



Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST)

McClellan Nuclear Radiation Center (MNRC)

Air Force Base Conversion Agency

December 2001

FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER (FOST)
McClellan Nuclear Radiation Center (MNRC)
McClellan AFB, CA

1. PURPOSE

1.1 The purpose of this Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) is to document specific environmental conditions and findings and the suitability to transfer for the proposed deed of real property and any improvements at McClellan Air Force Base (AFB), California, to the Regents of the University of California under the terms of the conveyance agreement, to be operated by the University of California, Davis (UCD). The property is described in Section 2 below. The property will be transferred by deed, and its anticipated use is educational and research.

1.2 This FOST is a result of a thorough analysis of information contained in the following documents: (1) the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal and the Environmental Impact Report (FPEIS/EIR) for the Reuse and Rezoning of McClellan AFB, California, July 1997, (2) the Basewide Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS), November 1996, (3) the Final Supplemental EBS (SEBS) for the property, July 1997; and Visual Site Inspections/Physical Site Inspections (VSIs/PSIs) conducted in conjunction with the SEBS and supplemental VSIs conducted in December 1997, (4) the Basewide Finding of Suitability to Lease, August 1998, (5) the Finding of Suitability to Lease (FOSL), January 2000, (6) the Supplemental Site Specific Environmental Baseline Survey (SSSEBS), August 1999, which a VSI/PSI conducted April 1999, (7) VSI conducted November 29, 2001, and (7) the Final Consensus Statement for Building 258 in Support of a "Finding that No Remedial Action is Required" dated August 2001.

2. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The property is shown on two maps at Attachments 1 and 2 and is comprised of 2.47 acres. The property includes Building 258 and associated parking. Building 258 contains the stationary neutron radiography system, and the Training, Research, Isotopes, General Atomics (TRIGA) reactor. Other current uses include laboratory wet chemistry, laboratory cryogenics/counting, radiography X-ray photo film processing, medical research lab, and irradiation of silicon ingots.

3. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) COMPLIANCE

The environmental impact of this proposal has been adequately analyzed and disclosed in compliance with NEPA. This impact is analyzed in the FPEIS/EIR. Based on this analysis, the environmental impact of proceeding with the delivery of possession for continued reuse as a nuclear reactor is not sufficiently adverse to human health and the environment to outweigh the advantages to the community (including the public interest of the transfer of possession under the conveyance contract) and prevent the proposed use of the property.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY

Based on a review of the Basewide FOSL, EBS, and SEBS; the Building 258 SFOSL, SSSEBS and VSIs; and the Building 258 Consensus Statement; this property has been given Environmental Condition Category (ECC) 3. ECC 3 properties are defined as areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances have occurred, but at concentrations that do not require a removal or remedial response.

A summary of property categorization and disclosure factor findings for this property have been provided in the Basewide SEBS and SSSEBS, as well as discussed in this FOST. The overall ECC for the property has not changed since the completion of the SSSEBS for Building 258. However, follow-on action items as identified in the SSSEBS, Section 6.2, have been completed. Also, a Consensus Statement among the regulators was signed in support of a finding of no remedial action required at the site.

5. DEED RESTRICTIONS AND NOTIFICATIONS

The environmental documents listed in Section 1.2 were evaluated to identify environmental factors listed in Attachment 3 which may warrant constraints on certain activities in order to minimize substantially or eliminate any threat to human health or the environment. Such constraints typically are embodied as permanent restrictions in the deed or as specific notification to the transferee. The factors that require either deed restrictions or specific notifications are identified in Attachment 3 and are discussed below. Please reference the EBS, SEBS, and SSSEBS for specific information on each resource category.

The Air Force has determined that the remaining factors listed in Attachment 3 pose no threat to human health or the environment and, therefore, require neither deed restrictions nor notifications to the Transferee.

5.1 Hazardous Substances Notification

A hazardous substance notification need not be given because no hazardous substances in quantities exceeding 1,000 kilograms or the hazardous substance's reportable quantity found at 40 CFR Part 302.4 (whichever is greater) were stored for one (1) year or more, or were known to have been released, treated, or disposed of on the property. In addition, no evidence of release of any hazardous substances to the environment was identified during the November 29, 2001 VSI.

5.2 Installation Restoration Program (IRP) and Areas of Concern (AOC)

No IRP sites are currently located immediately on the property (i.e., AOC H-9 has been redefined to not include Building 258). Areas are located on the property, however, where storage or release of hazardous substances occurred, but at concentrations that do not require a removal or remedial response. The determination that no remedial action is required is supported by the Consensus Statement for Building 258 dated August 1, 2001 (hereinafter

referred to as the Consensus Statement). The Consensus Statement is provided as attachment 4. The Consensus Statement determined that there is no evidence to indicate a current risk exists to public health from exposure to soil, soil gas (including the migration of soil gas into indoor air spaces), or groundwater contamination beneath the Building 258 property footprint.

Groundwater in the vicinity of the Building 258 property will be monitored to determine whether contaminants are migrating and whether concentrations are continuing to decline. Protectiveness measures, which go beyond the scope of CERCLA, in the form of various enforceable restrictions, will be placed in the Deed prohibiting activities that could disrupt any adjacent remediation activities or jeopardize the effectiveness of those remedies, such as: (1) surface application of water that could impact the migration of contaminated groundwater; and (2) subsurface drilling or use of groundwater unless the Air Force, after consultation with the regulators, determines that there will be no adverse impacts on the cleanup process. Also, it should be mentioned that a Sacramento County ordinance codifies a prohibition against drilling drinking water supply wells on and in the vicinity of McClellan AFB.

Covenants will be included in the Deed a) declaring that all necessary remedial action has been taken and b) that any further remedial action found to be necessary after the date of transfer will be conducted by the United States. The Notice of Remedial Actions taken will note that hazardous substances were found at the property in concentrations below action levels and no remedial actions were required. Provisions will also be included in the Deed to allow the United States and State regulatory agencies access to the property in any case in which any such remedial action or investigation is found to be necessary, or where such access is necessary to carry out a response or corrective action on adjoining property.

5.3 Underground and Aboveground Storage Tanks (USTs and ASTs)

Only four ASTs are located on the property. The Transferee will be responsible for complying with all applicable Federal, State, and local laws relating to the use of these tanks.

5.4 Lead-Based Paint (LBP)

LBP might be present in facilities other than housing on the property since the facilities were built prior to 1978. Also, sampling results indicate LBP is present on some painted surfaces. The condition of the paint is very good with no peeling or flaking paint observed. The Transferee will be notified through SSSEBS, of the possible presence of LBP in these facilities. Notice will be provided to the Transferee that the Transferee will be responsible for managing all LBP and potential LBP in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

5.5 Sanitary Sewer Systems (Wastewater)

Building 258 is connected to Sacramento County sanitary sewer interceptor lines located near the boundary of the base. The Transferee will be responsible for submitting any required applications for discharging wastewater to the sanitary sewer system and for meeting all applicable wastewater discharge permit standards.

- F I N A L -

6. REGULATOR COORDINATION


The California Department of Toxic Substance Control, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency were notified in July 2001 of the initiation of this FOST and were invited to participate in preparing the working draft documents. Consolidated draft documents were provided in August 2001 for formal review and comment.

Regulator comments were received in August 2001 and were incorporated or addressed in this document. A draft final FOST was provided for final coordination in September 2001. The regulators documented by October 2001 that their comments had been adequately addressed. Copies of the regulator letters on the draft and draft final review are found in Attachment 5 with the responses shown in Attachment 6.

7. FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER

The deed proposal has been adequately assessed and evaluated for (a) environmental hazards, (b) environmental impacts anticipated from future use of the property, and (c) adequate notice of disclosure resources. The conditions of CERCLA Section 120(h)(3) have been satisfied. The property, therefore, is suitable for transfer.


December 6, 2001
Date


ALBERT F. LOWAS, JR.
Director
Air Force Base Conversion Agency


Attachments:

1. Vicinity Map
2. Site Location Map
3. Environmental Factors Considered
4. Consensus Statement
5. Regulator Comments
6. Response to Regulator Comments
7. November 29, 2001 VSI

FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER (FOST)
McClellan Nuclear Radiation Center (MNRC)
Vicinity Map



FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER (FOST)
 McClellan Nuclear Radiation Center (MNRC)
 Parcel Map



Attachment 3

Deed Restriction or Notification Required?		Environmental Factors Considered
No	Yes	
		Environmental Restoration, Hazardous Substances, Petroleum
X		Hazardous Substances (Notification)
	X	Installation Restoration Program (IRP) and Areas of Concern
X		Medical/Biohazardous Wastes
X		Oil/Water Separators (OWSs)
X		Unexploded Ordnance
X		Radioactive & Mixed Wastes
	X	Storage Tanks (USTs/ASTs)
		Disclosure Factors/Resources:
X		Asbestos
X		Drinking Water Quality
X		Indoor Air Quality
X		Lead-Based Paint (High-Priority Facilities)
	X	Lead-Based Paint (Other Facilities)
X		PCBs
X		Radon
		Other Factors:
X		Air Conformity/Air Permits
X		Energy (Utilities)
X		Flood plains
X		Historic Property (Archeological/Native American, Palentological)
X		OSHA (Occupational Safety & Health Administration)
X		Outdoor Air Quality
X		Prime/Unique Farmlands:
	X	Wastewater (Sanitary and IWL)
X		Sensitive Habitat
X		Septic Tanks (Wastewater)
X		Solid Waste
X		Threatened and Endangered Species
X		Transportation
X		Wetlands

Consensus Statement

(provided with draft)

Regulator Letters



California Regional Water Quality Control Board

Central Valley Region



Winston H.
Hickox
Secretary for
Environmental

Robert Schneider, Chair

Gray Davis
Governor

Sacramento Main Office

Internet Address: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5>
3443 Routier Road, Suite A, Sacramento, California 95827-3003
Phone (916) 255-3000 • FAX (916) 255-3015

21 August 2001

Attention: Mr. Paul G. Brunner
AFBCA/DM
3411 Olson Street
McClellan AFB, CA 95652-1071

***DRAFT FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER (FOST) FOR THE McCLELLAN
NUCLEAR RADIATION CENTER (MNRC) (DSR# 578-1), FORMER McCLELLAN AIR FORCE
BASE (AFB), SACRAMENTO COUNTY***

Thank you for the opportunity to review the subject document (FOST), submitted 10 August 2001. The FOST documents specific environmental conditions and findings and the suitability to transfer for the proposed deed of real property and any improvements at the former McClellan AFB, to the Regents of the University of California under the terms of the conveyance agreement, to be operated by the University of California, Davis. We have reviewed FOST and we have no comments.


If you have any questions, please contact me at (916) 255-3069, or e-mail me at taylorjd@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov.

JAMES D. TAYLOR
Associate Engineering Geologist

cc: Mr. Joe Healy, United States Environmental Protection Agency, San Francisco
Mr. Kevin Depies, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Sacramento
Mr. Mark Malinowski, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Sacramento
Mr. Sigmund Csicsery, AFBCA/DM, McClellan AFB
Mr. Rick Solander, AFBCA/DM, McClellan AFB
Mr. Brian Hovander, AFBCA/DM, McClellan AFB

JDT/jt c:\mcclellan\DrMNRC FOST.doc

California Environmental Protection Agency



The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption. For a list of simple ways you can reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see our Web-site at <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5>

To: Brian Kovander@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM
From: Rick Solander@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM
Originated by: <Kistner.Glenn@epamail.epa.gov>
CC:
Subject: fwd: EPA comments - draft FOST
Attachment: BEYOND.RTF
Date: 8/29/01 1:18 PM

No comments from EPA.

From: <Kistner.Glenn@epamail.epa.gov>, on 8/29/01 10:57 AM:

To: Tony Wong@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM

Cc:

ISMTP@ADMIN@AFBDA.HDQ[<Carr.Robert@epamail.epa.gov>],ISMTP@ADMIN@AFBDA.HDQ[
<Healy.Joseph@epamail.epa.gov>],ISMTP@ADMIN@AFBDA.HDQ[<mmalinow@dtsc.ca.gov>],ISMTP
@ADMIN@AFBDA.HDQ[<KDepies@dtsc.ca.gov>],ISMTP@ADMIN@AFBDA.HDQ[<TaylorJD@rh5
s.swrcb.ca.gov>],Craig Marchione@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM,Paul
Brunner@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM,Rick Solander@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM

Tony:

EPA has no comments on the Draft FOST for the McAFB Nuclear Radiation
Center. I would like to point out however that the SSSBS for Building 252
did mention a FOSEL and FOSET instead of a FOST for the building. Please
contact me if you have any questions.

Glenn Kistner
Remedial Project Manager
USEPA
(415) 744-2210
Fax (415) 744-1917

Mr. Tony Wong
AFBCA
3411 Olson Street
Room 105
McClellan, California 95652

DRAFT FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER (FOST), McCLELLAN NUCLEAR
RADIATION CENTER, (DSR #578-1)

Dear Mr. Wong:

We have reviewed the above referenced document and have the following comments to provide:

- 1) Section 5.2, Installation Restoration Program. Please provide a narrative description of the “protectiveness measures” and also include the deed restriction language to be imposed on the use, and drilling, of groundwater on the parcel.
- 2) Section 6, Regulator Coordination. Please include “DTSC” in the first sentence which lists the regulatory agencies involved in the preparation of this FOST.

Sincerely,

Francesca D’Onofrio
Hazardous Substances Scientist

cc: Mr. Joe Healy
USEPA
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mr. James Taylor
RWQCB
3443 Routier Road
Suite A
Sacramento, CA 95827

To: ISMTP@ADMIN@AFBDA.HDQ [<PDonofri@dtsc.ca.gov>],
ISMTP@ADMIN@AFBDA.HDQ [<KDepies@dtsc.ca.gov>],
ISMTP@ADMIN@AFBDA.HDQ [<healy.joseph@epa.gov>], Sig
Csicsery@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM, Rick Solander@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM,
Paul Brunner@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM, Brian
Hovander@MCCLELLAN@AFBDA.DCM
From: "James Taylor" <TaylorJD@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov>
Cc:
Subject: Draft Final FCST for the McClellan Nuclear Radiation Center (DS
Attachment:
Date: 9/21/01 9:55 AM

We have reviewed the subject document and we have no comments. We did not have any comments on the Draft (DSR# 578-1) (see letter dated 21 August 2001). Therefore, this e-mail will serve as approval of this document from our agency. We will not be issuing another letter, unless one is requested. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Thanks.

James D. Taylor
taylorjd@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov
(916)255-3069


Message from State Board and CalEPA:

"The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption. For a list of simple ways you can reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see our Web-site at <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov>.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

October 4, 2001



AFBCA/DM
Attn: Tom Kempster
3411 Olson St.
McClellan, CA 95652-1071


Re: Additional EPA Review Comments (DSR Record #578-4) on the draft final FOST for Building 258 Nuclear Reactor

Dear Paul:

Attached are comments from EPA's contractor base closure specialist (Bob Carr) on the draft final FOST for Building 258 Nuclear Reactor. I have reviewed these comments and am forwarding them to you as critical additional EPA official comments on the above document.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (415) 744-2211.

Sincerely,



Joseph B. Healy, Jr.
Remedial Project Manager

JBH/jbh

Attachment: Bob Carr's comments on subject document

cc: James Taylor, RWQCB Mark Malinowski, DTSC
Kevin Depies, DTSC Rich Howard, TLI
Rick Solander, McAFB Paul Brunner, McAFB

Bob Carr's Comments
on the
FOST for Building 258 Nuclear reactor

Here is the text of our comment letter

EPA has agreed that this parcel should be classified as ECC-3 accordingly, Section 5.2 must be modified to reflect the fact that the deed will include the statutory 120(h)(3) covenant. Substitute the following language for the existing text on page 3 which would be correct if this were an ECC-1 parcel.

Covenants will be included in the Deed a) declaring that all necessary remedial action has been taken and b) that any further remedial action found to be necessary after the date of transfer will be conducted by the United States. Provisions will also be included in the Deed to allow the United States and State regulatory agencies access to the property in any case in which any such remedial action or investigation is found to be necessary, or where such access is necessary to carry out a response or corrective action on adjoining property.

Section 7 should also be modified to reflect the Air Force determination that the parcel meets the criteria under 120(h)(3) for transfer by deed. The current text appears to have been borrowed from a FOSL. Substitute the following for the last two sentences in the current draft.

The conditions of CERCLA Section 120(h)(3) have been satisfied. The property, therefore, is suitable for transfer.

If these modifications are not made, this letter must be attached to the FOST as an unresolved comment and the text of Section 6 modified accordingly.

Mr. Anthony Wong
AFBCA
3411 Olson Street
Room 105
McClellan, California 95652

DRAFT FINAL FINDING OF SUITABILITY TO TRANSFER, McCLELLAN NUCLEAR
RADIATION CENTER, (DSR #578-2)

Dear Tony:

We have reviewed the above referenced deliverable and find that our comments on the original draft document have been adequately incorporated into the draft final document.

Sincerely,

Francesca D'Onofrio
Hazardous Substances Scientist

cc: Mr. James Taylor
RWQCB
3443 Routier Road
Suite A
Sacramento, CA 95827

Response to Comments

Attachment 6

Response to Comments: **California Regional Water Quality Control Board** (August 21, 2001):
Draft Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) for the McClellan Nuclear Radiation Facility.

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board had no comments.



Response to Comments: **Environmental Protection Agency** (e-mail dated August 29, 2001): Draft
Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) for the McClellan Nuclear Radiation Facility.


The EPA had no comments.



Response to Comments: **CAL-DTSC** (e-mail rec'd. August 31, 2001): Draft Finding of Suitability to
Transfer (FOST) for the McClellan Nuclear Radiation Facility.

FOST Comment 1: A narrative description of protectiveness measures has been incorporated
in Section 5.2. The Deed will contain a covenant prohibiting the extraction of ground water
from the parcel for any purpose other than monitoring.

FOST Comment 2: Comment has been incorporated in Section 6.



Response to Comments: **California Regional Water Quality Control Board** (September 21,
2001): Draft Final Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) for the McClellan Nuclear Radiation
Facility.

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board approved this document.



Response to Comments: **Environmental Protection Agency** (e-mail dated October 4, 2001): Draft Final Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) for the McClellan Nuclear Radiation Facility.

FOST Comment 1: Section 5.2 paragraph 3 has been modified to incorporate the requested change.

FOST Comment 2: Section 7 has been modified to incorporate the requested change.



Response to Comments: **CAL-DTSC** (e-mail rec'd. September 20, 2001): Draft Final Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) for the McClellan Nuclear Radiation Facility.

DTSC concurred that their comments had been adequately addressed and incorporated into the document.

Visual Site Inspection (VSI) Form

Facility Number/Surrounding Property: Building 258

Facility Name: McClellan Nuclear Radiation Center – UC Davis

Date Surveyed: 29 Nov 01 Clear and sunny Weather Conditions Temp : 48 °F Precip: None

Surveyor: Mike Prall Wind: 10K South

Facility Escort (as required): Jeff Ching Visibility: Good

Facility Escort Phone 614-6200

Number(s): _____

Year Built: 1989 Last VSI Conducted: 15 Apr 99

Current Uses (include any special operations/equipment used): Nuclear reactor, neutron radiography, x-ray film processing, supply storage, administrative offices, and research labs.

Past Use(s): Unknown Same as above Other (specify): _____

Source of Past Use(s) Info: SSSEBS

Bio/Physical Setting (such as topography, surface drainage, and runoff, etc.):
Surrounded by asphalt pavement and vegetated soil; adjacent property consists of asphalt pavement and grassland area; surface runoff drains north and east of the facility.

Describe "Housekeeping" appearance in and around building: Very Good Condition.

Condition of Exterior Painted Surfaces: Very Good

Condition of Interior Painted Surfaces: Very Good

Additional Site/Structure/Building Specific None

Interview(s) (Name & Phone Number) (If Applicable): _____

HAZARDOUS MATERIAL / WASTE

Are Hazardous Materials Present? Yes No Hazardous Wastes? Yes No

Are any of the Hazardous Materials/Wastes Radioactive? Yes No

Visual Site Inspection (VSI) Form

Describe Type: Fissile radioactive material, sealed and unsealed calibration sources

Are any Petroleum Products Present? Yes No

Type of Hazardous Materials Present: oil, hydraulic fluid, solvents, compressed gases, misc. lab chemicals, water treatment chemicals, adhesives, sealants

Type of Hazardous Materials Storage (e.g. drums, boxes, tanks, small containers): drums, cylinders, glass/plastic bottles, boxes

IAP or ACCS Present? Yes No

Location(s) of IAP in storage room for oily rags.

IAP/ACCS: _____

Type of Hazardous Waste Present: Non-RCRA oily rags

Type of Hazardous Waste Storage (e.g. drums, boxes, tanks, bowlers, roll-off bins): drums

IAP Disposal Practices (ACCS destination): Off site ship via HazWaste manifest

Changed Hazardous Materials/Waste Conditions since last VSI: None

Visual Site Inspection (VSI) Form

Potential Issues Checklist:

Cite indications of the following with a check by the appropriate item. Elaborate in the remarks section (on back of page) if additional clarification is required or if potential issue causes a concern.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UST/AST
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Radiation
<input type="checkbox"/> Oil/Water Separator
<input type="checkbox"/> Grease Traps
<input type="checkbox"/> Septic Tank
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sumps
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stormwater Drain
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IWL Drains/Effluent Waste Discharge (describe use and type)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sanitary Sewer Drain
<input type="checkbox"/> Waste Piles (describe)

<input type="checkbox"/> Suspected Lead Paint (age <1978, and/or positive result)
<input type="checkbox"/> Suspected ACM (positive result)
<input type="checkbox"/> Suspected PCB's (leaking transformers)
<input type="checkbox"/> Suspected PCB's (fluorescent light ballasts)
<input type="checkbox"/> Suspected PCB's (tagged equipment)
<input type="checkbox"/> Stained Industrial Sinks
<input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of Spills (indoor spills)
<input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of Spills (outdoor spills) | <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of Improper Disposal
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Energy Source (describe if non-standard utilities)
<input type="checkbox"/> Noxious Odors (describe)
<input type="checkbox"/> Stressed Vegetation (potential chemical release)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sensitive Receptors
<input type="checkbox"/> Discolored Soil (outdoor spills)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fill Areas/Partially Buried Objects
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water

<input type="checkbox"/> Fraying Insulation
<input type="checkbox"/> Operation/Equipment of Concern (see check list below)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ODCs (chillers, fire suppressors, etc.)
<input type="checkbox"/> Landfills within 1000 ft (GIS/data)
<input type="checkbox"/> Medical/Biological Wastes (describe)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permits (air, waste treatment, radiation)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drum/Container Storage
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain below) |
|--|---|

Other issues, conditions, or discrepancies that potentially present a concern: None

Operation/Equipment of Concern (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plating Shop
<input type="checkbox"/> Machine Shop
<input type="checkbox"/> Ballbearing Repair Shop
<input type="checkbox"/> Electronics Repair Shop
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Instrument Calibration/Repair Area
<input type="checkbox"/> Paint/Solvent Spray Booth
<input type="checkbox"/> Fuel Bladder Shop
<input type="checkbox"/> Treatment Plants
<input type="checkbox"/> IRP Systems (i.e. SVE units etc.) | <input type="checkbox"/> Washrack
<input type="checkbox"/> Degreaser
<input type="checkbox"/> Engine Testing/Repair Shop
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Physical Science/Testing Lab
<input type="checkbox"/> Battery Shop (lead/acid battery charging)
<input type="checkbox"/> Motor/Generator Repair Shop
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydraulic Equipment Repair/Testing Shop
<input type="checkbox"/> Media Blast
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain below) |
|---|--|

Other Operations/Equipment: _____

Visual Site Inspection (VSI) Form

REMARKS : No significant changes from prior VSIs. MNRC is operating under the management of the University of California at Davis. No Air Force HazMat or HazWaste is present. The MNRC-UC Davis maintains a Cal-EPA Identification Number as a Generator of HazWaste.