input on this report, I would want everything included in this report. I haven't seen any studies or
25 anything in that area. And it's been over a year and no one seems to know very much about it.
26 But as the community is concerned, and the RAB, I feel the RAB should be able to give their
27 input on this.

1 I think we should have a letter, and I think we should be included in the input as a RAB, not just
2 as an outside community member from the other meetings that we've had, because it was being
3 geared more for the outside people and not really giving us as a RAB an input on the restoration
4 or the mitigation. You accepted our input as individual people. So what I'm requesting tonight is
5 that the RAB give input on this report, but I would still further like to know where the report on
6 the north end of the base is. I am really concerned with that because I've asked this question for
7 months, and nobody has given me any response on it.

8
9 I've talked to other creek councils and different people who are also receiving the same report.
10 They're looking at it also. The Urban Creek Council is very concerned about what has happened
11 out here at McClellan as far as the damage on the creeks. They are reviewing this and looking to
12 see what input was given on that end of the base also. So, it's not just RAB members looking at
13 that particular issue on the damages.

14
15 As soon as you can give us some information on that, then I would like to give input as a RAB
16 on this report. I know that we only have less than 30 days to do that. But, you know, I've been
17 asking and no one is giving any information.

18
19 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. We had briefed in here what was cleaned during
20 that effort in '97, and the creeks on the northern end of the Base, were cleaned as well. They are
21 not an area of the base that we consider habitat. They have been kept cleaned. They are in the air
22 field clear zone, and so they are kept mowed. And it is not part of this project, because we did not
23 see that there is a habitat up in that area that was damaged during that work because that's been
24 kept clear.

25
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: How do you back that information?
27
28

1 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: There are vernal pools, Del Callaway just mentioned, in that area,
2 but they are not along the creeks that are up in that area. None of them were impacted by this
3 work because it went down along the creeks.

4

5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: How do you know the wildlife wasn't impacted by this?

6

7 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: This is the determination that we have made. It's in various reports
8 that we have, and we have studied on the base that this is a very low quality habitat area. And
9 that's because its part of...

10

11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Has Fish and Wildlife reported on that end of it?

12

13 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: They have opportunity to review those documents that we put out
14 the last couple...

15

16 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I'd like to see those documents.

17

18 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: If you come by the office, Sheila, I can do that. I actually just
19 pulled a lot of this information together in this last week where I have those references available.

20

21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, if that's true and if that's the case, then why wasn't that included in
22 this report?

23

24 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: That area is not included in this report because it was not
25 considered impacted within this effort. And we've focused on the area that was impacted.

26

27 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I feel that it should have been addressed.

28

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, I would like to say one thing myself, and that is that when
2 Rick got up here and talked about reuse, he talked about the environmental wetlands on the north
3 side of the base. It was the first thing he talked about, one of the first things anyhow. And I do
4 not agree with you totally regarding not having wildlife up in that area, because I've been on base
5 for 30 years now. And I happen to know that there is as much wildlife up there in the north area
6 as there is over in the west area. The birds, for crying out loud, fly between the north area and the
7 west area. There are various reports that talk about wildlife up in the north area.

8
9 Mr. Del Callaway: There were three pheasants up there when Jerry and I were out there. And
10 there was one that was so brave, he was just walking along nonchalant; he wouldn't even fly.
11 Maybe he couldn't fly; he might have been drinking the water.

12
13 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That was the first area that was reported by the community. Burl
14 Taylor came in here and reported it one night and said what in the world are you doing out there
15 along the creeks in the north area. He said we look from Elkhorn Boulevard down there and we
16 see that you're taking so much dirt out of the creek channels, you're dredging out the creek
17 channel. He wanted to know whether or not you had found toxic waste along the creek channels
18 up there.

19
20 So it is a concern for the neighbors. That was the first place that it was reported. And it was not
21 just Burl Taylor; many other people had talked to him at the time. I would like to get Burl Taylor
22 here. Maybe we can get him here for the April meeting, have him discuss what they thought of it.

23
24 But, some of the reports that I've seen show that area as being an environmental zone up there on
25 the north side. And I know this from Garcia, Marc Garcia; he was talking about Don Julio and
26 Second Creek up on the northeastern side there. This last year they could only clean out the one
27 lined channel of the creek up there because they had to have permits to clean out the other creeks

1 that were going across the north end that weren't lined. So they were only going to clean out the
2 gunnite concrete-lined channel up there, it only runs for a short distance, because they didn't
3 have the environmental permits to clean out the other unlined creeks. That tells me right there
4 that that's a sensitive creek habitat. So I don't think we have been properly addressed as far as
5 that area goes.

6
7 Unknown Male: I agree.

8
9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: And I think its about time that we do get some proper answers.

10
11 Mr. Del Callaway: We have brought it up several times. Burl Taylor brought it up, and Bill
12 Gibson spoke on it one time also.

13
14 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I mean, if you have to have permits this year to dredge the creeks
15 and you can only do it in the concrete- or gunnite-lined channel, common sense tells you that the
16 year before when they had all the flooding — in fact some of the neighbors that live over here on
17 20th Street right down here were talking about the fact that their homes were flooded out faster
18 because of the work that McClellan did on base that year. And last year we had the great quantity
19 of rain as everyone knows.

20
21 Any more comments?

22
23 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I was wondering if we're going to be able to give our input as a RAB?

24
25 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't think Elaine is going to have that answer here tonight. But
26 maybe you want to make some...

1 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Excuse me.

2

3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.

4

5 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Chuck, can I answer that question?

6

7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Sure go ahead.

8

9 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Roxanne Yonn. In this process, individual members of the
10 community, anyone out there, is allowed to present comments under public comments period.
11 And, as with the last comment period that we had, the RAB may choose the community members
12 as an organization or as a group to put in a comment as a group. Also, individual community
13 members or individual RAB members may also submit comments. So I believe that would be
14 what you decide as a community group, if you would like to submit comments, and that would be
15 well within the nature of what the comment period is for. Also...

16

17 Ms. Sheila Guerra: We are in that comment period. This is my concern right now; we're in
18 that period now. And I really want to give input as a RAB and we prepare our input and — but I
19 want to be able to feel secure with the fact that we've also looked at the north end of the base.
20 And right now, we haven't done that.

21

22 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: One of the comments you could make, as you saw with the
23 *Community Relations Plan* as a good example, you could ask that to be addressed or to be
24 commented on. Any comments that come in during this public comment period are responded to,
25 and that is how you could get your question addressed. That would be one of the good comments
26 that you could address. Then there would be an answer, as the folks who have gotten the draft
27 final *Community Relations Plan* would see. In the front, there is a response to comment table.

28

1 You might want to refer to that to see how those comments and questions are answered. This
2 would be answered in the same fashion for all those who do send in comments. So receiving the
3 response to comments before this is finalized...

4
5 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Well, I think we need to talk about this further. And I would like to see
6 those reports, Elaine, before I make any kind of input at all.

7
8 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, I would like to give a couple of suggestions. Erwin
9 particularly is very interested and keyed in on this, and I think that's great. Since you are, I think,
10 the most interested member of the RAB in this area that tonight if you don't have any motions to
11 suggest that the RAB give advice on as far as the creeks, that you guys work this out together. It
12 would be better if only a couple do it, than a bunch of us, because it's easier that way. We did
13 this the last time, if you remember, we worked on a letter, got everybody to endorse it on the
14 RAB over the phone and so forth, we took a poll of the RAB members. So you work on a letter
15 with the advice for the whole RAB on things like the north area and so forth, and then when you
16 get the letter all worked out, take a poll of the community members. There are only, I think,
17 eleven of us now, so it's not so hard. What do you feel about that? And then you can get your
18 answers regarding the north area or, if you can't get any answers for the north area, just write up
19 on the north area under comments.

20
21 Ms. Sheila Guerra: There are a lot of other things about this report that are not clear. Like I
22 said, the alternatives and the letter. Paul Brunner had a letter, and that alternative compared to
23 this alternative in the draft is just not the same. I don't know what that means.

24
25 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, those are comments that you can definitely make. Yes,
26 Erwin?

1 Mr. Erwin Hayer: On the DOPPA and the *Environmental Assessment*. The two of them are
2 written different on the different alternatives. That...

3
4 Ms. Sheila Guerra: On the mitigation.

5
6 Mr. Erwin Hayer: ...creates a lot of confusion when you're reading the two documents. One
7 of them you want to go for Alternative 1, the other one you want to go for Alternative 4. I don't
8 know why it was even done that way. We should have been working towards one alternative, I
9 feel. That's created a lot of confusion. The input from the community members, myself, before I
10 was a RAB member, on the November scoping, I haven't seen any response to that yet. It is not
11 in the draft document that just came out. I'm being told that it's going to be out sooner or later,
12 but it'll probably be out after the 30-day period is up.

13
14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Are you talking about the public's input?

15
16 Mr. Erwin Hayer: Yes, I am.

17
18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's not out on the Internet yet?

19
20 Mr. Erwin Hayer: No, I haven't seen it, and I looked today.

21
22 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Merianne, didn't you say that was going to be out last week?

23
24 Ms. Merianne Briggs: I said it would be out hopefully by the end of this week.

25
26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Oh.

1 Ms. Merianne Briggs: We have a review process we go through to put things out on the
2 Web. I just received the comments in a format that is Web acceptable. I received that yesterday.

3

4 Ms. Sheila Guerra: You have a hard copy of that though, too, don't you.

5

6 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Yes, and those will be mailed out.

7

8 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So what do you think about the suggestion that I made that you two
9 have comments, write them up for the RAB, and then we can call everybody up, and get
10 everybody to sign off on it.

11

12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: That's fine.

13

14 Mr. Erwin Hayer: I'm concerned about this *Restoration Plan* also that just came out. I
15 spent...

16

17 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Excuse me, Erwin, could you speak into the microphone. That way
18 we're not **inaudible**

19

20 Mr. Erwin Hayer: Okay, I'm sorry. I spent two hours this morning on the Web trying to get
21 information on that. I did get all the typed copies, but there's I don't know how many figures and
22 tables in there and I was only able to get three figures. The rest of them, my computer with 32
23 megs of ram can't handle I guess, because I cannot get the stuff off the Net. I asked for hard
24 copy. Chuck, I just got the hard copy.

25

26 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: You mean of the FONSI and so forth?

27

28

1 Mr. Erwin Hayer: No, no. The *Restoration Plan for Magpie and Don Julio Creeks and*
2 *Vernal Pools Habitats*, dated 11 February '99.

3

4 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The only thing I got is the same thing that Sheila and Del got.

5

6 Mr. Erwin Hayer: I got an e-mail from Paul that says you were getting a copy of this.

7

8 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I haven't gotten it yet.

9

10 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Mine is February 9th, the draft.

11

12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That report that Sheila has in her hand right there is what I got a
13 copy of. That's it. It must have gotten lost in the mail or something.

14

15 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Excuse me. Chuck, as Community Co-Chair, you were given both
16 copies. They were under separate mailings.

17

18 Mr. Del Callaway: I got that also; that's this.

19

20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, as far as I know I haven't received but that right there.

21

22 Mr. Del Callaway: I received another document, Merianne?

23

24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The FONSI and the *Environmental Assessment*.

25

26 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Are you talking about the DOPPA on the west area?

27

28

1 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: The *Restoration Plan* is not out for comment. That's just the
2 supporting documentation.

3

4 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. We have given Chuck a copy to take a look at.
5 This is what you should have received as well.

6

7 Mr. Erwin Hayer: I can't get it off the Internet.

8

9 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Excuse me. Erwin, could you please, I hate to keep saying, but we
10 have to talk into the mike, so we can record all this.

11

12 Mr. Erwin Hayer: That's about all I have to say.

13

14 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Del, this is Elaine Anderegg again. Did you say that you got a copy
15 of this *Restoration Plan*?

16

17 Mr. Del Callaway: I think so. Is that the right one?

18

19 Mr. Erwin Hayer: Paul said the only member that was getting that was Chuck.

20

21 Ms. Merianne Briggs: This particular document here that Elaine has is available at the
22 RAB workstation. That's why it has that "do not remove" on there. A color copy is available
23 there at the RAB workstation in 269D.

24

25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Okay, Erwin. Chuck, I think Erwin and I will get together on this and we'll
26 see what we can come up with. We'll get back to you and then possibly back at the next RAB
27 meeting.

28

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Is that agreeable with all the Restoration Advisory Board
2 members? Agreeable with everyone? No one has a problem? Speak up if you do. Okay. Then
3 Erwin and Sheila will work on this.

4
5 And, by the way, you get the documents. Did everyone on the RAB get the *Environmental*
6 *Assessment* and the FONSI? Did everyone get that report?

7
8 Ms Roxanne Yonn: Anyone who made a public comment during the two meetings we
9 had in November received a copy of that EA and FONSI.

10

11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Oh, okay.

12

13 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: So that would include yourself, I believe, Del Callaway, Sheila
14 Guerra, Mannard, and also Erwin as a public member who had made a comment. All received
15 copies.

16

17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough; Okay.

18

19 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Anyone who made a public comment or provided a written
20 comment received a copy of the EA and FONSI.

21

22 Mr. Mannard Gaines: I got a copy.

23

24 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay.

25

26 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Right. The majority of the RAB community members did make
27 comments, and they all received copies. As well as, I believe, the Urban Creek Council and a lot

28

1 of other groups who came or spoke or gave a written comment.

2
3 Mr. Del Callaway: I would like to call your attention to the time; we have 15 minutes
4 remaining, and we have two items which take 10 minutes each.

5
6 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. Can Bill Sheppard get one if he asks?

7
8 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Certainly.

9
10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: So if you want a copy, you're the only one who didn't get one.

11
12 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Would you like a copy sent?

13
14 Mr. Bill Sheppard: Yes. Please.

15
16 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Okay.

17
18 **PUBLIC COMMENT AND QUESTIONS**

19
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay, so we can go to the last two items as requested. The next
21 item is Develop the Next Agenda.

22
23 Mr. Del Callaway: I make a recommendation that we do that at the next luncheon...

24
25 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Excuse me, we have missed the public comment period. I think we
26 should do that next. It's on the agenda.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough; Public Comment Period, where is that? Sorry, I did skip that.

2 Okay, any public comments? Okay, Gary.

3

4 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Please be sure to step up to the podium and also state your name
5 for the record and limit your comments to 3 minutes please.

6

7 Mr. Gary Collier: That sounds like prior restraint. Is that also done for the RAB members?

8

9 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: The public comment period...

10

11 Mr. Gary Collier: I understand the regulations; just a point to note. Are RAB members also
12 limited to 3 minutes?

13

14 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: No because this is a RAB.

15

16 Mr. Gary Collier: Okay, just a point to note. I want it in the record. I'm here tonight for the
17 sole purpose of protesting the decision. My name is Gary Collier.

18

19 Ms. Merianne Briggs: Thank you.

20

21 Mr. Gary Collier: I'm here for the sole purpose of protesting the decision of the Community
22 Relations Committee in rejecting my application for RAB membership. I believe this decision
23 was made in an arbitrary and capricious manner and that there is no mechanism for appeal of
24 their decision.

25

26 There are apparently no published documents that detail the selection process, such as education,
27 previous community service, or residency. Subsequent to the decision of the RAB recently to

28

1 exclude voting rights of the federal and state representatives, they have reverted essentially to a
2 private club status.

3
4 There apparently is no governmental oversight of its internal activities. I was under the
5 impression that this body was subject to federal oversight when I applied. Ironically the RAB is
6 requesting federal grant assistance under the representation that they are representative of the
7 whole community. The first amendment provides that Congress shall make no laws restricting an
8 establishment of religion nor the right of the people to peaceably assemble and to petition the
9 government for redress of their grievances. In addition, the courts have repeatedly held that the
10 law abhors prior restraints.

11
12 There is a profound lack either of procedural or substantive due process in the instant case, by not
13 providing an appeal process. Rather than a vote of the community members, this board
14 perpetuates its own viewpoints by confounding the ability of those with different viewpoints
15 from being considered. My entreaty is to find out why I was denied membership resulting in a
16 comment by one RAB member that I should have waited to speak out on issues until I was a
17 RAB member.

18
19 During my supposed interview I was instructed to wait outside while they conferred privately.
20 While this is not unusual in and of itself in the labor force, it had never been performed at the
21 RAB until my application was separated from those of other individuals. Interestingly, the first
22 CRC meeting, where my initial application was deferred for inexplicable reasons, completely
23 different questions were asked of Erwin Hayer. This is a patently illegal, discriminatory practice
24 eschewed by all government operations, as well as private business interests.

25
26 I was quite disturbed to be repeatedly called on the carpet by RAB members for speaking out
27 recently on community concerns, particularly at the DERTF meeting. Even though I was not a
28

1 RAB member. Is it wrong for me to point out that the County of Sacramento is not complying
2 with the EIR/EIS for disposal? Is it wrong for me to bring up issues regarding the morality of
3 allowing CERCLA funds to be spent for clean up at existing facilities, while ignoring the most
4 profound health concerns that a local community which is a formally utilized defense site has. At
5 no point were any questions asked.

6
7 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Excuse me, it's 3 minutes.

8
9 Mr. Gary Collier: Thank you, that is a prior restraint, and I'll finish this to my Congressman.
10 Thank you.

11
12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I would like to respond to that as the chair of the Community Relations
13 Committee. There were a number of reasons why Mr. Collier was not accepted as a RAB
14 member. It was voted on. There is nothing in our Charter and there is nothing in our Bylaws that
15 says that we have to abide by any other rule as far as who we select to be on our board.

16
17 Furthermore, we don't get threatened by community members prior to the time that we're voted
18 on. Now this happened. And we can't have people on a community board like this that want to
19 take that kind of control. And I will not stand for the intimidation. So, if Mr. Collier would like
20 to take his views or his problems about this to whomever he wants to, well he can knock his
21 lights out. Because I'm not going to be intimidated by anybody. And I don't care if they are a
22 community member or who they are. And that is what happened here. One of the reasons, of
23 course I'm sure there was other reason also, you know, and.

24
25 We're on this board to look at restoration and cleanup and things of that nature and the
26 environmental areas out here in reuse right now. And I don't see that Parker Homes is a primary
27 issue at this point. And I know that Mr. Collier has brought Parker Homes up time after time

1 after time, which is okay. If we have found any kind of contamination or in the future something
2 comes into that area to contaminate further down stream from the plumes, then that might be an
3 issue further on down the road. But at this point, I've already explored that avenue. I was a
4 property owner over there. I lived over there. And I sold property over there to the City recently.
5 And I just don't feel that Parker Homes has been brought into this. And I don't feel that that is an
6 issue for the RAB.

7
8 Mr. Gary Collier: That is slander to say I threaten anyone, anyone person. That is slander,
9 and I want a retraction.

10
11 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me, I'm not going to retract that.

12
13 Mr. Gary Collier: Thank you.

14
15 Ms. Sheila Guerra: You told me that if you did not get elected on this committee, on this
16 board last RAB meeting, that you were going to protest this.

17
18 Mr. Gary Collier: Yes, is that threatening?

19
20 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Now, what do you call that?

21
22 Mr. Gary Collier: That is not a threat. That is not a threat. That is due process, ma'am. That
23 is due process.

24
25 Ms. Sheila Guerra: If that's your thinking of due process, I'm sorry. And I'm glad that we
26 didn't put you on this committee.

1 Mr. Gary Collier: Good.

2

3 Ms. Sheila Guerra: For you just showed a perfect example.

4

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, Sheila, we don't have...

6

7 Ms. Sheila Guerra: And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

8

9 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The vote has already been taken. So we don't have to debate this.

10

11 Mr. Gary Collier: Parker Homes is not the only issue that I have. I have issues regarding the
12 LRA as well.

13

14 Ms. Sheila Guerra: This issue is not in our Bylaws, it is not in our RAB Charter.

15

16 Mr. Gary Collier: Fine.

17

18 Ms. Sheila Guerra: If you'd like a copy of the RAB Charter and the RAB Bylaws.

19

20 Mr. Gary Collier: That is fine. I am demanding our own RAB and for our own issues.

21 Because obviously there are decisive issues between the issues of the City of Sacramento and the
22 County that have not been addressed by the EIR. Thank you. Good night.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **OTHER BUSINESS**

2
3 **Develop Next RAB Agenda**

4
5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: By the way, I did address this as far as the DERTF meeting earlier.
6 You heard my discussion that anybody could address the DERTF as far as that part goes.

7
8 What I plan on doing is just going on to the next couple of items here, unless some RAB member
9 has an item you want to see on the new agenda. We always do start developing it at our Chair
10 Luncheon. We will start doing that extensively when we do that, but does anybody here have
11 something you want on the next agenda for the RAB coming up in April? By the way, that is the
12 election of your co-chair, the 21st of April.

13
14 Unknown Female: Inaudible.

15
16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No. The next time your committees meet, you should confirm your
17 chair or appoint someone new. But that's done in the committees. Okay, so seeing or hearing no
18 advice for the next agenda, we'll go on to Recap of Current Action Items, please.

19
20 **Recap of Current Action Items**

21
22 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: I did not hear of any action items from the RAB members tonight.
23 Are there any items they would like to have as action items at the next RAB meeting?

24
25 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: The only thing I heard of was that they would still like to hear
26 about the north area creeks.

1 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Is that something you'd like as an action item?
2
3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.
4
5 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Knowing that the...
6
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's fine. We'd like it both ways.
8
9 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: You want it even though its beyond the comment period?
10
11 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes. We can get an answer both ways, can't we?
12
13 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Certainly, so you would like an action item...
14
15 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, please.
16
17 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: ...that says, you'd like to know what the status is of the north
18 creeks for restoration.
19
20 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Right. The environmental status of the north creeks and the fact
21 that they were dredged. And they need to take a look at them and tell us if that is indeed habitat;
22 it is an environmental wetlands, and you are suppose to have permits to dredge them out. And
23 what about the habitat up there.
24
25 Mr. Del Callaway: Was there one on Well 154?
26
27 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes.
28

1 Mr. Erwin Hayer: Was there any correspondence between the Fish and Wildlife and the
2 Environmental Management on the north area?

3

4 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I think Elaine said there was. Isn't that what you said?

5

6 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes, I believe.

7

8 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Elaine Anderegg. What I said was in the past when we have put out
9 some of our documents describing the habitat on the Base, Fish and Wildlife has had a chance to
10 review those. And we have called that area low quality habitat.

11

12 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Excuse me, Elaine, aren't they suppose to include that in the Biological
13 Opinion for the base **inaudible**

14

15 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: The northern area?

16

17 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

18

19 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: Yes, that would be included and there is an area of vernal pools
20 that's not connected to the creeks in the northern area that is definitely an area that will be of
21 concern.

22

23 Ms. Sheila Guerra: What's the date on the Biological Opinion?

24

25 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: When do we think it's going to be out?

26

27 Ms. Sheila Guerra: Yes.

28

1 Ms. Elaine Anderegg: The last I heard was, I think, it's like June. Rick, did you have
2 anymore on that?

3
4 Mr. Rick Solander: Rick Solander, Environmental Management. Elaine mentioned earlier that
5 we really cannot wait to finalize that until we finished with the EA for this western area. We're
6 looking at the timeframe around June.

7
8 But to clarify what you said, in informal consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service, they
9 have asked us to specifically include not only the northern area, but also the creek area, the lined
10 and unlined creeks, as part of that Biological Opinion. So, indeed it will be included in that.

11
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I think that shows you how sensitive that habitat is, because they
13 included it in the Biological Opinion. And I think its absolutely dumb to think that is not a high
14 wildlife habitat. And I don't appreciate that.

15
16 Mr. Alex McDonald: Chuck, my understanding of the creek cleaning project is that there
17 are two of them; one for the north area and one for the west side area. Done by two different
18 organizations, really. What it was is, Civil Engineering did the west side work; private contractor
19 did the north area work. At the time McClellan applied for the necessary permits to do that work,
20 my understanding is the Army Corps determined that a permit was not necessary for the work
21 that was described. The problem is the work that happened was not what was described on the
22 west area.

23
24 The work that was described for the north area took place under that — I mean they did not
25 change it all. In other words, Civil Engineering, when they did their work, went out and did way
26 too much; expanded the creek clearing process, ripped out the Elderberry bushes, you know, and
27 hurt the vernal pools.

1 **End of Tape**

2
3 The north area, they did it according to the plan and the areas where they placed this dredge
4 material, which is mostly just vegetation and some debris that gets clogged up in that part of the
5 stream, was removed and placed on the north area outside the vernal pool areas, according to the
6 — they put it in the proper places to locate the material. So outside the vernal pools, I think there
7 is habitat up there, but the plan avoided that habitat. That's why they were not required to get any
8 permit for that removal up in that area.

9
10 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Yes, but there is habitat right along the creek there.

11
12 Mr. Alex McDonald: But that is taking into account during their plan, during their
13 removal action, that is — the mitigation measures are placed in there and Army Corps
14 determines whether you need a permit or not for that activity. And if it is a minimal amount, they
15 let it occur, if you do it according to your plan.

16
17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, they had to have permits. Because Marc Garcia said they had
18 too. Even this year, they had to have them.

19
20 Mr. Erwin Hayer: The year before they worked on the Magpie and Don Julio Creeks, the
21 previous fall, is when they probably tripled the size of the creek on the north area.

22
23 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: That's right.

24
25 Mr. Erwin Hayer: If you go up there to 26th Street and you look up stream and you look down
26 stream, it looks like it is a dam there at 26th Street.

1 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I agree because...

2

3 Mr. Erwin Hayer: And they piled the dirt up on the sides of the...

4

5 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Burl Taylor came...

6

7 Mr. Erwin Hayer: ...bank and raised it 10 feet or so.

8

9 Mr. Alex McDonald: Right. And we are sampling that material to make sure what is in
10 that material.

11

12 Mr. Erwin Hayer: Yes, but that was a major change up there.

13

14 Mr. Alex McDonald: Yes it was, it was. But it was basically Army Corps that said that
15 was an okay project. It did not need their formal permit, for dredging permit and wetland permit.
16 And see what happens is, if they need one of those permits, they are required to come to our
17 office, Army Corps to get water quality certification which I would have been the one issuing
18 those water quality certifications. And they did — they basically gave a nationwide permit. You
19 don't need a water quality certification for that project.

20

21 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, there's only one problem here, there's a big problem. And
22 the fact that Marc Garcia had me in his office this last fall before he did any clearing of the
23 creeks in the same area that you're talking about. And he told me that they could not widen or
24 deepen or do any cleaning of those creeks without permit, unless they were lined.

25

26 Mr. Alex McDonald: Yes, what they had to do is they had to apply for the permit. It does
27 not mean that they would necessarily be needing a permit. You have to put the application in and

28

1 Army Corps will then determine whether you need a permit or not, or whether the nationwide
2 permits will cover your activity. So it might be that they will need a permit, depending upon what
3 they want to do and how much area they're going to excavate, the length of the channel, how
4 deep they're going to do it. If it falls under certain requirements, then yes, they will need a
5 permit.

6
7 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Well, that will be interesting to talk to Fish and Wildlife about
8 because...

9
10 Mr. Alex McDonald: It's Army Corps actually will be the...

11
12 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Army Corps?

13
14 Mr. Alex McDonald: Right.

15
16 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Because what Marc was telling me was that they did not want to go
17 through the long proceedings this last year to get all that stuff approved in order to clear out the
18 creeks this year. So, if that was the case this year, then what happened to last year? That's what
19 I'm saying. And I was under the understanding that they didn't have the permits last year even for
20 the north side.

21
22 Mr. Alex McDonald: My understanding is that they applied for their permit; Army Corps
23 determined a permit was not needed for that north area.

24
25 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I understand what you're saying, but it is my understanding that
26 they did not apply for it.

1 Mr. Alex McDonald: Okay.

2

3 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: I don't know if that's true, but that's what I understood. And I was
4 under the understanding the reason why they were not applying this year is because it took so dog
5 gone long to get them. And that came from Marc Garcia. So that's why I understand that that
6 problem occurred last year because of that same problem. It just takes too long. And I understood
7 that they did need the permits to do it. That's my understanding. But I thank you for your input.

8

9 **Closing Remarks/Adjourn**

10

11 Mr. Del Callaway: I make a motion we adjourn.

12

13 Ms. Roxanne Yonn: Are there any other action items other than this one?

14

15 Mr. Del Callaway: We have a motion on the floor.

16

17 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: No, I don't know of any. Second, please, for adjourning.

18

19 Ms. Sheila Guerra: I will second it.

20

21 Mr. Chuck Yarbrough: Okay. All in favor, lift your right hand. We are dismissed.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28